## CS483 - Practice

Jana Košecká

## 1. Chapter 5, Problem 2

We will design a recursive divide and conquer algorithm for counting significant inversions. The main difference will be that in the merge stage we merge twice; first merge  $b_1, \ldots b_k$  with  $b_{k+1}, \ldots b_n$  just for sorting and then we merge  $b_1, \ldots b_k$  with  $2b_{k+1}, \ldots 2b_n$  for counting significant inversions. In the merge step the ALG returns  $N_1$  and  $b_1, \ldots b_k$  and  $N_2$  and  $b_{k+1}, \ldots b_n$  which are sorted and  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are the numbers of significant inversions. Then we need to compute the number of significant inversion  $N_3$  and returns  $N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ .

## 2. Chapter 5, Problem 3.

This problem can be solved using divide and conquer. Suppose that you divide the cards into two equal n/2 parts and call this algorithm recursively on both sides. In order to have a majority (more then n/2) cards which are equivalent, if we split the away into two subarrays A and B, each having n/2 cards. In order for the final set to have more then n/2 cards, one of the subsets has to have more then half of the cards which are equivalent (if both would have less then half (n/4) then if could never add up to n/2). Take that set which has the majority (e.g. A) return one card and check that card against the set B - that will take O(n). If A does not have a majority, try if the B has majority, if yes then pick a card from set B and check it against the set A. Now that portion of the algorithm for checking whether set has a majority of the cards which are equivalent will be run recursively on both sets, i.e. in the worst case you try it on A and if it does not have majority, you will need to run it on the set B, so the recurrence is T(n) = 2T(n/2) + 2n for two subproblems and then for checking the rest of the array.

## 3. Chapter 6, Problem 17

- a) Consider sequence 1,4,2,3. The greedy algorithm produces rising trend 1,4 while the optimal solution is 1,2,3.
- b) Let OPT(j) be the length of the longest increasing subsequence on the set  $P[j] \dots P(n)$  including element P[j]. OPT(n) = 1 and OPT(1) is the length of the longest rising period.

Consider OPT(j); its first element is P(j) and its next element is P[k] for some k > j and for P[k] > P[j]. From k onwards it is simply the longest sequence that starts with P[k]. Hence we have a following recurrence

$$OPT(j) = 1 + \max_{k > j: P[k] > P[j]} OPT(k)$$

OPT can be build in the decreasing order and the total running time is  $O(n^2)$ .

- 4. Suppose that you have two strings on length m and n.
  - a) What is the worst case running time of a brute force algorithm for finding longest common subsequence of the two strings?
  - $O(m2^n)$ . There are  $2^n$  possible subsequences on X and for each of them it takes O(m) steps to compare the two strings.
  - b) Come up with a dynamic programming solution and demonstrate your algorithm on a following example X = XMKJYWZ and Y = MZJAWXU.

Consider  $LCS(X_i, Y_j)$  be the length of the LCS of strings  $x_1, \ldots x_j$  and  $y_1 \ldots y_j$ . The recursive solution is defined by the following 3 cases :

```
\begin{split} &LCS(X_i,Y_j) = 0 \text{ if } i = 0 \text{ and } j = 0. \\ &LCS(X_i,Y_j) = LCS(X_{i-1},Y_{j-1}) + 1 \text{ if } x_i = y_j. \\ &LCS(X_i,Y_j) = \max(LCS(X_i,Y_{j-1}),LCS(X_{i-1},Y_j)) \text{ if } x_i \neq y_j. \end{split}
```

Set up  $m \times n$  table similar to the sequence alignment problem and fill it top down, left to right. Running time will be O(mn).