## Undirected Graphs

Undirected graph. $G=(V, E)$

- $V=$ nodes.
- $E=$ edges between pairs of nodes.
- Captures pairwise relationship between objects.
- Graph size parameters: $n=|V|, m=|E|$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\} \\
& E=\{1-2,1-3,2-3,2-4,2-5,3-5,3-7,3-8,4-5,5-6\} \\
& n=8 \\
& m=11
\end{aligned}
$$

## Graph Representation: Adjacency Matrix

Adjacency matrix. $n$-by-n matrix with $A_{u v}=1$ if $(u, v)$ is an edge.

- Two representations of each edge.
- Space proportional to $n^{2}$.
- Checking if $(u, v)$ is an edge takes $\Theta(1)$ time.
- Identifying all edges takes $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

## Graph Representation: Adjacency List

Adjacency list. Node indexed array of lists.

- Two representations of each edge.
- Space proportional to $m+n$.
- Checking if $(u, v)$ is an edge takes $O(\operatorname{deg}(u))$ time.
- Identifying all edges takes $\Theta(m+n)$ time.



## Paths and Connectivity

Def. A path in an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$ is a sequence $P$ of nodes $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_{k}$ with the property that each consecutive pair $v_{i}, v_{i+1}$ is joined by an edge in $E$.

Def. A path is simple if all nodes are distinct.

Def. An undirected graph is connected if for every pair of nodes $u$ and $v$, there is a path between $u$ and $v$.


## Cycles

Def. A cycle is a path $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_{k}$ in which $v_{1}=v_{k}, k>2$, and the first $k-1$ nodes are all distinct.

cycle $C=1-2-4-5-3-1$

## Trees

Def. An undirected graph is a tree if it is connected and does not contain a cycle.

Theorem. Let $G$ be an undirected graph on $n$ nodes. Any two of the following statements imply the third.

- $G$ is connected.
- G does not contain a cycle.
- G has n-1 edges.



## Rooted Trees

Rooted tree. Given a tree $T$, choose a root node $r$ and orient each edge away from $r$.

Importance. Models hierarchical structure.

a tree

the same tree, rooted at 1

## Connectivity

$s$ - $t$ connectivity problem. Given two node $s$ and $t$, is there a path between s and $\dagger$ ?
$s-t$ shortest path problem. Given two node $s$ and $t$, what is the length of the shortest path between $s$ and $\dagger$ ?

Applications.

- Friendster.
- Maze traversal.
- Kevin Bacon number.
- Fewest number of hops in a communication network.



## Breadth First Search

BFS intuition. Explore outward from s in all possible directions, adding nodes one "layer" at a time.

BFS algorithm.

- $L_{0}=\{s\}$.
- $L_{1}=$ all neighbors of $L_{0}$.
- $L_{2}=$ all nodes that do not belong to $L_{0}$ or $L_{1}$, and that have an edge to a node in $L_{1}$.
- $L_{i+1}=$ all nodes that do not belong to an earlier layer, and that have an edge to a node in $L_{i}$.

Theorem. For each $i, L_{i}$ consists of all nodes at distance exactly $i$ from $s$. There is a path from $s$ to $\dagger$ iff $t$ appears in some layer.

## Breadth First Search

Property. Let $T$ be a BFS tree of $G=(V, E)$, and let $(x, y)$ be an edge of $G$. Then the level of $x$ and $y$ differ by at most 1 .

(a)

(b)

(c)

## Breadth First Search: Analysis

Theorem. The above implementation of BFS runs in $O(m+n)$ time if the graph is given by its adjacency representation.

Pf.

- Easy to prove $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ running time:
- at most $n$ lists L[i]
- each node occurs on at most one list; for loop runs $\leq n$ times
- when we consider node $u$, there are $\leq n$ incident edges ( $u, v$ ), and we spend $O(1)$ processing each edge
- Actually runs in $O(m+n)$ time:
- when we consider node $u$, there are deg(u) incident edges ( $u, v$ )
- total time processing edges is $\Sigma_{\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{V}} \operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{u})=2 \mathrm{~m}$


## Connected Component

Connected component. Find all nodes reachable from s.


Connected component containing node $1=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$.

## Connected Component

Connected component. Find all nodes reachable from s.

```
R will consist of nodes to which s has a path
Initially R={s}
While there is an edge (u,v) where }u\inR\mathrm{ and }v\not\in
        Add v to R
Endwhile
```



Theorem. Upon termination, $R$ is the connected component containing $s$.

- BFS = explore in order of distance from $s$.
- DFS = explore in a different way.


## Bipartite Graphs

Def. An undirected graph $G=(V, E)$ is bipartite if the nodes can be colored red or blue such that every edge has one red and one blue end.

Applications.

- Stable marriage: men = red, women = blue.
- Scheduling: machines = red, jobs = blue.

a bipartite graph


## Testing Bipartiteness

Testing bipartiteness. Given a graph G, is it bipartite?

- Many graph problems become:
- easier if the underlying graph is bipartite (matching)
- tractable if the underlying graph is bipartite (independent set)
- Before attempting to design an algorithm, we need to understand structure of bipartite graphs.

a bipartite graph $G$

another drawing of $G$


## An Obstruction to Bipartiteness

Lemma. If a graph $G$ is bipartite, it cannot contain an odd length cycle.
Pf. Not possible to 2-color the odd cycle, let alone G.

bipartite (2-colorable)

not bipartite
(not 2-colorable)

## Bipartite Graphs

Lemma. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $L_{0}, \ldots, L_{k}$ be the layers produced by BFS starting at node s. Exactly one of the following holds.
(i) No edge of $G$ joins two nodes of the same layer, and $G$ is bipartite.
(ii) An edge of $G$ joins two nodes of the same layer, and $G$ contains an odd-length cycle (and hence is not bipartite).


## Bipartite Graphs

Lemma. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $L_{0}, \ldots, L_{k}$ be the layers produced by BFS starting at node s. Exactly one of the following holds.
(i) No edge of $G$ joins two nodes of the same layer, and $G$ is bipartite.
(ii) An edge of $G$ joins two nodes of the same layer, and $G$ contains an odd-length cycle (and hence is not bipartite).

Pf. (i)

- Suppose no edge joins two nodes in adjacent layers.
- By previous lemma, this implies all edges join nodes on same level.
- Bipartition: red = nodes on odd levels, blue = nodes on even levels.



## Bipartite Graphs

Lemma. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $L_{0}, \ldots, L_{k}$ be the layers produced by BFS starting at node s. Exactly one of the following holds.
(i) No edge of $G$ joins two nodes of the same layer, and $G$ is bipartite.
(ii) An edge of $G$ joins two nodes of the same layer, and $G$ contains an odd-length cycle (and hence is not bipartite).

Pf. (ii)

- Suppose $(x, y)$ is an edge with $x, y$ in same level $L_{j}$.
- Let $z=\operatorname{lca}(x, y)=$ lowest common ancestor.
- Let $L_{i}$ be level containing $z$.
- Consider cycle that takes edge from $x$ to $y$, then path from $y$ to $z$, then path from $z$ to $x$.
- Its length is $\underbrace{\substack{\text { path from path from } \\ y \text { to } z}}_{\substack{(x, y) \\ 1}} \begin{array}{c}z \text { to } x\end{array})$



## Obstruction to Bipartiteness

Corollary. A graph $G$ is bipartite iff it contain no odd length cycle.

bipartite (2-colorable)

not bipartite
(not 2-colorable)

## Directed Graphs

Directed graph. $G=(V, E)$

- Edge $(u, v)$ goes from node $u$ to node $v$.


Ex. Web graph - hyperlink points from one web page to another.

- Directedness of graph is crucial.
- Modern web search engines exploit hyperlink structure to rank web pages by importance.


## Graph Search

Directed reachability. Given a node s, find all nodes reachable from s.

Directed s-t shortest path problem. Given two node $s$ and $t$, what is the length of the shortest path between s and t?

Graph search. BFS extends naturally to directed graphs.

Web crawler. Start from web page s. Find all web pages linked from s, either directly or indirectly.

## Strong Connectivity

Def. Node $u$ and $v$ are mutually reachable if there is a path from $u$ to $v$ and also a path from $v$ to $u$.

Def. A graph is strongly connected if every pair of nodes is mutually reachable.

Lemma. Let $s$ be any node. $G$ is strongly connected iff every node is reachable from $s$, and $s$ is reachable from every node.

Pf. $\Rightarrow$ Follows from definition.
Pf. $\Leftarrow$ Path from $u$ to $v$ : concatenate u-s path with s-v path. Path from $v$ to $u$ : concatenate $v$-s path with $s$-u path. -


## Strong Connectivity: Algorithm

Theorem. Can determine if $G$ is strongly connected in $O(m+n)$ time. Pf.

- Pick any node s.
- Run BFS from $\sin G$. reverse orientation of every edge in $G$
- Run BFS from $s$ in $G^{\text {rev. }}$
- Return true iff all nodes reached in both BFS executions.
- Correctness follows immediately from previous lemma. -

strongly connected

not strongly connected


## Directed Acyclic Graphs

Def. An DAG is a directed graph that contains no directed cycles.

Ex. Precedence constraints: edge $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ means $v_{i}$ must precede $v_{j}$.
Def. A topological order of a directed graph $G=(V, E)$ is an ordering of its nodes as $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ so that for every edge $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ we have $i<j$.

a DAG

a topological ordering

## Precedence Constraints

Precedence constraints. Edge $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ means task $v_{i}$ must occur before $v_{j}$. Applications.

- Course prerequisite graph: course $v_{i}$ must be taken before $v_{j}$.
- Compilation: module $v_{i}$ must be compiled before $v_{j}$. Pipeline of computing jobs: output of job $v_{i}$ needed to determine input of job $v_{j}$.


## Directed Acyclic Graphs

Lemma. If $G$ has a topological order, then $G$ is a $D A G$.

## Pf. (by contradiction)

- Suppose that $G$ has a topological order $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and that $G$ also has a directed cycle $C$. Let's see what happens.
- Let $v_{i}$ be the lowest-indexed node in $C$, and let $v_{j}$ be the node just before $v_{i}$; thus $\left(v_{j}, v_{i}\right)$ is an edge.
- By our choice of $i$, we have $i<j$.
- On the other hand, since $\left(v_{j}, v_{i}\right)$ is an edge and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ is a topological order, we must have $j<i$, a contradiction.
the directed cycle $C$

the supposed topological order: $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$


## Directed Acyclic Graphs

Lemma. If $G$ has a topological order, then $G$ is a DAG.
Q. Does every DAG have a topological ordering?
Q. If so, how do we compute one?

## Directed Acyclic Graphs

Lemma. If $G$ is a $D A G$, then $G$ has a node with no incoming edges.

## Pf. (by contradiction)

- Suppose that $G$ is a DAG and every node has at least one incoming edge. Let's see what happens.
- Pick any node $v$, and begin following edges backward from v. Since $v$ has at least one incoming edge ( $u, v$ ) we can walk backward to $u$.
- Then, since $u$ has at least one incoming edge ( $x, u$ ), we can walk backward to $x$.
- Repeat until we visit a node, say w, twice.
- Let $C$ denote the sequence of nodes encountered between successive visits to w. C is a cycle. -



## Directed Acyclic Graphs

Lemma. If $G$ is a $D A G$, then $G$ has a topological ordering.
Pf. (by induction on $n$ )


- Base case: true if $n=1$.
- Given DAG on $n>1$ nodes, find a node $v$ with no incoming edges.
- $G-\{v\}$ is a $D A G$, since deleting $v$ cannot create cycles.
- By inductive hypothesis, $G-\{v\}$ has a topological ordering.
- Place $v$ first in topological ordering; then append nodes of $G-\{v\}$
- in topological order. This is valid since $v$ has no incoming edges. .

```
To compute a topological ordering of G:
Find a node v with no incoming edges and order it first
Delete v from G
Recursively compute a topological ordering of G-{v}
    and append this order after v
```



## Topological Sorting Algorithm: Running Time

Theorem. Algorithm finds a topological order in $O(m+n)$ time.
Pf.

- Maintain the following information:
- count [w] = remaining number of incoming edges
- $S$ = set of remaining nodes with no incoming edges
- Initialization: $O(m+n)$ via single scan through graph.
- Update: to delete v
- remove $v$ from $S$
- decrement count [w] for all edges from $v$ to $w$, and add $w$ to $S$ if $c$ count [w] hits 0
- this is O(1) per edge -


## Shortest Path Problem

Shortest path network.

- Directed graph G = (V, E).
- Source s, destination $t$.
- Length $l_{e}=$ length of edge e.

Shortest path problem: find shortest directed path from s to t.
cost of path = sum of edge costs in path


Cost of path s-2-3-5-t
$=9+23+2+16$
$=50$.

## Dijkstra's Algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm.

- Maintain a set of explored nodes S for which we have determined the shortest path distance $d(u)$ from $s$ to $u$.
- Initialize $S=\{s\}, d(s)=0$.
- Repeatedly choose unexplored node $v$ which minimizes

$$
\pi(v)=\min _{e=(u, v): u \in S} d(u)+\ell_{e}
$$

add $v$ to $S$, and set $d(v)=\pi(v)$.


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm.

- Maintain a set of explored nodes S for which we have determined the shortest path distance $d(u)$ from $s$ to $u$.
- Initialize $S=\{s\}, d(s)=0$.
- Repeatedly choose unexplored node $v$ which minimizes

$$
\pi(v)=\min _{e=(u, v): u \in S} d(u)+\ell_{e}
$$

add $v$ to $S$, and set $d(v)=\pi(v)$.


## Dijkstra's Algorithm: Proof of Correctness

Invariant. For each node $u \in S, d(u)$ is the length of the shortest $s-u$ path.
Pf. (by induction on $|s|$ )
Base case: $|S|=1$ is trivial.
Inductive hypothesis: Assume true for $|S|=k \geq 1$.

- Let $v$ be next node added to $S$, and let $u-v$ be the chosen edge.
- The shortest $s$-u path plus $(u, v)$ is an $s-v$ path of length $\pi(v)$.
- Consider any s-v path P. We'll see that it's no shorter than $\pi(v)$.
- Let $x-y$ be the first edge in $P$ that leaves $S$, and let $P^{\prime}$ be the subpath to $x$.
- $P$ is already too long as soon as it leaves $S$.



## Minimum Spanning Tree

Minimum spanning tree. Given a connected graph $G=(V, E)$ with realvalued edge weights $c_{e}$, an MST is a subset of the edges $T \subseteq E$ such that $T$ is a spanning tree whose sum of edge weights is minimized.


$$
G=(V, E)
$$


$T, \Sigma_{e \in T} c_{e}=50$

Cayley's Theorem. There are $n^{n-2}$ spanning trees of $K_{n}$.

## Greedy Algorithms

Kruskal's algorithm. Start with $T=\phi$. Consider edges in ascending order of cost. Insert edge e in $T$ unless doing so would create a cycle.

Reverse-Delete algorithm. Start with $T=E$. Consider edges in descending order of cost. Delete edge e from $T$ unless doing so would disconnect $T$.

Prim's algorithm. Start with some root node s and greedily grow a tree T from s outward. At each step, add the cheapest edge e to $T$ that has exactly one endpoint in $T$.

Remark. All three algorithms produce an MST.

## Greedy Algorithms

Simplifying assumption. All edge costs $c_{e}$ are distinct.

Cut property. Let $S$ be any subset of nodes, and let e be the min cost edge with exactly one endpoint in S. Then the MST contains e.

Cycle property. Let $C$ be any cycle, and let $f$ be the max cost edge belonging to $C$. Then the MST does not contain $f$.


## Cycles and Cuts

Cycle. Set of edges the form $a-b, b-c, c-d, \ldots, y-z, z-a$.


```
                                    Cycle C = 1-2, 2-3, 3-4, 4-5, 5-6, 6-1
```

Cutset. A cut is a subset of nodes $S$. The corresponding cutset $D$ is the subset of edges with exactly one endpoint in $S$.


```
CutS ={4,5,8}
Cutset D = 5-6,5-7, 3-4, 3-5,7-8
```


## Cycle-Cut Intersection

Claim. A cycle and a cutset intersect in an even number of edges.


Cycle $C=1-2,2-3,3-4,4-5,5-6,6-1$ Cutset D $=3-4,3-5,5-6,5-7,7-8$
Intersection $=3-4,5-6$

## Pf. (by picture)



## Greedy Algorithms

Simplifying assumption. All edge costs $c_{e}$ are distinct.

Cut property. Let $S$ be any subset of nodes, and let e be the min cost edge with exactly one endpoint in S. Then the MST T* contains e.

Pf. (exchange argument)

- Suppose e does not belong to $T^{*}$, and let's see what happens.
- Adding e to $T^{*}$ creates a cycle $C$ in $T^{*}$.
- Edge $e$ is both in the cycle $C$ and in the cutset $D$ corresponding to $S$ $\Rightarrow$ there exists another edge, say $f$, that is in both $C$ and $D$.
- $T^{\prime}=T^{\star} \cup\{e\}-\{f\}$ is also a spanning tree.
- Since $c_{e}<c_{f}, \operatorname{cost}\left(T^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{cost}\left(T^{\star}\right)$.
- This is a contradiction. -



## Greedy Algorithms

Simplifying assumption. All edge costs $c_{e}$ are distinct.
Cycle property. Let $C$ be any cycle in $G$, and let $f$ be the max cost edge belonging to $C$. Then the MST $T^{\star}$ does not contain $f$.

## Pf. (exchange argument)

- Suppose $f$ belongs to $T^{*}$, and let's see what happens.
- Deleting from $T^{*}$ creates a cut $S$ in $T^{*}$.
- Edge $f$ is both in the cycle $C$ and in the cutset $D$ corresponding to $S$ $\Rightarrow$ there exists another edge, say $e$, that is in both $C$ and $D$.
- $T^{\prime}=T^{\star} \cup\{e\}-\{f\}$ is also a spanning tree.
- Since $c_{e}<c_{f}, \operatorname{cost}\left(T^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{cost}\left(T^{*}\right)$.
- This is a contradiction. -



## Prim's Algorithm: Proof of Correctness

Prim's algorithm. [Jarník 1930, Dijkstra 1957, Prim 1959]

- Initialize $S$ = any node.
- Apply cut property to S.
- Add min cost edge in cutset corresponding to $S$ to $T$, and add one new explored node $u$ to $S$.



## Kruskal's Algorithm: Proof of Correctness

Kruskal's algorithm. [Kruskal, 1956]

- Consider edges in ascending order of weight.
- Case 1: If adding e to T creates a cycle, discard e according to cycle property.
- Case 2: Otherwise, insert $e=(u, v)$ into Taccording to cut property where $S$ = set of nodes in $u$ 's connected component.


Case 1


Case 2

## Shortest Paths

Shortest path problem. Given a directed graph $G=(V, E)$, with edge weights $c_{v w}$ find shortest path from node $s$ to node $t$.
allow negative weights

Ex. Nodes represent agents in a financial setting and $c_{v w}$ is cost of transaction in which we buy from agent $v$ and sell immediately to $w$.


## Shortest Paths: Failed Attempts

Dijkstra. Can fail if negative edge costs.


Re-weighting. Adding a constant to every edge weight can fail.


## Shortest Paths: Negative Cost Cycles

Negative cost cycle.


Observation. If some path from s to $\dagger$ contains a negative cost cycle, there does not exist a shortest s-t path; otherwise, there exists one that is simple.


## Shortest Paths: Dynamic Programming

Def. OPT( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{v})=$ length of shortest $\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{t}$ path P using at most i edges.

- Case 1: Puses at most i-1 edges.
- OPT(i, v) = OPT(i-1, v)
- Case 2: $P$ uses exactly i edges.
- if $(v, w)$ is first edge, then OPT uses $(v, w)$, and then selects best $w-\dagger$ path using at most i-1 edges

```
OPT(i,v)={}{\begin{array}{ll}{0}&{\mathrm{ if }\textrm{i}=0}\\{\operatorname{min}{OPT(i-1,v), \mp@subsup{\operatorname{min}}{(v,w)\inE}{{}{OPT(i-1,w)+\mp@subsup{c}{vw}{}}}}}&{\mathrm{ otherwise}}
```

Remark. By previous observation, if no negative cycles, then OPT(n-1, v) = length of shortest v-t path.

## Shortest Paths: Implementation

```
Shortest-Path(G, t) {
    foreach node v \in V
        M[0, v] \leftarrow \infty
    M[0, t] }\leftarrow
    for i = 1 to n-1
        foreach node v G V
            M[i, v] \leftarrowM[i-1, v]
            foreach edge (v, w) \in E
                M[i,v]}\leftarrow\operatorname{min}{M[i,v],M[i-1,w] + c cww 
}
```

Analysis. $\Theta(m n)$ time, $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ space.

Finding the shortest paths. Maintain a "successor" for each table entry.

## Shortest Paths: Practical Improvements

Practical improvements.

- Maintain only one array $M[v]=$ shortest v-t path that we have found so far.
- No need to check edges of the form ( $v, w$ ) unless $M[w]$ changed in previous iteration.

Theorem. Throughout the algorithm, $M[v]$ is length of some $v-t$ path, and after $i$ rounds of updates, the value $M[v]$ is no larger than the length of shortest v-t path using $\leq i$ edges.

Overall impact.

- Memory: $O(m+n)$.
- Running time: $O(m n)$ worst case, but substantially faster in practice.

Bellman-Ford: Efficient Implementation

```
Push-Based-Shortest-Path(G, s, t) {
    foreach node v G V {
        M[v] \leftarrow \infty
        successor[v] \leftarrow\phi
    }
    M[t] = 0
    for i = 1 to n-1 {
        foreach node w \in V {
        if (M[w] has been updated in previous iteration) {
            foreach node v such that (v, w) \in E {
            if (M[v] > M[w] + c cvw) {
                        M[v] \leftarrowM[w] + C Cvw
                        successor[v] \leftarrow w
                    }
            }
        }
        If no M[w] value changed in iteration i, stop.
    }
}
```


## Minimum Cut Problem

Flow network.

- Abstraction for material flowing through the edges.
- $G=(V, E)=$ directed graph, no parallel edges.
- Two distinguished nodes: $s=$ source, $t=$ sink.
- $c(e)=$ capacity of edge $e$.



## Cuts

Def. An $s-\dagger$ cut is a partition $(A, B)$ of $V$ with $s \in A$ and $t \in B$.

Def. The capacity of a cut $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ is: $\operatorname{cap}(A, B)=\sum_{e \text { out of } A} c(e)$


## Cuts

Def. An s-t cut is a partition $(A, B)$ of $V$ with $s \in A$ and $t \in B$.

Def. The capacity of a cut $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ is: $\operatorname{cap}(A, B)=\sum_{e \text { out of } A} c(e)$


## Minimum Cut Problem

Min s- $\dagger$ cut problem. Find an s-t cut of minimum capacity.


## Flows

Def. An s-t flow is a function that satisfies:

- For each $e \in \mathrm{E}: \quad 0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$
- For each $v \in \mathrm{~V}-\{\mathrm{s}, \dagger\}: \sum_{e \text { in to } v} f(e)=\sum_{e \text { out of } v} f(e)$
[capacity]
[conservation]

Def. The value of a flow f is: $v(f)=\sum_{e \text { out of } s} f(e)$.


## Flows

Def. An s-t flow is a function that satisfies:

- For each $e \in \mathrm{E}: \quad 0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$
- For each $v \in \mathrm{~V}-\{\mathrm{s}, \dagger\}: \sum_{e \text { in to } v} f(e)=\sum_{e \text { out of } v} f(e)$
[capacity]
[conservation]

Def. The value of a flow f is: $v(f)=\sum_{e \text { out of } s} f(e)$.


Value $=24$

## Maximum Flow Problem

Max flow problem. Find s-t flow of maximum value.


## Flows and Cuts

Flow value lemma. Let $f$ be any flow, and let $(A, B)$ be any s-t cut. Then, the net flow sent across the cut is equal to the amount leaving s.

$$
\sum_{e \text { out of } A} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { in to A }} f(e)=v(f)
$$



Value $=24$

## Flows and Cuts

Flow value lemma. Let $f$ be any flow, and let $(A, B)$ be any s-t cut. Then, the net flow sent across the cut is equal to the amount leaving $s$.

$$
\sum_{e \text { out of } A} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { in to A }} f(e)=v(f)
$$



## Flows and Cuts

Flow value lemma. Let $f$ be any flow, and let $(A, B)$ be any s-t cut. Then, the net flow sent across the cut is equal to the amount leaving $s$.

$$
\sum_{e \text { out of } A} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { in to A }} f(e)=v(f)
$$



Flows and Cuts

Flow value lemma. Let $f$ be any flow, and let $(A, B)$ be any s-t cut. Then

$$
\sum_{e \text { out of } A} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { in to } A} f(e)=v(f) .
$$

Pf.

$$
v(f)=\sum_{e \text { out of } s} f(e)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { by flow conservation, all terms } \\
\text { except } v=s \text { are } 0
\end{array} & =\sum_{v \in A}\left(\sum_{e \text { out of } v} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { in to } \mathrm{v}} f(e)\right) \\
& =\sum_{e \text { out of } A} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { in to } \mathrm{A}} f(e) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Flows and Cuts

Weak duality. Let $f$ be any flow, and let $(A, B)$ be any s-t cut. Then the value of the flow is at most the capacity of the cut.

$$
\text { Cut capacity }=30 \Rightarrow \text { Flow value } \leq 30
$$



## Flows and Cuts

Weak duality. Let $f$ be any flow. Then, for any $s-\dagger$ cut $(A, B)$ we have $v(f) \leq \operatorname{cap}(A, B)$.

Pf.

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(f) & =\sum_{e \text { out of } A} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { in to } A} f(e) \\
& \leq \sum_{e \text { out of } A} f(e) \\
& \leq \sum_{e \text { out of } A} c(e) \\
& =\operatorname{cap}(A, B)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Certificate of Optimality

Corollary. Let $f$ be any flow, and let $(A, B)$ be any cut. If $v(f)=\operatorname{cap}(A, B)$, then $f$ is a max flow and $(A, B)$ is a min cut.

```
Value of flow =28
Cut capacity = 28 F Flow value }\leq2
```



## Towards a Max Flow Algorithm

Greedy algorithm.

- Start with $f(e)=0$ for all edge $e \in E$.
- Find an s-t path $P$ where each edge has $f(e)<c(e)$.
- Augment flow along path P.
- Repeat until you get stuck.


Flow value $=0$

## Towards a Max Flow Algorithm

Greedy algorithm.

- Start with $f(e)=0$ for all edge $e \in E$.
- Find an s-t path $P$ where each edge has $f(e)<c(e)$.
- Augment flow along path P.
- Repeat until you get stuck.


Flow value $=20$

## Towards a Max Flow Algorithm

Greedy algorithm.

- Start with $f(e)=0$ for all edge $e \in E$.
- Find an s-t path $P$ where each edge has $f(e)<c(e)$.
- Augment flow along path P.
- Repeat until you get stuck.
locally optimality $\nRightarrow$ global optimality



## Residual Graph

Original edge: $e=(u, v) \in E$.

- Flow $f(e)$, capacity $c(e)$.


Residual edge.

- "Undo" flow sent.
- $e=(u, v)$ and $e^{R}=(v, u)$.
- Residual capacity:

$$
c_{f}(e)= \begin{cases}c(e)-f(e) & \text { if } e \in E \\ f(e) & \text { if } e^{R} \in E\end{cases}
$$



Residual graph: $G_{f}=\left(V, E_{f}\right)$.

- Residual edges with positive residual capacity.
- $E_{f}=\{e: f(e)<c(e)\} \cup\left\{e^{R}: f(e)>0\right\}$.


## Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm


$\square$

## Augmenting Path Algorithm

```
Augment(f, c, P) {
    b}\leftarrow\mp@code{bottleneck(P)
    foreach e G P {
        if (e\inE) f(e) \leftarrowf(e) + b forward edge
        else f(er)}\leftarrowf(\mp@subsup{e}{}{R})-b reverse edg
    }
    return f
}
```

```
Ford-Fulkerson(G, s, t, c) {
    foreach e GE f(e) \leftarrow0
    Gf}\leftarrow< residual graph
    while (there exists augmenting path P) {
        f}\leftarrow\mathrm{ Augment(f, C, P)
        update G}\mp@subsup{G}{f}{
    }
    return f
}
```


## Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

Augmenting path theorem. Flow $f$ is a max flow iff there are no augmenting paths.

Max-flow min-cut theorem. [Elias-Feinstein-Shannon 1956, Ford-Fulkerson 1956] The value of the max flow is equal to the value of the $\min$ cut.

Pf. We prove both simultaneously by showing TFAE:
(i) There exists a cut $(A, B)$ such that $v(f)=\operatorname{cap}(A, B)$.
(ii) Flow $f$ is a max flow.
(iii) There is no augmenting path relative to $f$.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) This was the corollary to weak duality lemma.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) We show contrapositive.

- Let $f$ be a flow. If there exists an augmenting path, then we can improve $f$ by sending flow along path.


## Proof of Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)

- Let $f$ be a flow with no augmenting paths.
- Let $A$ be set of vertices reachable from $s$ in residual graph.
- By definition of $A, s \in A$.
- By definition of $f, \dagger \notin A$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(f) & =\sum_{e \text { out of } A} f(e)-\sum_{e \text { in to A }} f(e) \\
& =\sum_{e \text { out of } A} c(e) \\
& =\operatorname{cap}(A, B)
\end{aligned}
$$


original network

## Matching

Matching.

- Input: undirected graph $G=(V, E)$.
- $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most edge in $M$.
- Max matching: find a max cardinality matching.



## Bipartite Matching

Bipartite matching.

- Input: undirected, bipartite graph $G=(L \cup R, E)$.
- $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most edge in $M$.
- Max matching: find a max cardinality matching.



## Bipartite Matching

Bipartite matching.

- Input: undirected, bipartite graph $G=(L \cup R, E)$.
- $M \subseteq E$ is a matching if each node appears in at most edge in $M$.
- Max matching: find a max cardinality matching.



## Bipartite Matching

Max flow formulation.

- Create digraph $G^{\prime}=\left(L \cup R \cup\{s, \dagger\}, E^{\prime}\right)$.
- Direct all edges from $L$ to $R$, and assign infinite (or unit) capacity.
- Add source $s$, and unit capacity edges from $s$ to each node in $L$.
- Add sink $t$, and unit capacity edges from each node in $R$ to t.



## Bipartite Matching: Proof of Correctness

Theorem. Max cardinality matching in $G=$ value of $\max$ flow in $G^{\prime}$.
Pf. $\leq$

- Given max matching M of cardinality $k$.
- Consider flow $f$ that sends 1 unit along each of $k$ paths.
- $f$ is a flow, and has cardinality k. -



## Bipartite Matching: Proof of Correctness

Theorem. Max cardinality matching in $G=$ value of max flow in $G^{\prime}$.
Pf. $\geq$

- Let $f$ be a max flow in $G^{\prime}$ of value $k$.
- Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow k$ is integral and can assume $f$ is 0-1.
- Consider $M=$ set of edges from $L$ to $R$ with $f(e)=1$.
- each node in $L$ and $R$ participates in at most one edge in $M$
- $|M|=k:$ consider cut $(L \cup s, R \cup \dagger) \quad$ -



## Edge Disjoint Paths

Disjoint path problem. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ and two nodes $s$ and $t$, find the max number of edge-disjoint $s-\dagger$ paths.

Def. Two paths are edge-disjoint if they have no edge in common.

Ex: communication networks.


## Edge Disjoint Paths

Disjoint path problem. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ and two nodes $s$ and $t$, find the max number of edge-disjoint $s-\dagger$ paths.

Def. Two paths are edge-disjoint if they have no edge in common.

Ex: communication networks.


## Edge Disjoint Paths

Max flow formulation: assign unit capacity to every edge.


Theorem. Max number edge-disjoint s-t paths equals max flow value.
Pf. $\leq$

- Suppose there are $k$ edge-disjoint paths $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$.
- Set $f(e)=1$ if e participates in some path $P_{i}$; else set $f(e)=0$.
- Since paths are edge-disjoint, $f$ is a flow of value $k$. -


## Edge Disjoint Paths

Max flow formulation: assign unit capacity to every edge.


Theorem. Max number edge-disjoint s-t paths equals max flow value.
Pf. $\geq$

- Suppose max flow value is $k$.
- Integrality theorem $\Rightarrow$ there exists 0-1 flow $f$ of value $k$.
- Consider edge $(s, u)$ with $f(s, u)=1$.
- by conservation, there exists an edge ( $u, v$ ) with $f(u, v)=1$
- continue until reach $t$, always choosing a new edge
. Produces k (not necessarily simple) edge-disjoint paths. -


## Network Connectivity

Network connectivity. Given a digraph $G=(V, E)$ and two nodes $s$ and $t$, find min number of edges whose removal disconnects $\dagger$ from $s$.

Def. A set of edges $F \subseteq E$ disconnects $\dagger$ from $s$ if every s-t path uses at least one edge in $F$.


## Edge Disjoint Paths and Network Connectivity

Theorem. [Menger 1927] The max number of edge-disjoint s-† paths is equal to the min number of edges whose removal disconnects $\dagger$ from $s$.

Pf. $\leq$

- Suppose the removal of $F \subseteq E$ disconnects $\dagger$ from $s$, and $|F|=k$.
- Every s-t path uses at least one edge in $F$. Hence, the number of edge-disjoint paths is at most k. -



## Disjoint Paths and Network Connectivity

Theorem. [Menger 1927] The max number of edge-disjoint s-t paths is equal to the min number of edges whose removal disconnects $\dagger$ from $s$.

Pf. $\geq$

- Suppose max number of edge-disjoint paths is $k$.
- Then max flow value is $k$.
- Max-flow min-cut $\Rightarrow$ cut $(A, B)$ of capacity $k$.
- Let $F$ be set of edges going from $A$ to $B$.
- $|F|=k$ and disconnects $\dagger$ from s. -


