

Case Study I: A Database Service

Prof. Daniel A. Menascé
Department of Computer Science
George Mason University
www.cs.gmu.edu/faculty/menasce.html

1

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Copyright Notice

- Most of the figures in this set of slides come from the book “Performance by Design: computer capacity planning by example,” by Menascé, Almeida, and Dowdy, Prentice Hall, 2004. It is strictly forbidden to copy, post on a Web site, or distribute electronically, in part or entirely, any of the slides in this file.

2

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

DB Server Log Sample

	(msec) CPU	(count) Disk 1	(count) Disk 2	TR ID	
1	116.824	9	9	18	
2	64.383	7	9	37	
3	35.403	7	9	58	
4	104.409	8	12	77	
5	119.793	9	8	19	
6	47.956	5	7	1	

3

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

OS Performance Measurements

Resource	Utilization (%)
CPU	45
Disk 1	75
Disk 2	65

4

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Basic Statistics for the DB Service Workload

	<i>CPU Time (msec)</i>	<i>No. I/Os Disk 1</i>	<i>No. I/Os Disk 2</i>
Mean	238.2	51.38	44.85
Standard Deviation	165.9	27.0	26.4
Sample Variance	27510.4	728.7	698.1
Coeff. of Variation	0.696	0.525	0.677
Minimum	23.6	5	7
First Quartile (Q1)	104.4	33	26
Median (Q2)	151.6	63	39
Third Quartile (Q3)	418.1	72	68
Maximum	507.5	85	92
Range	483.9	80	85
Largest	507.5	85	92
Smallest	23.60	5	7
Sum	47640.8	10275	8969

5

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Quantiles (quartiles, percentiles) and midhinge

- Quartiles: split the data into quarters.
 - First quartile (Q1): value of X_i such that 25% of the observations are smaller than X_i .
 - Second quartile (Q2): value of X_i such that 50% of the observations are smaller than X_i .
 - Third quartile (Q3): value of X_i such that 75% of the observations are smaller than X_i .
- Percentiles: split the data into hundredths.
- Midhinge: $Midhinge = \frac{Q_3 + Q_1}{2}$

6

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Example of Quartiles

1.05
1.06
1.09
1.19
1.21
1.28
1.34
1.34
1.77
1.80
1.83
2.15
2.21
2.27
2.61
2.67
2.77
2.83
3.51
3.77
5.76
5.78
32.07
144.91

Q1	1.32
Q2	2.18
Q3	3.00
Midhinge	2.16

In Excel:

Q1=PERCENTILE(<array>,0.25)

Q2=PERCENTILE(<array>,0.5)

Q3=PERCENTILE(<array>,0.75)

7

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Example of Percentile

1.05
1.06
1.09
1.19
1.21
1.28
1.34
1.34
1.77
1.80
1.83
2.15
2.21
2.27
2.61
2.67
2.77
2.83
3.51
3.77
5.76
5.78
32.07
144.91

80-percentile 3.613002

In Excel:

p-th percentile=PERCENTILE(<array>,p)

(0=p=1)

8

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Range, Interquartile Range, Variance, and Standard Deviation

- Range: $X_{\max} - X_{\min}$
- Interquartile Range: $Q_3 - Q_1$
 - not affected by extreme values.

- Variance:

$$s^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}$$

In Excel:

$$s^2 = \text{VAR}(\langle \text{array} \rangle)$$

- Standard Deviation:

In Excel:

$$s = \text{STDEV}(\langle \text{array} \rangle)$$

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}}$$

9

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Meanings of the Variance and Standard Deviation

- The larger the spread of the data around the mean, the larger the variance and standard deviation.
- If all observations are the same, the variance and standard deviation are zero.
- The variance and standard deviation cannot be negative.
- Variance is measured in the square of the units of the data.
- Standard deviation is measured in the same units as the data.

10

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Coefficient of Variation

- Coefficient of variation (COV) : s / \bar{X}

– no units


1.05
1.06
1.09
1.19
1.21
1.28
1.34
1.34
1.77
1.80
1.83
2.15
2.21
2.27
2.61
2.67
2.77
2.83
3.51
3.77
5.76
5.78
32.07
144.91

S	29.50
Average	9.51
COV	3.10


11

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.


Box and Whisker Plots



CPU Time



Number of I/Os on Disk 1




Number of I/Os on Disk 2

12

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Total No. of I/Os vs CPU Time



13

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.


Result of Clustering Process

Cluster Number	CPU Time (msec)	I/Os disk 1	I/Os disk 2	Npoints
1	67.5	8.0	11.0	50
2	434.2	62.4	73.1	80
3	136.1	69.8	36.7	70

14

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

QN for the DB Server



15

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Building a Performance Model

- Need to apportion total resource utilizations to individual classes:

$$U_{i,r} = U_i \times f_{i,r}$$

The apportionment factor depends on the type of resource.

16

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

CPU Apportionment Factor

$$f_{CPU,r} = \frac{\text{Total CPU Time for class } r}{\text{Total CPU Time for all classes}}$$

$$f_{CPU,1} = \frac{67.5 \times 50}{47640.8} = 0.071$$

$$f_{CPU,2} = \frac{434.2 \times 80}{47640.8} = 0.729$$

$$f_{CPU,3} = \frac{136.1 \times 70}{47640.8} = 0.200$$

17

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Disk 1 Apportionment Factor

$$f_{disk-i,r} = \frac{\text{Total no. I/Os on disk } i \text{ by class } r}{\text{Total no. I/Os on disk } i \text{ for all classes}}$$

$$f_{disk-1,1} = \frac{8 \times 50}{10275} = 0.039$$

$$f_{disk-1,2} = \frac{62.4 \times 80}{10275} = 0.486$$

$$f_{disk-1,3} = \frac{69.8 \times 70}{10275} = 0.475$$

18

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Disk 2 Apportionment Factor

$$f_{disk-i,r} = \frac{\text{Total no. I/Os on disk } i \text{ by class } r}{\text{Total no. I/Os on disk } i \text{ for all classes}}$$

$$f_{disk-2,1} = \frac{11 \times 50}{8969} = 0.061$$

$$f_{disk-2,2} = \frac{73.1 \times 80}{8969} = 0.652$$

$$f_{disk-2,3} = \frac{36.7 \times 70}{8969} = 0.287$$

19

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Model Parameters

Log total time 150 sec Total Throughput 1.33

<i>Apportionment Factors</i>	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Total
CPU	0.071	0.729	0.2	1.00
Disk 1	0.039	0.486	0.475	1.00
Disk 2	0.061	0.652	0.287	1.00

<i>Utilization Values</i>	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Total
CPU	0.032	0.328	0.090	0.45
Disk 1	0.029	0.365	0.356	0.75
Disk 2	0.040	0.424	0.187	0.65

Class throughput 0.33 0.53 0.47

<i>Service Demands</i>	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3
CPU	0.096	0.615	0.193
Disk 1	0.088	0.683	0.763
Disk 2	0.119	0.795	0.400

20

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Using the Model

Open Multiclass Queuing Networks - Residence Times

This workbook comes with the books "Performance by Design," "Capacity Planning for Web Services" and "Scaling for E-Business" by D. A. Menascé and V. A. F. Almeida, Prentice Hall, 2004, 2002 and 2000.

Queues	Classes		
	1	2	3
CPU	0.17427	1.11835	0.35065
Disk 1	0.35100	2.73375	3.05357
Disk 2	0.33986	2.27036	1.14214
Response Time	0.86513	6.12246	4.54636

The ratio between the residence time and service demand at disk 1 is about 4.0 for all classes. This ratio is 0.8 and 1.9 for the CPU and disk 2. To improve performance, disk 1 needs to be upgraded.

21

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.


Workload Intensity Variation

	Month	Arrival Rate (tps)
1	January	1.33
2	February	1.45
3	March	1.68
4	April	2.26
5	May	2.68
6	June	3.25
7	July	3.98
8	August	4.78
9	September	5.74
10	October	6.76

22

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Class 2 Response Time for Various Scenarios



23

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.


Monitoring Tools

- Hardware monitors
- Software monitors
 - Accounting systems
 - Program analyzers
- Hybrid Monitors
- Event-trace monitoring
- Sample monitoring

24

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.


The Measurement Process



25

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Execution Environments for Application Programs




The measurement of CPU utilization depends on the various software layers between the program and the bare machine.

26

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Execution Environments for Application Programs



27

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.


Bare Machine Example

- Consider an early computer with no OS that executes one program at a time. During 1,800 sec, a hardware monitor measures a utilization of 40% for the CPU and 100 batch jobs are recorded. The average CPU demand for each job is:
$$0.4 \times 1800 / 100 = 7.2 \text{ seconds}$$

28

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Execution Environments for Application Programs



29

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

OS Example

- Consider a computer system running batch programs and interactive commands. The system is monitored for 1,800 sec and a software monitor measures the CPU utilization as 60%. The accounting log of the OS records CPU times for batch and for the 1,200 executed interactive commands separately. From this data, the class utilizations are batch = 40% and interactive = 12%.

30

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

OS Example (cont'd)

- The CPU demand for the interactive class is given by


$$D_{cpu,interactive} = \frac{U_{cpu}^t \times f_{cpu,interactive}}{X_{0,interactive}}$$

$$= \frac{0.6 \times [0.12 / (0.12 + 0.40)]}{1200 / 1800} = 0.208 \text{ sec}$$

31

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Execution Environments for Application Programs



32

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

TP Example

- A mainframe processes 3 workload classes: batch (B), interactive (I), and transactions (T). Classes B and I run on top of the OS and class T runs on top of the TP monitor. There are two types of transactions: query (Q) and update (U). What is the service demand of update transactions.

33

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

TP Monitor Example

Measured by TP monitor

Q	U
120 sec	140 sec

Measured by accounting system

Batch 32%	Interactive 10%	TP 28%
--------------	--------------------	-----------

Operating System

Measured by OS

Bare Machine 72%

34

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

TP Example (cont'd)

- Measurements from the OS monitor during 1800 sec: CPU utilization is 72%.
- Measurements from the accounting facility:

$$U_{cpu,B}^{os} = 32\%$$

$$U_{cpu,I}^{os} = 10\%$$

$$U_{cpu,T}^{os} = 28\%$$

35

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

TP Example (cont'd)


- Measurements from the program analyzer of the TP monitor:
 - 1200 query transactions, which consumed 120 sec of CPU.
 - 400 query transactions, which consumed 140 sec of CPU.

$$D_{cpu,U} = \frac{0.72 \times \frac{0.28}{0.32+0.10+0.28} \times \frac{140}{120+140}}{400/1800} = 0.698\text{sec}$$

36

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.


Execution Environments for Application Programs



© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

37


VM Example



© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

38


VM Example (cont'd)



39

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.


VM Example (cont'd)



40

© 2004 D. A. Menascé. All Rights Reserved.

Computing the average concurrency level



$$\bar{N} = \frac{20 + 14 + 32 + 38}{50} = 2.08$$

41