previously
usability lifecycle aka process

- pre-design
  - model the user, context & tasks
- design
  - participatory design: paratypes, prototypes, Wizard of Oz
  - analysis of current practice and competition
  - coordinated design & guidelines
- evaluation
  - functional testing
  - empirical studies: lab, in situ, in the wild
- revise design for future releases
today: pre-design
model user, context & tasks

outside-in design in a nutshell:
- know the user
- know the tasks
- design the interface
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know the user
what to know about users?
- work experience
- computer experience
- age
- sex
- education
- reading skills
- language skills
- visual acuity
- dexterity...

which ones matter?
depends on the problem you're trying to capture
different perspectives give rise to different models

- mental
  - users' perception

- representation/manifestation
  - how the UI designer represents the implementation to the user

- implementation
  - how a machine, virtual or otherwise, is actually built

three models example: driving a car

- mental
  - push the gas pedal, the car goes faster
  - turn the wheel, the car turns

- representation/manifestation
  - steering wheel, speed selector
  - pedals for gas and breaks, speedometer...

- implementation
  - more gas -> stronger explosions -> more RPMs
  - transmission transforms RPMs into tire spins at variable rates and in different directions
  - steering wheel activates hydraulic servo-system that controls angle of tires
problem
bridging implementation to user models

specialists trained on
developing implementations
e.g. computer scientists, software engineers
often have trouble
coming up with a representation model
that users can get their head around

example
bridging implementation to user models

- mental
  - push uphill
  - coast downhill

- representation/manifestation
  - height of the bar represents effort

- implementation
  - stationary bicycle at the gym
example
bridging implementation to user models

- what representation would map the designer’s intent to the user’s understanding?

- representation/manifestation
  - most users are familiar with hills
  - phys ed specialists are familiar with effort charts

more generally: how to capture meaning?

form vs. meaning
aka syntactic vs. semantic knowledge

- representations
  - words
  - sentences
  - symbols (icons)
  - combination & sequences

- things that exist
  - objects
  - people

- things that may happen
  - actions
  - causes and effects

- abstract concepts
  - responsibilities
  - goals
  - tasks

thank you xie xie gracias cám ón
form vs. meaning

aka syntactic vs. semantic knowledge

- representations
  - words
  - sentences
  - symbols (icons)
- combination & sequences
- various dialects
  - dependent on device, OS, app...

- things that exist
  - objects
  - people
- things that may happen
  - actions
  - causes and effects
- abstract concepts
  - responsibilities
  - goals
  - tasks

- computer-supported tasks
- rote memorization
- easily forgotten

form vs. meaning

examples of syntax

- find files
  - `find . -name "*.ppt"`
  - Start - Find - Files or Folders
  - ...
- search within files
  - `grep "b.b" filename`
  - `open - focus - Ctrl-F - focus - "bob" - Enter`
  - ...

how do you call this?
- regular expression?
- wild card?
form vs. **meaning**
more than one aspect/layer

**semantic knowledge**

- domain-specific knowledge
  - what needs to be done
  - domain concepts
  - order of actions

- computer
  - technology-specific knowledge
    - interaction concepts & devices
      - keyboard, mouse, windows, buttons...
    - OS & applications
    - file storage
    - printing...

- **enough?**
- **+ app-specific syntactic knowledge**
- how to carry out the task using a computer

---

**traditional assessment of users**
is simplistic but a good starting point

- novice users
  - little or no knowledge
  - **UI strategies**
    - few and simple features
    - lots of feedback and confirmation
- first-time users
  - some knowledge of semantics
  - no knowledge of syntax
  - careful defaults
  - tutorials
- knowledgeable intermittent users
  - difficulty retaining syntax
  - good retention of semantic
  - help remembering
  - easy to recognize, consistent
  - on-line help with search
- frequent users/experts
  - familiar with syntax and semantics
  - shortcuts
  - customization
  - little or no feedback (distracting)
traditional assessment does a poor job at distinguishing different kinds of experts

- the techie
  - help with domain concepts
  - some app-specific help
  - not how to do a search
  - not how to get focus on field

- the domain expert
  - help with computer concepts
  - how to print
  - how to import data...
  - detailed app-specific help

- the all round expert aka the pink elephant

- the techie with app training

- the staff with app training

- the domain expert with app training

different competencies need different UI strategies
understand users
model personas

- a persona is not a real person - it's a model

- a persona captures
  - skills & demographic profiles
  - how users perceive & behave
  - goals, motivations, responsibilities

persona models
inform evaluation and design decisions

- which characteristics to model depends on the specific problem
  - user & stakeholder goals
  - demographics: vocabulary, interpretation of symbol/signs
  - pref. on graphical representation
e.g., map vs. list vs. augmented reality
  - disabilities, sight, color-blindness
  see http://www.section508.gov/
  - voice: native speaker vs. foreigner
  - left-handed, right-handed
understand all relevant roles

- **primary users**
  - each interface typically targets one primary persona and maybe one or a few more secondary personas
  - the primary target persona shapes most design decisions

- **served personae**
  - don't use the UI, but benefit/are hurt by it
    - e.g., nurse uses system while treating *patient*

- **negative personae**
  - clarify who each interface will not cater for
    - e.g., hospital director

discussion

- suppose a construction company asks your team to design a UI for controlling the features of its new line of high-end homes
  - e.g., remotely inquire and control the burglar's alarm, status of lights and major appliances, such as the kitchen range, heating, etc.

- a team member proposes the following model of personas:
  - *primary homeowner* - an individual who lives in the apartment and has complete control over all features of the system.
  - *secondary homeowner* - same as primary homeowner, but not be allowed to control the burglar's alarm.
  - *emergency monitor* - a third party individual who has access to monitor the status, but not to control the features of the home. Examples of this include home security company, fire department, and police department.

- is this a model of users that bears relevance for UI design? or is it a model of something else?
know the user
what to know about users?

- work experience
- computer experience
- age
- education
- reading skills
- language skills
- work environment
- task frequency
- ...

once you decide which skills & demographics are needed, how do you go about assessing them?

assessment
judging or measuring a quality of someone or something

- is she a good teacher?
- is he a good student?
- how tall is she?
- how familiar is he with Unix?
  - is he familiar with Unix?
- how experienced is the nurse?
  - how many years? what specialties? what procedures?
- ...
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assertions
statements that can be true or false
validity is observable

- is she a good teacher?
  her exams reflect and cover all the material
- is he a good student?
  he always reads the material before class
- how tall is she?
  she is 5’10”
- how familiar is he with Unix?
  he is familiar with basic file manipulation
- how experienced is the nurse?
  she has 9 years experience in obstetrics
- ...

result of an assessment
may be a truth value

- is she a good teacher?
  yes / no
- is he a good student?
- how tall is she?
- how familiar is he with Unix?
- how experienced is the nurse?
- ...

an assessment is well grounded if there exist adequate supporting assertions
result of an assessment may be a quantity

- is she a good teacher?
- is he a good student?
- how tall is she? she is 5’10”
- how familiar is he with Unix?
- how experienced is the nurse?
- ...

result of an assessment may be a qualitative scale

- is she a good teacher?
- is he a good student?
- how tall is she?
- how familiar is he with Unix? novice
- how experienced is the nurse? expert in obstetrics
- ...

an assessment is well grounded if there exist adequate supporting assertions
qualitative assessment is guided by standards

example

1. **beginner**
   little knowledge or skills
2. **novice**
   understands rules or process
3. **competent**
   usually does what is expected
4. **proficient**
   sets a standard for others
5.a **master**
   superior performance at a level that most could never reach,
   helps others rise to new heights of excellence
5.b **virtuoso**
   groundbreaking achievement,
   widely admired and inspirational

the community sets the standards for the set of assertions that supports each assessment level

may vary between different communities

another example

1. **A**
   superior demonstration of knowledge
2. **A-**
   solid demonstration of knowledge
3. **B+**
   understands most material, no serious problems
4. **B**
   understands most material, some problems
5. **C**
   significant lapses in knowledge, mostly adequate
6. **F**
   inadequate, most material not learned

the community sets the standards for the set of assertions that supports each assessment level

may vary between different communities
in general assessments are used to prepare for action

- offering/accepting a job
- making a recommendation
- taking a class
- working on a project
- voting for a candidate
- buying a car
- ...

in summary
what to know about users?

- work experience
- computer experience
- age...

now reformulated as
what to assess about users?
in precise terms

what to assess is informed by
what does the user need to know?
to perform the tasks using the software
user personas
more than demographics & expertise

- knowledge
  - task semantics, computer semantics, app syntax
- goals
  - priorities, commitment, attention, responsibilities
- skills & perceptions
  - short & long-term memory,
    graphical interpretation,
    language speaking/understanding,
    visual impairment,
    dexterity...

what's relevant for the tasks

prj 2: model personas, tasks & context
guidelines

- tasks & context for your project
  - model a few representative tasks
  - include measurements and success criteria
    concrete usability metrics for each task
- user personas
  - think of important knowledge & skills
  - assess those with your user base: Feb 20, Mar 19
- write-up
  - polish your models after user assessment
  - turn in by Feb 25