# **Probabilistic Robotics**

#### **Bayes Filter Implementations**

Discrete filters, Particle filters

## Piecewise Constant

 Representation of belief



# **Discrete Bayes Filter Algorithm**

- 1. Algorithm **Discrete\_Bayes\_filter**( *Bel(x),d* ):
- **2.** η=0
- 3. If *d* is a perceptual data item *z* then
- 4. For all *x* do
- 5.  $Bel'(x) = P(z \mid x)Bel(x)$

$$6. \qquad \eta = \eta + Bel'(x)$$

7. For all x do

8. 
$$Bel'(x) = \eta^{-1}Bel'(x)$$

- 9. Else if *d* is an action data item *u* then
- 10. For all x do

11. 
$$Bel'(x) = \sum_{x'} P(x | u, x') Bel(x')$$

12. Return *Bel'(x)* 

## **Piecewise Constant Representation**



# **Implementation (1)**

- To update the belief upon sensory input and to carry out the normalization one has to iterate over all cells of the grid.
- Especially when the belief is peaked (which is generally the case during position tracking), one wants to avoid updating irrelevant aspects of the state space.
- One approach is not to update entire sub-spaces of the state space.
- This, however, requires to monitor whether the robot is de-localized or not.
- To achieve this, one can consider the likelihood of the observations given the active components of the state space.

# **Implementation (2)**

- To efficiently update the belief upon robot motions, one typically assumes a bounded Gaussian model for the motion uncertainty.
- This reduces the update cost from  $O(n^2)$  to O(n), where *n* is the number of states.
- The update can also be realized by shifting the data in the grid according to the measured motion.
- In a second step, the grid is then convolved using a separable Gaussian Kernel.
- Two-dimensional example:



- Fewer arithmetic operations
- Easier to implement

### **Grid-based Localization**













# Sonars and Occupancy Grid Map





**Robot position (A)** 







#### **Tree-based Representation**

**Idea**: Represent density using a variant of octrees





#### **Tree-based Representations**

- Efficient in space and time
- Multi-resolution



#### **Xavier:** Localization in a Topological Map



[Courtesy of Reid Simmons]

### **Sample-based Localization (sonar)**



#### Markov $\Leftrightarrow$ Kalman Filter Localization

#### Markov localization

- localization starting from any unknown position
- recovers from ambiguous situation.
- However, to update the probability of all positions within the whole state space at any time requires a discrete representation of the space (grid). The required memory and calculation power can thus become very important if a fine grid is used.

- Kalman filter localization
  - tracks the robot and is inherently very precise and efficient.
  - However, if the uncertainty of the robot becomes to large (e.g. collision with an object) the Kalman filter will fail and the position is definitively lost.

### **Monte Carlo Localization**



#### **Particle Filters**

- Represent belief by random samples
- Estimation of non-Gaussian, nonlinear processes
- Monte Carlo filter, Survival of the fittest, Condensation, Bootstrap filter, Particle filter
- Filtering: [Rubin, 88], [Gordon et al., 93], [Kitagawa 96]
- Computer vision: [Isard and Blake 96, 98]
- Dynamic Bayesian Networks: [Kanazawa et al., 95]d

#### Markov Localization: Case Study 2 – Grid Map (3)

- The 1D case
  - 1. Start
    - No knowledge at start, thus we have an uniform probability distribution.
  - 2. Robot perceives first pillar
    - Seeing only one pillar, the probability being at pillar 1, 2 or 3 is equal.
  - 3. Robot moves
    - Action model enables to estimate the new probability distribution based on the previous one and the motion.
  - 4. Robot perceives second pillar
    - Base on all prior knowledge the probability being at pillar 2 becomes dominant











#### Weight samples: w = f/g

In particle filters f corresponds to Bel(x(t)) and g to predicted belief x(t)

#### **Importance Sampling with Resampling: Landmark Detection Example**



### **Probabilistic Model**

1. Algorithm landmark\_detection\_model(z,x,m):  $z = \langle i, d, \alpha \rangle, x = \langle x, y, \theta \rangle$ 2.  $\hat{d} = \sqrt{(m_x(i) - x)^2 + (m_y(i) - y)^2}$ 

3. 
$$\hat{a} = \operatorname{atan2}(m_y(i) - y, m_x(i) - x) - \theta$$

4. 
$$p_{det} = \operatorname{prob}(\hat{d} - d, \varepsilon_d) \cdot \operatorname{prob}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha)$$

$$z_{\text{det}} p_{\text{det}} + z_{\text{fp}} P_{\text{uniform}}(z \mid x, m)$$

5. Return

Computing likelihood of landmark measurement Landmark – distance, bearing and signature

# Landmark model

- How to sample likely poses, given the landmark measurement ?
- Given a landmark measurement, robot can lie on a circle. Generate samples along the circle.
- Idea: use the inverse sensor model. Given distance and bearing (possibly noisy), generate samples along circle.
- Do it for every landmark

# **Distributions**











# This is Easy!

# We can draw samples from $p(x|z_l)$ by adding noise to the detection parameters.





# **Importance Sampling with Resampling**

Target distribution f :  $p(x | z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) = \frac{\prod_k p(z_k | x) p(x)}{p(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)}$ 

Sampling distribution 
$$g: p(x | z_l) = \frac{p(z_l | x)p(x)}{p(z_l)}$$

Importance weights w: 
$$\frac{f}{g} = \frac{p(x \mid z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)}{p(x \mid z_l)} = \frac{p(z_l) \prod_{k \neq l} p(z_k \mid x)}{p(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)}$$

# **Importance Sampling with Resampling**



#### Weighted samples



#### After resampling

### **Particle Filters**



#### **Sensor Information: Importance Sampling**





p(s)





#### **Robot Motion**

$$Bel^{-}(x) \leftarrow \int p(x | u, x') Bel(x') dx'$$



#### **Sensor Information: Importance Sampling**





#### **Robot Motion**





#### **Particle Filter Algorithm**

1. Algorithm **particle\_filter**( $S_{t-1}$ ,  $u_{t-1} z_t$ ):

$$2. \quad S_t = \emptyset, \quad \eta = 0$$

- *3.* For i = 1...n *Generate new samples*
- 4. Sample index j(i) from the discrete distribution given by  $w_{t-1}$ 5. Sample  $x_t^i$  from  $p(x_t | x_{t-1}, u_{t-1})$  using  $x_{t-1}^{j(i)}$  and  $u_{t-1}$ 6.  $w_t^i = p(z_t | x_t^i)$  Compute importance weight 7.  $\eta = \eta + w_t^i$  Update normalization factor 8.  $S_t = S_t \cup \{ < x_t^i, w_t^i > \}$  Insert 9. For i = 1...n10.  $w_t^i = w_t^i / \eta$  Normalize weights

# **Particle Filter Algorithm**



## Resampling

- **Given**: Set *S* of weighted samples.
- Wanted : Random sample, where the probability of drawing *x<sub>i</sub>* is given by *w<sub>i</sub>*.

• Typically done *n* times with replacement to generate new sample set *S'*.
## Resampling



- Roulette wheel
- Binary search, n log n



- Stochastic universal sampling
- Systematic resampling
- Linear time complexity
- Easy to implement, low variance

### **Resampling Algorithm**

1. Algorithm **systematic\_resampling**(*S*,*n*):

>

2.  $S' = \emptyset, c_1 = w^1$ 3. For i = 2...n4.  $c_i = c_{i-1} + w^i$ 5.  $u_1 \sim U ] 0, n^{-1} ], i = 1$ Generate cdf Initialize threshold

6. For 
$$j = 1...n$$
  
7. While  $(u_i > c_i)$ 

8. 
$$i = i + 1$$
  
9.  $S' = S' \cup \{ x^i, n^{-1} \}$ 

10. 
$$u_{j+1} = u_j + n^2$$

Draw samples ... Skip until next threshold reached

Insert Increment threshold

**11. Return** *S*'

Also called stochastic universal sampling

## **Motion Model Reminder**



#### **Proximity Sensor Model Reminder**







































## **Sample-based Localization (sonar)**



## **Initial Distribution**



## After Incorporating Ten Ultrasound Scans



## **After Incorporating 65 Ultrasound Scans**



## **Estimated Path**



http://www.youtube.com/watch? v=MELYZ5r5V1c

## **Summary**

- Particle filters are an implementation of recursive Bayesian filtering
- They represent the posterior by a set of weighted samples.
- In the context of localization, the particles are propagated according to the motion model.
- They are then weighted according to the likelihood of the observations.
- In a re-sampling step, new particles are drawn with a probability proportional to the likelihood of the observation.

# **Using Ceiling Maps for Localization**



## **Vision-based Localization**



# **Under a Light**

#### Measurement z:

#### P(z|x):





# Next to a Light

#### Measurement z:







### **Elsewhere**

#### Measurement z:







### **Global Localization Using Vision**



## **Robots in Action: Albert**



## **Application: Rhino and Albert Synchronized in Munich and Bonn**





[Robotics And Automation Magazine, to appear]
# Localization for AIBO robots



### Limitations

- The approach described so far is able to
  - track the pose of a mobile robot and to
  - globally localize the robot.
- How can we deal with localization errors (i.e., the kidnapped robot problem)?

### **Approaches**

- Randomly insert samples (the robot can be teleported at any point in time).
- Insert random samples proportional to the average likelihood of the particles (the robot has been teleported with higher probability when the likelihood of its observations drops).

**Random Samples Vision-Based Localization** 936 Images, 4MB, .6secs/image Trajectory of the robot:



### **Odometry Information**



### **Image Sequence**



### **Resulting Trajectories**

Position tracking:



## **Resulting Trajectories**

Global localization:



### **Global Localization**



## **Kidnapping the Robot**



### **Recovery from Failure**



#### **Importance Sampling with Resampling: Landmark Detection Example**



### **Probabilistic Model**

1. Algorithm landmark\_detection\_model(z,x,m):  $z = \langle i, d, \alpha \rangle, x = \langle x, y, \theta \rangle$ 2.  $\hat{d} = \sqrt{(m_x(i) - x)^2 + (m_y(i) - y)^2}$ 3.  $\hat{\alpha} = \operatorname{atan2}(m_y(i) - y, m_x(i) - x) - \theta$ 4.  $p_{det} = \operatorname{prob}(\hat{d} - d, \varepsilon_d) \cdot \operatorname{prob}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha)$  $z_{det} p_{det} + z_{fp} P_{uniform}(z \mid x, m)$ 

5. Return

Computing likelihood of landmark measurement Landmark – distance, bearing and signature

### Landmark model

- How to sample likely poses, given the landmark measurement ?
- Given a landmark measurement, robot can lie on a circle. Generate samples along the circle.
- Idea: use the inverse sensor model. Given distance and bearing (possibly noisy), generate samples along circle.
- Do it for every landmark

### **Distributions**











### This is Easy!

# We can draw samples from $p(x|z_l)$ by adding noise to the detection parameters.





### **Importance Sampling with Resampling**

Target distribution f :  $p(x | z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) = \frac{\prod_k p(z_k | x) p(x)}{p(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)}$ 

Sampling distribution 
$$g: p(x | z_l) = \frac{p(z_l | x)p(x)}{p(z_l)}$$

Importance weights w: 
$$\frac{f}{g} = \frac{p(x \mid z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)}{p(x \mid z_l)} = \frac{p(z_l) \prod_{k \neq l} p(z_k \mid x)}{p(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)}$$

### **Importance Sampling with Resampling**





#### Weighted samples

#### After resampling

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ABzzFMzFE3Y