RMI: Design & Implementation

Operating Systems

Middleware layers

- Applications, services
- RMI and RPC
- request-reply protocol
  marshalling and external data representation
- UDP and TCP

Middleware layers
Request-reply communication

Design Issues for RMI

- **RMI Invocation Semantics**
  - Invocation semantics depend upon implementation of Request-Reply protocol used by RMI
  - Maybe, At-least-once, At-most-once

- **Transparency**
  - Should remote invocations be transparent to the programmer?
    - Partial failure, higher latency
  - Current consensus: remote invocations should be made transparent in the sense that syntax of a remote invocation is the same as the syntax of local invocation (access transparency) but programmers should be able to distinguish between remote and local objects by looking at their interfaces, e.g. in Java RMI, remote objects implement the `Remote` interface
Request-Reply protocol

- Issues in *marshaling* of parameters and results
  - Input, output, Inout parameters
  - Data representation
  - Passing pointers? (e.g., call by reference in C)
- Distributed object references
- Handling failures in request-reply protocol
  - Partial failure
    - Client, Server, Network

Operations of the request-reply protocol

*public byte[] doOperation (RemoteObjectRef o, int methodId, byte[] arguments)*

sends a request message to the remote object and returns the reply.

The arguments specify the remote object, the method to be invoked and the arguments of that method.

*public byte[] getRequest ();*

acquires a client request via the server port.

*public void sendReply (byte[] reply, InetAddress clientHost, int clientPort);*

sends the reply message reply to the client at its Internet address and port.
Request-reply message structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>messageType</td>
<td>int (0=Request, 1=Reply)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requestId</td>
<td>int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectReference</td>
<td>RemoteObjectRef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methodId</td>
<td>int or Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arguments</td>
<td>array of bytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Marshalling

- Pack method arguments and results into a flat array of bytes
- Use a canonical representation of data types, e.g. integers, characters, doubles
- Examples
  - SUN XDR
  - CORBA CDR
  - Java serialization
CORBA CDR for constructed types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sequence</td>
<td>length (unsigned long) followed by elements in order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>string</td>
<td>length (unsigned long) followed by characters in order (can also can have wide characters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>array</td>
<td>array elements in order (no length specified because it is fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>struct</td>
<td>in the order of declaration of the components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enumerated</td>
<td>unsigned long (the values are specified by the order declared)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>union</td>
<td>type tag followed by the selected member</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CORBA CDR message

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>index in sequence of bytes</th>
<th>4 bytes</th>
<th>notes on representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>length of string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Smith’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>“Smit”</td>
<td>length of string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-11</td>
<td>“h___”</td>
<td>‘London’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>“Lond”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-23</td>
<td>“on___”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-27</td>
<td>1934</td>
<td>unsigned long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The flattened form represents a
Person struct with value: {'Smith', 'London', 1934}
**Indication of Java serialized form**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person</th>
<th>8-byte version number</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>int year</td>
<td>h0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>5 Smith</td>
<td>h1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Java serialized form:
- 8-byte version number
- java.lang.String
- java.lang.String

The true serialized form contains additional type markers; $h_0$ and $h_1$ are handles.

**Representation of a remote object reference**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>32 bits</th>
<th>32 bits</th>
<th>32 bits</th>
<th>32 bits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP address</td>
<td>port number</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>object number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CORBA interoperable object references

IOR format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDL interface type name</th>
<th>Protocol and address details</th>
<th>Object key</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>interface repository identifier</td>
<td>IIOP host domain port number adapter name object name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RPC exchange protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Messages sent by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Client Server Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Request Reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRA</td>
<td>Request Reply Acknowledge reply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Handling failures

- Types of failure
  - Client unable to locate server
  - Request message lost
  - Reply message lost
  - Server crashes after receiving a request
  - Client crashes after sending a request

Handling failures

- Client cannot locate server
  - Reasons
    - Server has crashed
    - Server has moved
    - (RPC systems) client compiled using old version of service interface
  - System must report error (remote exception) to client
    - Loss of transparency
Handling failures

- Lost request message
  - Retransmit a fixed number of times before throwing an exception

- Lost reply message
  - Client resubmits request
  - Server choices
    - Re-execute procedure \(\Rightarrow\) service should be idempotent so that it can be repeated safely
    - Filter duplicates \(\Rightarrow\) server should hold on to results until acknowledged

Invocation semantics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retransmit request message</th>
<th>Duplicate filtering</th>
<th>Re-execute procedure or retransmit reply</th>
<th>Invocation semantics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Re-execute procedure</td>
<td>At-least-once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Retransmit reply</td>
<td>At-most-once</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Handling failures

- Server crashes
  - At least once (keep trying till server comes up again)
  - At most once (return immediately)
  - Exactly once impossible to achieve
- SUN RPC
  - At least once semantics on successful call and maybe semantics if unsuccessful call
- CORBA, Java RMI
  - at most once semantics
Handling failures

- Implementing the request-reply protocol on top of TCP
  - Does it provide applications with different invocation semantics?
    - NO!
      - TCP does not help with server crashes
      - If a connection is broken, the end pts do not have any guarantees about the delivery of messages that may have been in transit

Handling failures

- Client crashes
  - If client crashes before RPC returns, we have an “orphan” computation at server
    - Wastes resources, could also start other computations
  - Orphan detection
    - Reincarnation (client broadcasts new “epoch” when it comes up again)
    - Expiration (RPC has fixed amount of time T to do work)
**RMI Implementation**

- **Communication module**
  - Implements the request-reply protocol
- **Remote reference module**
  - Responsible for translating between local and remote object references and for creating remote object references
    - Maintains remote object table that maintains a mapping between local & remote object references
    - E.g. Object Adaptor in CORBA

**The role of proxy and skeleton in remote method invocation**

![Diagram showing the role of proxy and skeleton in remote method invocation](image)
RMI Implementation

- RMI software
  - Generated by IDL compiler
  - Proxy
    - Behaves like remote object to clients (invoker)
    - Marshals arguments, forwards message to remote object, unmarshals results, returns results to client
  - Skeleton
    - Server side stub;
    - Unmarshals arguments, invokes method, marshals results and sends to sending proxy's method
  - Dispatcher
    - Receives the request message from communication module, passes on the message to the appropriate method in the skeleton

- Server and Client programs

RMI Implementation

- Binder
  - Client programs need a means of obtaining a remote object reference
  - Binder is a service that maintains a mapping from textual names to remote object references
  - Servers need to register the services they are exporting with the binder
  - Java RMIregistry, CORBA Naming service

- Server threads
  - Several choices: thread per object, thread per invocation
  - Remote method invocations must allow for concurrent execution
Optional Assignment: Implementing RPC/RMI

- **RPC**
  - Implement communication module using UDP as transport protocol
    - Main challenge: support at most once semantics
  - Hand-construct client & server stubs, dispatcher for arithmetic server program
    - Marshalling arguments & results

- **RMI**
  - Implement communication module using TCP
  - Implement generic dispatcher making use of Java reflection
  - Implement remote reference module
  - Hand-construct client stub & skeleton
    - Marshalling arguments & results (can use Java serialization)
    - Can construct generic skeleton using Java reflection

RMI – Other topics

- **Activation of remote objects**
  - Some applications require that information survive for long periods of times
  - However, objects not in use all the time, so keeping them in running processes is a potential waste of resources
  - Object can be activated on demand
    - E.g. standard TCP services such as FTP on UNIX machines are activated by inetd
RMI - Other topics

- Persistent object stores
  - An object that is guaranteed to live between activations of processes is called a persistent object
  - Stores the state of an object in a marshalled (serialized) form on disk

- Location service
  - Objects can migrate from one system to another during their lifetime
  - Maintains mapping between object references and the location of an object

RMI - Other topics

- Distributed Garbage Collection
  - Needed for reclaiming space on servers

- Passing "behavior"
  - Java allows objects (data + code) to be passed by value
    - If the class for an object passed by value is not present in a JVM, its code is downloaded automatically
  - See Java RMI tutorial example

- Use of Reflection in Java RMI
  - Allows construction of generic dispatcher and skeleton
Classes supporting Java RMI

RemoteObject
  └── RemoteServer
     ├── Activatable
     └── UnicastRemoteObject
          └── <servant class>

Readings

- Coulouris - Chapters 4,5
- WWW (see links on class web page)
  - Java RMI tutorial on web
  - “A Young Persons Guide to SOAP”