# BELIEF NETWORKS 

## Chapter 15.1-2

## Outline

$\diamond$ Conditional independence
$\diamond$ Bayesian networks: syntax and semantics
Exact inference
$\diamond$ Approximate inference

## Independence

Two random variables $A B$ are (absolutely) independent iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(A \mid B) & =P(A) \\
\text { or } P(A, B) & =P(A \mid B) P(B)=P(A) P(B)
\end{aligned}
$$

e.g., $A$ and $B$ are two coin tosses

If $n$ Boolean variables are independent, the full joint is

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\prod_{i} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

hence can be specified by just $n$ numbers
Absolute independence is a very strong requirement, seldom met

## Conditional independence

Consider the dentist problem with three random variables:
Toothache, Cavity, Catch (steel probe catches in my tooth)
The full joint distribution has $2^{3}-1=7$ independent entries
If I have a cavity, the probability that the probe catches in it doesn't depend on whether I have a toothache:
(1) $P($ Catch $\mid$ Toothache, Cavity $)=P($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$
i.e., Catch is conditionally independent of Toothache given Cavity

The same independence holds if I haven't got a cavity:
(2) $P($ Catch $\mid$ Toothache,$\neg$ Cavity $)=P($ Catch $\mid \neg$ Cavity $)$

## Conditional independence contd.

Equivalent statements to (1)
(1a) $P($ Toothache $\mid$ Catch, Cavity $)=P($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) \underline{\underline{\text { Why?? }} ? ~}$
(1b) $P($ Toothache, Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)=$ $P($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) P($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$ Why??

Full joint distribution can now be written as
$\mathbf{P}($ Toothache, Catch, Cavity $)=$
$\mathbf{P}($ Toothache, Catch $\mid$ Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ Cavity $)$
$=\mathbf{P}($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $) \mathbf{P}($ Cavity $)$
i.e., $2+2+1=5$ independent numbers (equations 1 and 2 remove 2 )

## Conditional independence contd.

Equivalent statements to (1)
(1a) $P($ Toothache $\mid$ Catch, Cavity $)=P($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $)$ Why??
$P($ Toothache $\mid$ Catch, Cavity $)$
$=P($ Catch $\mid$ Toothache, Cavity $) P($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) / P($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$
$=P($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $) P($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) / P($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$
(from 1)
$=P($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $)$
(1b) $P($ Toothache, Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)=$
$P($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) P($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$ Why??
$P($ Toothache, Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$
$=P($ Toothache $\mid$ Catch, Cavity $) P($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)$ (product rule)
$=P($ Toothache $\mid$ Cavity $) P($ Catch $\mid$ Cavity $)($ from 1a $)$

## Belief networks

A simple, graphical notation for conditional independence assertions and hence for compact specification of full joint distributions

Syntax:
a set of nodes, one per variable
a directed, acyclic graph (link $\approx$ "directly influences")
a conditional distribution for each node given its parents: $\mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid\right.$ Parents $\left.\left(X_{i}\right)\right)$

In the simplest case, conditional distribution represented as
a conditional probability table (CPT)

## Example

I'm at work, neighbor John calls to say my alarm is ringing, but neighbor Mary doesn't call. Sometimes it's set off by minor earthquakes. Is there a burglar?

Variables: Burglar, Earthquake, Alarm, JohnCalls, MaryCalls Network topology reflects "causal" knowledge:


Note: $\leq k$ parents $\Rightarrow O\left(d^{k} n\right)$ numbers vs. $O\left(d^{n}\right)$

## Semantics

"Global" semantics defines the full joint distribution as the product of the local conditional distributions:

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

e.g., $P(J \wedge M \wedge A \wedge \neg B \wedge \neg E)$ is given by??

## Semantics

"Global" semantics defines the full joint distribution as the product of the local conditional distributions:

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

e.g., $P(J \wedge M \wedge A \wedge \neg B \wedge \neg E)$ is given by??

$$
=P(\neg B) P(\neg E) P(A \mid \neg B \wedge \neg \overline{E) P(J \mid A)} P(M \mid A)
$$

"Local" semantics: each node is conditionally independent of its nondescendants given its parents

Theorem: Local semantics $\Leftrightarrow$ global semantics

## Markov blanket

Each node is conditionally independent of all others given its Markov blanket: parents + children + children's parents


## Constructing belief networks

Need a method such that a series of locally testable assertions of conditional independence guarantees the required global semantics

1. Choose an ordering of variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$
2. For $i=1$ to $n$
add $X_{i}$ to the network
select parents from $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}$ such that

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}\right)
$$

This choice of parents guarantees the global semantics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}\right) \text { (chain rule) } \\
& \quad=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \text { Parents }\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \text { by construction }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


JohnCalls

$$
P(J \mid M)=P(J) ?
$$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(J \mid M)=P(J) \text { ? No } \\
& P(A \mid J, M)=P(A \mid J) ? P(A \mid J, M)=P(A) ?
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


## Burglary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(J \mid M)=P(J) \text { ? No } \\
& P(A \mid J, M)=P(A \mid J) ? P(A \mid J, M)=P(A) \text { ? No } \\
& P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B \mid A) \text { ? } \\
& P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B) \text { ? }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


Earthquake

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(J \mid M)=P(J) ? \quad \text { No } \\
& P(A \mid J, M)=P(A \mid J) ? P(A \mid J, M)=P(A) \text { ? No } \\
& P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B \mid A) \text { ? Yes } \\
& P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B) \text { ? No } \\
& P(E \mid B, A, J, M)=P(E \mid A) ? \\
& P(E \mid B, A, J, M)=P(E \mid A, B) \text { ? }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(J \mid M)=P(J) ? \quad \text { No } \\
& P(A \mid J, M)=P(A \mid J) ? P(A \mid J, M)=P(A) \text { ? No } \\
& P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B \mid A) \text { ? Yes } \\
& P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B) \text { ? No } \\
& P(E \mid B, A, J, M)=P(E \mid A) \text { ? No } \\
& P(E \mid B, A, J, M)=P(E \mid A, B) \text { ? Yes }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Car diagnosis

Initial evidence: engine won't start
Testable variables (thin ovals), diagnosis variables (thick ovals) Hidden variables (shaded) ensure sparse structure, reduce parameters


## Example: Car insurance

Predict claim costs (medical, liability, property) given data on application form (other unshaded nodes)


## Compact conditional distributions

CPT grows exponentially with no. of parents
CPT becomes infinite with continuous-valued parent or child
Solution: canonical distributions that are defined compactly
Deterministic nodes are the simplest case:
$X=f(\operatorname{Parents}(X))$ for some function $f$
E.g., Boolean functions

NorthAmerican $\Leftrightarrow$ Canadian $\vee U S \vee$ Mexican
E.g., numerical relationships among continuous variables

$$
\frac{\partial L e v e l}{\partial t}=\text { inflow }+ \text { precipation - outflow - evaporation }
$$

## Compact conditional distributions contd.

Noisy-OR distributions model multiple noninteracting causes

1) Parents $U_{1} \ldots U_{k}$ include all causes (can add leak node)
2) Independent failure probability $q_{i}$ for each cause alone

$$
\Rightarrow P\left(X \mid U_{1} \ldots U_{j}, \neg U_{j+1} \ldots \neg U_{k}\right)=1-\prod_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}
$$

| Cold | Flu | Malaria | $P($ Fever $)$ | $P(\neg$ Fever $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| F | F | F | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | 1.0 |
| F | F | T | 0.9 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |
| F | T | F | 0.8 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| F | T | T | 0.98 | $0.02=0.2 \times 0.1$ |
| T | F | F | 0.4 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| T | F | T | 0.94 | $0.06=0.6 \times 0.1$ |
| T | T | F | 0.88 | $0.12=0.6 \times 0.2$ |
| T | T | T | 0.988 | $0.012=0.6 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ |

Number of parameters linear in number of parents

## Hybrid (discrete+continuous) networks

Discrete (Subsidy? and Buys?); continuous (Harvest and Cost)


Option 1: discretization-possibly large errors, large CPTs
Option 2: finitely parameterized canonical families

1) Continuous variable, discrete+continuous parents (e.g., Cost)
2) Discrete variable, continuous parents (e.g., Buys?)

## Continuous child variables

Need one conditional density function for child variable given continuous parents, for each possible assignment to discrete parents Most common is the linear Gaussian model, e.g.,:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\text { Cost }=c \mid \text { Harvest }=h, \text { Subsidy } ?=\text { true }) \\
& \quad=N\left(a_{t} h+b_{t}, \sigma_{t}\right)(c) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\sigma_{t} \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{c-\left(a_{t} h+b_{t}\right)}{\sigma_{t}}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Mean Cost varies linearly with Harvest, variance is fixed Linear variation is unreasonable over the full range
but works OK if the likely range of Harvest is narrow

## Continuous child variables



All-continuous network with LG distributions
$\Rightarrow$ full joint is a multivariate Gaussian

Discrete+continuous LG network is a conditional Gaussian network i.e., a multivariate Gaussian over all continuous variables for each combination of discrete variable values

## Discrete variable w/ continuous parents

Probability of Buys? given Cost should be a "soft" threshold:


Probit distribution uses integral of Gaussian:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(x)=\int_{-\infty}{ }^{x} N(0,1)(x) d x \\
& P(\text { Buys } ?=\text { true } \mid \text { Cost }=c)=\Phi((-c+\mu) / \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Can view as hard threshold whose location is subject to noise

## Discrete variable contd.

Sigmoid (or logit) distribution also used in neural networks:

$$
P(\text { Buys } ?=\text { true } \mid \text { Cost }=c)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(-2 \frac{-c+\mu}{\sigma}\right)}
$$

Sigmoid has similar shape to probit but much longer tails:


