Edge and local feature detection

- Gradient based edge detection
- Edge detection by function fitting
- Second derivative edge detectors
- Corner detection
- Color edge detection
- Edge linking and the construction of the chain graph
Importance of edge detection in computer vision

- Information reduction
  - replace image by a cartoon in which objects and surface markings are outlined
  - these are the most informative parts of the image
- Biological plausibility
  - initial stages of mammalian vision systems involve detection of edges and local features
1-D edge detection

- An ideal edge is a step function

\[ I(x) \]

\[ I'(x) \]
1-D edge detection

- The first derivative of $I(x)$ has a **peak** at the edge
- The second derivative of $I(x)$ has a **zero crossing** at the edge
1-D edge detection

- More realistically, image edges are blurred and the regions that meet at those edges have noise or variations in intensity.
  - blur - high first derivatives near edges
  - noise - high first derivatives within regions that meet at edges
Edge detection in 2-D

Let $f(x,y)$ be the image intensity function. It has derivatives in all directions.

- the gradient is a vector pointing in the direction in which the first derivative is highest, and whose length is the magnitude of the first derivative in that direction.

- If $f$ is continuous and differentiable, then its gradient can be determined from the directional derivatives in any two orthogonal directions - standard to use $x$ and $y$

\[
magnitude = m = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
direction = \alpha = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial f / \partial y}{\partial f / \partial x} \right)
\]
Math Refresher: Vectors and Derivatives

\[ f'(x) = \frac{df}{dx} = \tan \theta \]

Partial derivatives: \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \)

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x} |_{y=y_0} = f'(x,y_0) \right] \]

Gradient:

\[ \nabla f(x,y) = i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + j \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \]

\[ [i, j \text{ – unit vectors in } x,y \text{ directions}] \]
Math Refresher: Vectors and Derivatives (cont.)

\[ a = i x_1 + j y_1, \quad b = i x_2 + j y_2 \]
\[ c = a + b = i(x_1 + x_2) + j(y_1 + y_2) \]

\[ \nabla f \cdot n = \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} \]

Inner product:
\[ f \cdot e = x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 = |f| |e| \cos \alpha \]

Directional derivative:
\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} = \nabla f \cdot n \]
Edge detection in 2-D

- With a digital image, the partial derivatives are replaced by finite differences:
  \[ \Delta_x f = f(x,y) - f(x-1, y) \]
  \[ \Delta_y f = f(x,y) - f(x, y-1) \]

- Alternatives are (much better):
  \[ \Delta_{2x} f = 0.5*(f(x+1,y) - f(x-1,y)) \]
  \[ \Delta_{2y} f = 0.5*(f(x,y+1) - f(x,y-1)) \]

- Robert’s gradient
  \[ \Delta_+ f = f(x+1,y+1) - f(x,y) \]
  \[ \Delta_- f = f(x,y+1) - f(x+1, y) \]

\[ \begin{array}{c c c}
0 & 1 & \\
-1 & 0 & \\
1 & 0 & \\
0 & -1 & 
\end{array} \]
Sobel mask (gradient):

\[ f_x = \frac{[f(x+1,y-1)+2f(x+1,y)+f(x+1,y+1) - f(x-1,y-1) - 2f(x-1,y) - f(x-1,y+1)]}{8} \]

\[ f_y = \frac{[f(x+1,y+1)+2f(x,y+1)+f(x-1,y+1) - f(x+1,y-1) - 2f(x,y-1) - f(x-1,y-1)]}{8} \]
Edge detection in 2-D

- How do we combine the directional derivatives to compute the gradient magnitude?
  - use the root mean square (RMS) as in the continuous case
  - take the maximum absolute value of the directional derivatives
Combining smoothing and differentiation - fixed scale

- Local operators like the Roberts give high responses to any intensity variation
  - local surface texture
- If the picture is first smoothed by an averaging process, then these local variations are removed and what remains are the “prominent” edges
  - smoothing is blurring, and details are removed
- Example $f_{2x2}(x,y) = 1/4[f(x,y) + f(x+1,y) + f(x,y+1) + f(x+1,y+1)]$
Smoothing - basic problems

- What function should be used to smooth or average the image before differentiation?
  - box filters or uniform smoothing
    - easy to compute
    - for large smoothing neighborhoods assigns too much weight to points far from an edge
  - Gaussian, or exponential, smoothing

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{2\sigma^2}}
\]
Smoothing and convolution

The convolution of two functions, \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) is defined as

\[
h(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x') f(x - x') \, dx' = g(x) * f(x)
\]

When the functions \( f \) and \( g \) are discrete and when \( g \) is nonzero only over a finite range \([-n,n]\) then this integral is replaced by the following summation:

\[
h(i) = \sum_{j=-n}^{n} g(j) f(i + j)
\]
Example of 1-d convolution

\[
h(4) = \sum_{j=-2}^{2} g(j) f(4 + j)
\]

\[
= g(-2) f(2) + g(-1) f(3) + g(0) f(4) + g(1) f(5) + g(2) f(6)
\]
Smoothing and convolution

These integrals and summations extend simply to functions of two variables:

\[
h(i, j) = f(i, j) \ast g = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \sum_{l=-n}^{n} g(k, l) f(i + k, j + l)
\]

Convolution computes the weighted sum of the gray levels in each \(nxn\) neighborhood of the image, \(f\), using the matrix of weights \(g\).

Convolution is a so-called linear operator because

\[
g \ast (af_1 + bf_2) = a(g \ast f_1) + b(g \ast f_2)
\]
2-D convolution

\[ h(5,5) = \sum_{k=-1}^{1} \sum_{l=-1}^{1} g(k,l) f(5 + k,5 + l) \]

\[ = g(-1,-1)f(4,4) + g(-1,0)f(4,5) + g(-1,1)f(4,4) \]
\[ + g(0,-1)f(5,4) + g(0,0)f(5,5) + g(0,1)f(5,6) \]
\[ + g(1,-1)f(6,4) + g(1,0)f(6,5) + g(1,1)f(6,6) \]
4.2. LINEAR SYSTEMS

Smoothing and convolution

\[ h[i, j] = A p_1 + B p_2 + C p_3 + D p_4 + E p_5 + F p_6 + G p_7 + H p_8 + I p_9 \]
Gaussian smoothing

- Advantages of Gaussian filtering
  - rotationally symmetric (for large filters)
  - filter weights decrease monotonically from central peak, giving most weight to central pixels
  - Simple and intuitive relationship between size of $\sigma$ and size of objects whose edges will be detected in image.
  - The gaussian is separable:

$$e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{2\sigma^2}} = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \times e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
Advantage of separability

- First convolve the image with a one dimensional horizontal filter
- Then convolve the result of the first convolution with a one dimensional vertical filter
- For a $k \times k$ Gaussian filter, 2D convolution requires $k^2$ operations per pixel
- But using the separable filters, we reduce this to $2k$ operations per pixel.
Separability

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 1 \\
3 & 5 & 5 \\
4 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{bmatrix}\times
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 4 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}=
\begin{bmatrix}
2 & 3 & 3 \\
3 & 5 & 5 \\
4 & 4 & 6 \\
\end{bmatrix}=
\begin{bmatrix}
11 \quad 18 \\
18 \quad 18 \\
65 \quad 65 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

= 2 + 6 + 3 = 11

= 6 + 20 + 10 = 36

= 4 + 8 + 6 = 18

65
Advantages of Gaussians

- Convolution of a Gaussian with itself is another Gaussian
  - so we can first smooth an image with a small Gaussian
  - then, we convolve that smoothed image with another small Gaussian and the result is equivalent to smoother the original image with a larger Gaussian.
  - If we smooth an image with a Gaussian having sd $\sigma$ twice, then we get the same result as smoothing the image with a Gaussian having standard deviation $(2)^{1/2} \sigma$
Use binomial filters as approximations of Gaussians

Faster computations, integer operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p (order)</th>
<th>f (coeff.)</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>$\sigma^2 = p/4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1 2 1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1 3 3 1</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>1 4 6 4 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1/32</td>
<td>1 5 10 10 5 1</td>
<td>5/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1/64</td>
<td>1 6 15 20 15 6 1</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1/128</td>
<td>1 7 21 35 35 21 7 1</td>
<td>7/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1/256</td>
<td>1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Combining smoothing and differentiation - fixed scale

- Non-maxima suppression - Retain a point as an edge point if:
  - its gradient magnitude is higher than a threshold
  - its gradient magnitude is a local maxima in the gradient direction

simple thresholding will compute thick edges
Non-maxima suppression

Simple cases:
- \( f_x = 0, f_y \neq 0 \) // vertical edge
- \( f_x \neq 0, f_y = 0 \) // horizontal edge
- \( |f_x| = |f_y| \) // diagonal edge

\[
m(x, y) = \|\nabla f(x, y)\| = ((f_x)^2 + (f_y)^2)^{1/2}
\]

Example:
- \( f_x = f_y, f_x > 0, f_y > 0 \)
  - Keep \((x, y)\) if
    - \( m(x, y) \geq m(x’, y’) \) & \( m(x, y) > m(x”’, y”’) \)

Messy cases: all other edges
Messy cases: Edge interpolation

\[ \nabla f = if_x + jf_y; \quad a+b=1 \]

\[ m(x,y) = \| \nabla f(x,y) \| = ((f_x)^2 + (f_y)^2)^{1/2} \]

\[ m(x',y') = bm(x+1,y) + am(x+1,y+1) \]

\[ a = \frac{f_y}{f_x}, \quad b = 1 - \frac{f_y}{f_x} \]

Multiply everything by \( f_x \):

\[ f_x \cdot m(x',y') = (f_x f_y) m(x+1,y) + f_y m(x+1,y+1) \]

Similarly:

\[ m(x'',y'') = [(x-1,y-1) \& (x-1,y)] \]

Keep \((x,y)\) if

\[ m(x,y) \geq m(x',y') \& m(x,y) > m(x'',y'') \]
Summary of basic edge detection steps

- Smooth the image to reduce the effects of local intensity variations
  - choice of smoothing operator practically important
- Differentiate the smoothed image using a digital gradient operator that assigns a magnitude and direction of the gradient at each pixel
- Threshold the gradient magnitude to eliminate low contrast edges
Summary of basic edge detection steps

- Apply a nonmaxima suppression step to thin the edges to single pixel wide edges
  - the smoothing will produce an image in which the contrast at an edge is spread out in the neighborhood of the edge
  - thresholding operation will produce thick edges
The scale-space problem

➤ Usually, any single choice of $\sigma$ does not produce a good edge map
  ➤ a large $\sigma$ will produce edges form only the largest objects, and they will not accurately delineate the object because the smoothing reduces shape detail
  ➤ a small $\sigma$ will produce many edges and very jagged boundaries of many objects.

➤ Scale-space approaches
  ➤ detect edges at a range of scales $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$
  ➤ combine the resulting edge maps
    ➤ trace edges detected using large $\sigma$ down through scale space to obtain more accurate spatial localization.
Examples

Gear image

3x3 Gradient magnitude
Examples

High threshold

Medium threshold
Examples

low threshold
Examples

Smoothed 5x5 Gaussian

3x3 gradient magnitude
Examples
Examples

smoothed 15x15 Gaussian

3x3 gradient magnitude
Examples
Gray Level Human Image
Laplacian edge detectors

- Directional second derivative in direction of gradient has a zero crossing at gradient maxima
- Can “approximate” directional second derivative with Laplacian

\[
\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}
\]

- Its digital approximation is

\[
\nabla^2 f(x,y) = [f(x+1,y) + f(x-1,y) + f(x,y+1) + f(x,y-1)] - 4f(x,y)
\]

\[
= [f(x+1,y) - f(x,y)] - [f(x,y) - f(x-1,y)] + [f(x,y+1)-f(x,y)] - [f(x,y) - f(x,y-1)]
\]
Laplacian edge detectors

- Laplacians are also combined with smoothing for edge detectors
  - Take the Laplacian of a Gaussian smoothed image - called the Mexican Hat operator or DoG (Difference of Gaussians)
  - Locate the zero-crossing of the operator
    - these are pixels whose DoG is positive and which have neighbor’s whose DoG is negative or zero
  - Usually, measure the gradient or directional first derivatives at these points to eliminate low contrast edges.
Laplacian of Gaussian or “Mexican Hat”
Laplacian of Gaussian

5x5 Mexican Hat - Laplacian of Gaussian

Zero crossings
Laplacian of Gaussian

13 x 13 Mexican hat

zero crossings
Edge linking and following

- Group edge pixels into **chains** and chains into large pieces of object boundary.
  - can use the shapes of long edge chains in recognition
    - slopes
    - curvature
    - corners
Edge linking and following

Basic steps

- thin connected components of edges to one pixel thick
- find simply connected paths
- link them at corners into a graph model of image contours
  - optionally introduce additional corners on interiors of simple paths
- compute local and global properties of contours and corners
Finding simply connected chains

➤ Goal: create a graph structured representation (chain graph) of the image contours
  ➤ vertex for each junction in the image
  ➤ edge connecting vertices corresponding to junctions that are connected by a chain; edge labeled with chain

Partial graph
Creating the chain graph

Algorithm: given binary image, \( E \), of thinned edges

- create a binary image, \( J \), of junctions and end points
  - points in \( E \) that are 1 and have more than two neighbors that are 1 or exactly one neighbor that is a 1

- create the image \( E-J = C(\text{chains}) \)
  - this image contains the chains of \( E \), but they are broken at junctions
Creating the chain graph

- Perform a connected component analysis of C. For each component store in a table T:
  - its end points (0 or 2)
  - the list of coordinates joining its end points
- For each point in J:
  - create a node in the chain graph, G, with a unique label
Creating the chain graph

- For each chain in C
  - if that chain is a closed loop (has no end points)
    - choose one point from the chain randomly and create a new node in G corresponding to that point
    - mark that point as a “loop junction” to distinguish it from other junctions
    - create an edge in G connecting this new node to itself, and mark that edge with the name of the chain loop
  - if that chain is not a closed loop, then it has two end points
    - create an edge in G linking the two points from J adjacent to its end points
Creating the chain graph

- Data structure for creating the chain graph

- Biggest problem is determining for each open chain in C the points in J that are adjacent to its end points
  - create image J in which all 1’s are marked with their unique labels.
  - For each chain in C
    - Examine the 3x3 neighborhood of each end point of C in J
    - Find the name of the junction or end point adjacent to that end point from this 3x3 neighborhood.
Finding internal “corners” of chains

- Chains are only broken at junctions
  - but important features of the chain might occur at internal points
  - example: closed loop corresponding to a square - would like to find the natural corners of the square and add them as junctions to the chain graph (splitting the chains at those natural corners)

- Curve segmentation
  - similar to image segmentation, but in a 1-D form
    - local methods, like edge detectors
    - global methods, like region analyzers
Local methods of curve segmentation

- Natural locations to segment contours are points where the slope of the curve is changing quickly
  - these correspond, perceptually, to “corners” of the curve.
- To measure the change in slope we are measuring the **curvature** of the curve
  - straight line has 0 curvature
  - circular arc has constant curvature corresponding to $1/r$
  - Can estimate curvature by fitting a simple function (circular arc, quadratic function, cubic function) to each neighborhood of a chain, and using the parameters of the fit to estimate the curvature at the center of the neighborhood.
Consider moving a point, P, along a curve.

- Let T be the unit tangent vector as P moves
  - T has constant length (1)
  - but the direction of T, φ, changes from point to point unless the curve is a straight line
  - measure this direction as the angle between T and the x-axis

\[ T = \frac{dR}{ds}, \text{ s distance along curve} \]
The curvature, $\kappa$, is the instantaneous rate of change of $\phi$ with respect to $s$, distance along the curve

- $\kappa = \frac{d\phi}{ds}$
- $ds = \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2}$
- $\phi = \tan^{-1}\frac{dy}{dx}$
Formulae for curvature

Now

\[
d\phi/\,dx = \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} \\
1 + \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2
\]

and

\[
ds/\,dx = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2}
\]

so

\[
\kappa = d\phi/\,ds = \frac{d\phi/\,dx}{ds/\,dx} = \frac{d^2 y/\,dx^2}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2\right]^{3/2}}
\]
Example - circle

- For the circle
  - $s = a\theta$
  - $\phi = \theta + \pi/2$
  - So $\kappa = d\phi/ds = d\theta/ad\theta = 1/a$
Local methods of curve segmentation

» There are also a wide variety of heuristic methods to estimate curvature-like local properties
» For each point, \( p \), along the curve
» Find the points \( k \) pixels before and after \( p \) on the curve \( (p^{+k}, p^{-k}) \) and then measure
  » the angle between \( pp^{+k} \) and \( pp^{-k} \)
  » the ratio \( s/t \)
Local methods of curve segmentation

- Similar problems to edge detection
  - what is the appropriate size for $k$?
  - how do we combine the curvature estimates at different scales?
  - boundary problems near the ends of open curves - not enough pixels to look out $k$ in both directions
To smooth an image using a Gaussian filter we must

- choose an appropriate value for $\sigma$, which controls how quickly the Gaussian falls to near zero
  - small $\sigma$ produces a filter which drops to near zero quickly - can be implemented using small digital array of weights
  - large $\sigma$ produces a filter which drops to near zero slowly - will be implemented using a larger size digital array of weights

- determine the size weight array needed to adequately represent that Gaussian
  - choose a size for which the values at the edges of the weight array are $10^{-k}$ as large as the center weight
  - weight array needs to be of odd size to allow for symmetry
Gaussian smoothing

To smooth an image using a Gaussian filter we must

- sample the Gaussian by integrating it over the square pixels of the array of weights and multiplying by the scale factor to obtain integer weights
Because we have truncated the Gaussian the weights will not sum to 1.0 x scale factor

- In “flat” areas of the image we expect our smoothing filter to leave the image unchanged
- But if the filter weights do not sum to 1.0 x scale factor, it will either amplify (> 1.0) or de-amplify the image
- Normalize the weight array by dividing each entry by the sum of all the entries
- Convert to integers
Use binomial filters as approximations of Gaussians

Faster computations, integer operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p (order)</th>
<th>f (coeff.)</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>$\sigma^2 = p/4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1 2 1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1 3 3 1</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>1 4 6 4 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1/32</td>
<td>1 5 10 10 5 1</td>
<td>5/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1/64</td>
<td>1 6 15 20 15 6 1</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1/128</td>
<td>1 7 21 35 35 21 7 1</td>
<td>7/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1/256</td>
<td>1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Edge detection by function fitting

- General approach
  - fit a function to each neighborhood of the image
  - use the gradient of the function as the digital gradient of the image neighborhood
Edge detection by function fitting

- Example: fit a plane to a 2x2 neighborhood
  - \( z = ax + by + c; \) \( z \) is gray level - need to determine \( a, b, c \)
  - gradient is then \( (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2} \)
  - neighborhood points are \( f(x, y), f(x+1, y), f(x, y+1) \) and \( f(x+1, y+1) \)
  - Need to minimize

\[
E(a, b, c) = \sum_{i=0}^{1} \sum_{j=0}^{1} [a(x + i) + b(y + j) + c - f(x + i, y + j)]^2
\]

- Solve this and similar problems by:
  - differentiating with respect to \( a, b, c \), setting results to 0, and
  - solving for \( a, b, c \) in resulting system of equations
Edge detection by function fitting

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial a} = \sum \sum 2[(a(x+i) + b(y+j) + c - f(x+i,y+j))(x+i)] \]
\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial b} = \sum \sum 2[(a(x+i) + b(y+j) + c - f(x+i,y+j))(y+j)] \]
\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial c} = \sum \sum 2[a(x+i) + b(y+j) + c - f(x+i,y+j)] \]

It is easy to verify that
\[ a = \frac{[f(x+1,y) + f(x+1,y+1) - f(x,y) - f(x,y+1)]}{2} \]
\[ b = \frac{[f(x,y+1) + f(x+1,y+1) - f(x,y) - f(x+1,y)]}{2} \]

a and b are the x and y partial derivatives

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Edge detection by function fitting

- Could also fit a higher order surface than a plane
  - with a second order surface we could find the (linear) combination of pixel values that corresponds to the higher order derivatives, which can also be used for edge detection

- Would ordinarily use a neighborhood larger than 2x2
  - better fit
  - for high degree functions need more points for the fit to be reliable.
Color Edge Detection

- **Jacobian** $J$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} r_x & r_y \\ g_x & g_y \\ b_x & b_y \end{pmatrix}$$

- **Structure matrix** $S$

$$S = J^T J = \begin{pmatrix} r_x^2 + g_x^2 + b_x^2 & r_x r_y + g_x g_y + b_x b_y \\ r_x r_y + g_x g_y + b_x b_y & r_y^2 + g_y^2 + b_y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
Edge strength

Edge strength at \((x, y)\) is given by

\[
trace(S) = r_x^2 + g_x^2 + b_x^2 + r_y^2 + g_y^2 + b_y^2
\]

Edge strength does not depend on the coordinate system; it is possible to rotate the coordinate system to make the elements that are not on the main diagonal zero

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow S' = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]
Eigenvalues of $S$

- **Solve**

\[ Sx = \lambda x, \quad \lambda \text{ scalar is an eigenvalue of } S, \]
\[ x = (x_1 \ x_2)^T \]

- **For nontrivial solution** $(x=0)$

\[ \det(S - \lambda I) = 0 \iff (a - \lambda)(c - \lambda) - b^2 = 0 \]

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (a + c) \pm \sqrt{(a + c)^2 + 4(b^2 - ac)} \right)
\]

$\lambda_1$ should be 0
Eigenvectors of S

\[(a - \lambda_1)x + by = 0\]
\[bx + (c - \lambda_1) = 0\]

Set \(y = 1\), \((a - \lambda_1)x = -by = -b\)

If \((a - \lambda_1) \neq 0\) (edge not horizontal or vertical, \(x = 1\) otherwise)

\[x = -b/(a - \lambda_1)\]

Normalize to get edge direction:

\[e = \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} \right)\]
Edge strength and direction

- Edge strength
  \[(\lambda_1)^{1/2}\] the larger eigenvalue of S

- Edge gradient direction, the corresponding eigenvector \(e\)

- Can apply non-maxima suppression now
Example
Color edges
Non-maximum suppression results

Yellow middle: maximum gradient edges
Application: Edge-based background subtraction

- “Learn” background edges (mean values and standard deviations of horizontal and vertical edges/derivatives)
- Subtract the background image from a new image
- Mark a point as a foreground if the difference is significant (should be as large as the larger of the background and the new image values)
Edge-based moving object detection
Background Subtraction: Edge Classification

**Occluding edges:**
edges of objects that have entered the scene

**Occluded edges:**
background edges that have been occluded by objects

**Background edges: (not shown):** edges that have not changed
Building Contours through Sampling

- Extract the boundary by background subtraction
- Randomly sample points near the boundary and link them using simple search
- Resample and rebuild if edges are weak
Improvements: Building contours through sampling
The Inertia Tensor (structure matrix)

- Given
  \[ S = \begin{bmatrix} J_{xx} & J_{xy} \\ J_{xy} & J_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \]
  compute eigenvalues of \( S \) (\( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \))

- Classify:
  \( \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \approx 0 \) (edge)
  \( \lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2 \approx 0 \) (constant value in the neigh.)
  \( \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0 \) (corner?)
The Inertia Tensor (structure matrix), cont.

- **Edge orientation:** \( \tan 2\phi = \frac{2J_{xy}}{J_{yy} - J_{xx}} \)

- **Coherency:**

\[
C_c = \frac{(J_{yy} - J_{xx})^2 + 4J_{xy}^2}{(J_{xx} + J_{yy})^2} = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2}
\]

It ranges from 0 to 1. For an ideal edge it is one.