CS695-002 Special Topics in NLP Language Modeling, Smoothing, and Recurrent Neural Networks

Antonis Anastasopoulos



https://cs.gmu.edu/~antonis/course/cs695-fall20/

Slides are taken from Graham Neubig's CMU NN4NLP course

Are These Sentences OK?

- Jane went to the store.
- store to Jane went the.
- Jane went store.
- Jane goed to the store.
- The store went to Jane.
- The food truck went to Jane.

Jane went to the store .

$$P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i)$$

Jane went to the store .

$$P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i)$$

Unigram

Jane went to the store .

$$P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i)$$
Unigram

 $P(\text{Jane went to the store}) = P(Jane) \times P(went) \times P(to) \times P(the) \times P(store) \times P(.).$

Jane went to the store .

$$P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i)$$

Unigram

 $P(\text{Jane went to the store}) = P(\text{Jane}) \times P(\text{went}) \times P(to) \times P(the) \times P(store) \times P(.).$

But word order and context matters!

$$P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{I} P(x_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$$

$$Next Word \qquad Context$$

$$P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{I} P(x_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$$

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{i=1}^$$

 $P(\text{Jane went to the store}) = P(\text{Jane} | < s >) \times P(\text{went} | \text{Jane}) \times P(\text{to} | \text{went}) \times P(\text{the} | \text{to}) \times P(\text{to} | \text{went}) \times P(\text{the} | \text{to}) \times P(\text{store} | \text{the}) \times P(\text{.} | \text{store}) + P(<| \text$

$$P(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{I} P(x_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{i=1$$

The big problem: How do we predict

$$P(x_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$$

• Count up the frequency and divide:

$$P_{ML}(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) := \frac{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1})}$$

• Count up the frequency and divide:

$$P_{ML}(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) := \frac{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1})}$$

Corpus:

The cat sat on the mat.

A mouse ate some cheese. A dog chased the cat. The mouse ran under a mat.

• Count up the frequency and divide:

$$P_{ML}(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) := \frac{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1})}$$

Corpus:

The cat sat on the mat . A mouse ate some cheese . A dog chased the cat . The mouse ran under a mat .

 $p(chased | dog) = ? \quad p(cat | the) = ? \quad p(the | < s >) = ?$

• Count up the frequency and divide:

$$P_{ML}(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) := \frac{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1})}$$

Corpus:

The cat sat on the mat .A mouse ate some cheese .A dog chased the cat .The mouse ran under a mat .

$$p(chased | dog) = \frac{1}{1} = 1$$
 $p(cat | the) = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$ $p(the | ~~) = 0.5~~$

• Count up the frequency and divide:

$$P_{ML}(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) := \frac{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1})}$$

Corpus:

The cat sat on the mat . A mouse ate some cheese . A dog chased the cat . The mouse ran under a mat .

p(A cat chased the mouse .) = ?

Corpus:

The cat sat on the mat . A mouse ate some cheese . A dog chased the cat . The mouse ran under a mat .

p(A cat chased the mouse .) =

 $p(\langle s \rangle | A) \times$ $p(cat | a) \times$ $p(chased | cat) \times$ $p(the | chased) \times$ $p(mouse | the) \times$ p(. | mouse)

Corpus:

The cat sat on the mat. A mouse ate some cheese. A dog chased the cat. The mouse ran under a mat.

p(A cat chased the mouse .) =

 $p(\langle s \rangle | A) \times$ $p(cat | a) \times$ $p(chased | cat) \times$ $p(the | chased) \times \checkmark$ $p(mouse | the) \times$ p(. | mouse)

Count up the frequency and divide:

 $P_{ML}(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) := \frac{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1})}$

• Add smoothing to deal with zero counts:

$$p(x_i | x_{i-n+1:i-1}) = \frac{c(x_{i-n+1:i}) + \alpha}{c(x_{i-n+1:i-1}) + \alpha | V |}$$

Corpus:

The cat sat on the mat .A mouse ate some cheese .A dog chased the cat .The mouse ran under a mat .

 $|V| = |\{the, a, cat, sat, ...\}| = 15$ $\alpha = 1$

Corpus:

The cat sat on the mat . A mouse ate some cheese . A dog chased the cat . The mouse ran under a mat .

 $|V| = |\{the, a, cat, sat, ...\}| = 15$ $\alpha = 1$ p(A cat chased the mouse .) =

 $p(\langle s \rangle ||A) \times \bigcirc$ $p(cat ||a) \times \bigcirc$ $p(chased ||cat) \times \bigcirc$ $p(the ||chased) \times \bigcirc$ $p(mouse ||the) \times \bigcirc$ $p(. ||mouse) \longrightarrow$

• Count up the frequency and divide:

 $P_{ML}(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) := \frac{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{c(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1})}$

- Add smoothing to deal with zero counts: $P(x_i | x_{i-n+1:i-1}) = \frac{c(x_{i-n+1:i}) + \alpha}{c(x_{i-n+1:i-1}) + \alpha | V |}$
- Another way to smooth: skip some words

$$P(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) = \lambda P_{ML}(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}) + (1 - \lambda) P(x_i \mid x_{1-n+2}, \dots, x_{i-1})$$

• Log-likelihood: $LL(\mathcal{E}_{test}) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} \log P(E)$



 Log-likelihood: $LL(\mathcal{E}_{test}) = \sum \log P(E)$ $E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}$ Per-word Log Likelihood: $WLL(\mathcal{E}_{test}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} |E|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} \log P(E)$ Per-word (Cross) Entropy: $H(\mathcal{E}_{test}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} |E|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} -\log_2 P(E)$

 Log-likelihood: $LL(\mathcal{E}_{test}) = \sum \log P(E)$ $E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}$ Per-word Log Likelihood: $WLL(\mathcal{E}_{test}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} |E|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} \log P(E)$ Per-word (Cross) Entropy: $H(\mathcal{E}_{test}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} |E|} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{test}} -\log_2 P(E)$ • Perplexity:

$$ppl(\mathcal{E}_{test}) = 2^{H(\mathcal{E}_{test})} = e^{-WLL(\mathcal{E}_{test})}$$

What does "My LM achieves a perplexity of 23" mean?

https://sjmielke.com/comparing-perplexities.htm

What Can we Do w/ LMs?

• Score sentences:

Jane went to the store . → high store to Jane went the . → low (same as calculating loss for training)

What Can we Do w/ LMs?

• Score sentences:

Jane went to the store . → high store to Jane went the . → low (same as calculating loss for training)

• Generate sentences:

while didn't choose end-of-sentence symbol:
 calculate probability
 sample a new word from the probability distribution

Problems and Solutions?

Cannot share strength among similar words
 she bought a car she bought a bicycle
 she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle

→ solution: class based language models

Problems and Solutions?

Cannot share strength among similar words
 she bought a car she bought a bicycle
 she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle

→ solution: class based language models

Cannot condition on context with intervening words

Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith

→ solution: skip-gram language models

Problems and Solutions?

 Cannot share strength among similar words she bought a car she bought a bicycle

she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle

→ solution: class based language models

Cannot condition on context with intervening words

Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith

→ solution: skip-gram language models

Cannot handle long-distance dependencies

for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet

for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer

 \rightarrow solution: cache, trigger, topic, syntactic models, etc.

An Alternative: Featurized Log-Linear Models

An Alternative: Featurized Models

- Calculate features of the context
- Based on the features, calculate probabilities
- Optimize feature weights using gradient descent, etc.

Example:

Previous words: "giving a"

Example:

Previous words: "giving a"

a the talk gift hat

. . .

Words we're predicting

Example:

Previous words: "giving a"

a
$$(3.0)$$

the (2.5)
talk (-0.2)
 (0.1)
hat (1.2)

Words we're How likely predicting are they?
Example:

Previous words: "giving a"

a $\begin{pmatrix} 3.0\\ 2.5\\ -0.2\\ 0.1\\ 1.2 \end{pmatrix}$ $W_{1,a} = \begin{pmatrix} -6.0\\ -5.1\\ 0.2\\ 0.1\\ 0.1\\ 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$

Words we're How likely predicting are they?

How likely are they given prev. word is "a"?

Example:

Previous words: "giving a"



Words we're predicting

How likely are they?

How likely are they given prev. given 2nd prev. word is "a"? word is "giving"?

Example:

Previous words: "giving a"



Softmax

Convert scores into probabilities by taking the exponent and normalizing (softmax)

Softmax

Convert scores into probabilities by taking the exponent and normalizing (softmax)

$$P(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}^{i-1}) = \frac{e^{s(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}^{i-1})}}{\sum_{\tilde{x}_i} e^{s(\tilde{x}_i \mid x_{i-n+1}^{i-1})}}$$

Softmax

Convert scores into probabilities by taking the exponent and normalizing (softmax)

$$P(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}^{i-1}) = \frac{e^{s(x_i \mid x_{i-n+1}^{i-1})}}{\sum_{\tilde{x}_i} e^{s(\tilde{x}_i \mid x_{i-n+1}^{i-1})}}$$



giving a

Each vector is size of output vocabulary













Each vector is size of output vocabulary

A Note: "Lookup"

 Lookup can be viewed as "grabbing" a single vector from a big matrix of word embeddings

num. words



A Note: "Lookup"

• Lookup can be viewed as "grabbing" a single vector from a big matrix of word embeddings

num. words



 Similarly, can be viewed as multiplying by a "onehot" vector



A Note: "Lookup"

• Lookup can be viewed as "grabbing" a single vector from a big matrix of word embeddings

num. words



 Similarly, can be viewed as multiplying by a "onehot" vector

vector size

Former tends to be faster

Training a Model

- **Reminder:** to train, we calculate a "loss function" (a measure of how bad our predictions are), and move the parameters to reduce the loss
- The most common loss function for probabilistic models is "negative log likelihood"

Training a Model

- **Reminder:** to train, we calculate a "loss function" (a measure of how bad our predictions are), and move the parameters to reduce the loss
- The most common loss function for probabilistic models is "negative log likelihood"



Parameter Update

 Back propagation allows us to calculate the derivative of the loss with respect to the parameters

 $rac{\partial \ell}{\partial oldsymbol{ heta}}$

Parameter Update

 Back propagation allows us to calculate the derivative of the loss with respect to the parameters

 $rac{\partial \ell}{\partial oldsymbol{ heta}}$

• Simple stochastic gradient descent optimizes parameters according to the following rule

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} - \alpha \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Choosing a Vocabulary

• Necessity for UNK words

- Necessity for UNK words
 - We won't have all the words in the world in training data

- Necessity for UNK words
 - We won't have all the words in the world in training data
 - Larger vocabularies require more memory and computation time

- Necessity for UNK words
 - We won't have all the words in the world in training data
 - Larger vocabularies require more memory and computation time
- Common ways:
 - Frequency threshold (usually UNK <= 1)

- Necessity for UNK words
 - We won't have all the words in the world in training data
 - Larger vocabularies require more memory and computation time
- Common ways:
 - Frequency threshold (usually UNK <= 1)
 - Rank threshold

A very large number of published documents contain text only. They often look boring, and they are often written in obscure language, using mile-long sentences and cryptic technical terms, using one font only, perhaps even without headings. Such style, or lack of style, might be the one you are strongly expected to follow when writing eg scientific or technical reports, legal documents, or administrative papers. It is natural to think that such documents would benefit from a few illustrative images. (However, just adding illustration might be rather useless, if the text remains obscure and unstructured.)

a very large number of published documents contain text only . they often look boring , and they are often written in obscure language , using mile-long sentences and cryptic technical terms , using one font only , perhaps even without headings . such style, or lack of style, might be the one you are strongly expected to follow when writing eg scientific or technical reports , legal documents , or administrative papers . it is natural to think that such documents would benefit from a few illustrative images . (however , just adding illustration might be rather useless , if the text remains obscure and unstructured .)

truecase + tokenize

a very large number of published documents contain text only . they often look boring , and they are often written in obscure language , using mile-long sentences and cryptic technical terms , using one font only , perhaps even without headings . such style, or lack of style, might be the one you are strongly expected to follow when writing eg scientific or technical reports , legal documents , or administrative papers . it is natural to think that such documents would benefit from a few illustrative images . (however , just adding illustration might be rather useless , if the text remains obscure and unstructured .)

Find rare words (e.g. with freq<2)

a very large number of published documents contain text only . they often look boring , and they are often written in obscure language , using UNK sentences and cryptic technical terms , using one font only , perhaps even without headings . such style, or lack of style, might be the one you are strongly expected to follow when writing eg scientific or technical reports , legal documents , or UNK papers . it is natural to think that such documents would benefit from a few illustrative images . (however , just adding UNK might be rather useless , if the text remains obscure and UNK .)

Substitute with UNK

Evaluation and Vocabulary

• **Important:** the vocabulary must be the same over models you compare

Evaluation and Vocabulary

- **Important:** the vocabulary must be the same over models you compare
- Or more accurately, all models must be able to generate the test set (it's OK if they can generate *more* than the test set, but not less)
 - e.g. Comparing a character-based model to a word-based model is fair, but not vice-versa

What Problems are Handled?

• Cannot share strength among **similar words**

she bought a car she bought a bicycle she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle

Cannot condition on context with intervening words
Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith

Cannot handle long-distance dependencies
 for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet

for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer

What Problems are Handled?

• Cannot share strength among **similar words**

she bought a car she bought a bicycle she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle

→ not solved yet 😞

Cannot condition on context with intervening words
Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith

Cannot handle long-distance dependencies

for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet

for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer

What Problems are Handled?

• Cannot share strength among **similar words**

she bought a car she bought a bicycle she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle

→ not solved yet 😞

Cannot condition on context with **intervening words**

Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith

→ solved! 😀

Cannot handle long-distance dependencies

for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet

for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer
What Problems are Handled?

• Cannot share strength among **similar words**

she bought a car she bought a bicycle she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle

→ not solved yet 😞

Cannot condition on context with **intervening words**

Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith

→ solved! 😀

Cannot handle long-distance dependencies

for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet

for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer



Break Beyond Linear Models

farmers eat steak → high

farmers eat steak → high farmers eat hay → low

farmers eat steak → high farmers eat hay → low

cows eat steak \rightarrow **low**

farmers eat steak → high farmers eat hay → low

cows eat steak → **low** cows eat hay → **high**

farmers eat steak \rightarrow highcows eat steak \rightarrow lowfarmers eat hay \rightarrow lowcows eat hay \rightarrow high

• These can't be expressed by linear features

farmers eat steak \rightarrow highcows eat steak \rightarrow lowfarmers eat hay \rightarrow lowcows eat hay \rightarrow high

- These can't be expressed by linear features
- What can we do?
 - Remember combinations as features (individual scores for "farmers eat", "cows eat")

farmers eat steak \rightarrow highcows eat steak \rightarrow lowfarmers eat hay \rightarrow lowcows eat hay \rightarrow high

- These can't be expressed by linear features
- What can we do?
 - Remember combinations as features (individual scores for "farmers eat", "cows eat")
 → Feature space explosion!

farmers eat steak → high cows eat steak → low
farmers eat hay → low cows eat hay → high

- These can't be expressed by linear features
- What can we do?
 - Remember combinations as features (individual scores for "farmers eat", "cows eat")
 → Feature space explosion!
 - Neural nets











Where is Strength Shared?



Where is Strength Shared?



Where is Strength Shared?



What Problems are Handled?

• Cannot share strength among **similar words**

she bought a car she bought a bicycle she purchased a car she purchased a bicycle

→ solved, and similar contexts as well! 😀

Cannot condition on context with intervening words

Dr. Jane Smith Dr. Gertrude Smith

→ solved! 😀

Cannot handle long-distance dependencies

for tennis class he wanted to buy his own racquet

for programming class he wanted to buy his own computer





Tying Input/Output Embeddings



Tying Input/Output Embeddings



Training Tricks

Shuffling the Training Data

• Stochastic gradient methods update the parameters a little bit at a time

Shuffling the Training Data

- Stochastic gradient methods update the parameters a little bit at a time
 - What if we have the sentence "I love this sentence so much!" at the end of the training data 50 times?

Shuffling the Training Data

- Stochastic gradient methods update the parameters a little bit at a time
 - What if we have the sentence "I love this sentence so much!" at the end of the training data 50 times?
- To train correctly, we should randomly shuffle the order at each time step

Other Optimization Options

- **SGD with Momentum:** Remember gradients from past time steps to prevent sudden changes
- Adagrad: Adapt the learning rate to reduce learning rate for frequently updated parameters (as measured by the variance of the gradient)
- Adam: Like Adagrad, but keeps a running average of momentum and gradient variance
- **Many others:** RMSProp, Adadelta, etc. (See Ruder 2016 reference for more details)

Early Stopping, Learning Rate Decay

- Neural nets have tons of parameters: we want to prevent them from over-fitting
- We can do this by monitoring our performance on held-out development data and stopping training when it starts to get worse
- It also sometimes helps to reduce the learning rate and continue training

Which One to Use?

- Adam is usually fast to converge and stable
- But simple SGD tends to do very will in terms of generalization (Wilson et al. 2017)
- You should use learning rate decay, (e.g. on Machine translation results by Denkowski & Neubig 2017)



Neural nets have lots of parameters, and are prone to overfitting

- Neural nets have lots of parameters, and are prone to overfitting
- Dropout: randomly zero-out nodes in the hidden layer with probability p at training time only

- Neural nets have lots of parameters, and are prone to overfitting
- Dropout: randomly zero-out nodes in the hidden layer with probability p at training time only



- Neural nets have lots of parameters, and are prone to overfitting
- Dropout: randomly zero-out nodes in the hidden layer with probability p at training time only

- Because the number of nodes at training/test is different, scaling is necessary:
 - Standard dropout: scale by p at test time
 - Inverted dropout: scale by 1/(1-p) at training time
- An alternative: DropConnect (Wan+ 2013) instead zeros out weights in the NN
Efficiency Tricks: Operation Batching

Efficiency Tricks: Mini-batching

- On modern hardware 10 operations of size 1 is much slower than 1 operation of size 10
- Minibatching combines together smaller operations into one big one

Minibatching



• Group together similar operations (e.g. loss calculations for a single word) and execute them all together

- Group together similar operations (e.g. loss calculations for a single word) and execute them all together
 - In the case of a feed-forward language model, each word prediction in a sentence can be batched

- Group together similar operations (e.g. loss calculations for a single word) and execute them all together
 - In the case of a feed-forward language model, each word prediction in a sentence can be batched
 - For recurrent neural nets, etc., more complicated

- Group together similar operations (e.g. loss calculations for a single word) and execute them all together
 - In the case of a feed-forward language model, each word prediction in a sentence can be batched
 - For recurrent neural nets, etc., more complicated
- How this works depends on toolkit
 - Most toolkits require you to add an extra dimension representing the batch size

- Group together similar operations (e.g. loss calculations for a single word) and execute them all together
 - In the case of a feed-forward language model, each word prediction in a sentence can be batched
 - For recurrent neural nets, etc., more complicated
- How this works depends on toolkit
 - Most toolkits require you to add an extra dimension representing the batch size
 - DyNet has special minibatch operations for lookup and loss functions, everything else automatic
 - In PyTorch (almost) all operations already automatically support batches

Mini-batched Code Example

```
1 # in_words is a tuple (word_1, word_2)
2 # out_label is an output label
3 word_1 = E[in_words[0]]
4 word_2 = E[in_words[1]]
5 scores_sym = W*dy.concatenate([word_1, word_2])+b
6 loss_sym = dy.pickneglogsoftmax(scores_sym, out_label)
```

(a) Non-minibatched classification.

```
1 # in_words is a list [(word_{1,1}, word_{1,2}), (word_{2,1}, word_{2,2}), ...]
2 # out_labels is a list of output labels [label_1, label_2, ...]
3 word_1_batch = dy.lookup_batch(E, [x[0] for x in in_words])
4 word_2_batch = dy.lookup_batch(E, [x[1] for x in in_words])
5 scores_sym = W*dy.concatenate([word_1_batch, word_2_batch])+b
6 loss_sym = dy.sum_batches( dy.pickneglogsoftmax_batch(scores_sym, out_labels) )
```

A Case Study: Regularizing and Optimizing LSTM Language Models (Merity et al. 2017)

Regularizing and Optimizing LSTM Language Models (Merity et al. 2017)

- Uses LSTMs as a backbone (discussed later)
- A number of tricks to improve stability and prevent overfitting:
 - DropConnect regularization
 - SGD w/ averaging triggered when model is close to convergence
 - Dropout on recurrent connections and embeddings
 - Weight tying
 - Independently tuned embedding and hidden layer sizes
 - Regularization of activations of the network
- Strong baseline for language modeling, SOTA at the time (without special model, just training methods)

Break Next: Recurrent Neural Networks

NLP and Sequential Data

- NLP is full of sequential data
 - Words in sentences
 - Characters in words
 - Sentences in discourse
 - . . .

Long-distance Dependencies in Language

• Agreement in number, gender, etc.

He does not have very much confidence in himself. She does not have very much confidence in herself.

Long-distance Dependencies in Language

• Agreement in number, gender, etc.

He does not have very much confidence in himself. She does not have very much confidence in herself.

• Selectional preference

The **reign** has lasted as long as the life of the **queen**. The **rain** has lasted as long as the life of the **clouds**.

• What is the referent of "it"?

The trophy would not fit in the brown suitcase because it was too **big**.

• What is the referent of "it"?

The trophy would not fit in the brown suitcase because it was too **big**.

Trophy

• What is the referent of "it"?

The trophy would not fit in the brown suitcase because it was too **big**.

Trophy

The trophy would not fit in the brown suitcase because it was too **small**.

• What is the referent of "it"?

The trophy would not fit in the brown suitcase because it was too **big**.

Trophy

The trophy would not fit in the brown suitcase because it was too **small**.

Suitcase

(from Winograd Schema Challenge: <u>http://commonsensereasoning.org/winograd.html</u>)

Recurrent Neural Networks (Elman 1990)

• Tools to "remember" information

Recurrent Neural Networks (Elman 1990)

• Tools to "remember" information

Feed-forward NN



Recurrent Neural Networks (Elman 1990)

• Tools to "remember" information



























label 1label 2label 3label 4






Training RNNs



Training RNNs







• The unrolled graph is a well-formed (DAG) computation graph—we can run backprop



Parameters are tied across time, derivatives are aggregated across all time steps



- Parameters are tied across time, derivatives are aggregated across all time steps
- This is historically called "backpropagation through time" (BPTT)

Parameter Tying



Parameter Tying

Parameters are shared! Derivatives are accumulated.



Applications of RNNs

What Can RNNs Do?

- Represent a sentence
 - Read whole sentence, make a prediction
- Represent a context within a sentence
 - Read context up until that point

Representing Sentences



Representing Sentences



Representing Sentences



- Sentence classification
- Conditioned generation
- Retrieval

Representing Contexts



Representing Contexts



Representing Contexts



- Tagging
- Language Modeling
- Calculating Representations for Parsing, etc.



































 Language modeling is like a tagging task, where each tag is the next word!

Bi-RNNs

• A simple extension, run the RNN in both directions



Bi-RNNs

• A simple extension, run the RNN in both directions



Bi-RNNs

• A simple extension, run the RNN in both directions


Bi-RNNs

• A simple extension, run the RNN in both directions



Bi-RNNs

• A simple extension, run the RNN in both directions



Bi-RNNs

• A simple extension, run the RNN in both directions



Vanishing Gradients

Vanishing Gradient

Gradients decrease as they get pushed back



Vanishing Gradient

Gradients decrease as they get pushed back



 Why? "Squashed" by non-linearities or small weights in matrices.

A Solution: Long Short-term Memory (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber 1997)

- Basic idea: make additive connections between time steps
- Addition does not modify the gradient, no vanishing
- Gates to control the information flow













What can LSTMs Learn? (1) (Karpathy et al. 2015)

• Additive connections make single nodes surprisingly interpretable



What can LSTMs Learn? (2) (Shi et al. 2016, Radford et al. 2017)

Count length of sentence

Sentiment



25 August 2003 League of Extraordinary Gentlemen: Sean Connery is one of the all time greats and I have been a fan of his since the 1950's. I went to this movie because Sean Connery was the main actor. I had not read reviews or had any prior knowledge of the movie. The movie surprised me quite a bit. The scenery and sights were spectacular, but the plot was unreal to the point of being ridiculous. In my mind this was not one of his better movies it could be the worst. Why he chose to be in this movie is a mystery. For me, going to this movie was a waste of my time. I will continue to go to his movies and add his movies to my video collection. But I can't see wasting money to put this movie in my collection

I found this to be a charming adaptation, very lively and full of fun. With the exception of a couple of major errors, the cast is wonderful. I have to echo some of the earlier comments -- Chynna Phillips is horribly miscast as a teenager. At 27, she's just too cld (and, yes, it DOES show), and lacks the singing "chops" for Broadway-style music. Vanessa Williams is a decent-enough singer and, for a non-dancer, she's adequate. However, she is NOT Latina, and her character definitely is. She's also very STRIDENT throughout, which gets tiresome. The girls of Sweet Apple's Conrad Birdie fan club really sparkle -- with special kudos to Brigitta Dau and Chiara Zanni. I also enjoyed Tyne Daly's performance, though I'm not generally a fan of her work. Finally, the dancing Shriners are a riot, especially the dorky three in the bar. The movie is suitable for the whole family, and I highly recommend it. Efficiency Tricks

Handling Mini-batching

• Mini-batching makes things much faster!

Handling Mini-batching

- Mini-batching makes things much faster!
- But mini-batching in RNNs is harder than in feedforward networks

Handling Mini-batching

- Mini-batching makes things much faster!
- But mini-batching in RNNs is harder than in feedforward networks
 - Each word depends on the previous word
 - Sequences are of various length

this is an example </s>

this is another </s>

this is an example </s>

this is another </s>

Padding









Bucketing/Sorting

 If we use sentences of different lengths, too much padding and sorting can result in decreased performance

Bucketing/Sorting

- If we use sentences of different lengths, too much padding and sorting can result in decreased performance
- To remedy this: **sort sentences** so similarlylengthed sentences are in the same batch

RNN Variants

• Gated Recurrent Units (GRU; Cho et al 2014)

• Gated Recurrent Units (GRU; Cho et al 2014)

$$egin{aligned} & z_t = \sigma_g(W_z x_t + U_z h_{t-1} + b_z) \ & r_t = \sigma_g(W_r x_t + U_r h_{t-1} + b_r) \ & h_t = (1-z_t) \circ h_{t-1} + z_t \circ \sigma_h(W_h x_t + U_h(r_t \circ h_{t-1}) + b_h) \ & ext{Additive} & ext{or Non-linear} \end{aligned}$$

• Gated Recurrent Units (GRU; Cho et al 2014)

$$egin{aligned} & z_t = \sigma_g(W_z x_t + U_z h_{t-1} + b_z) \ & r_t = \sigma_g(W_r x_t + U_r h_{t-1} + b_r) \ & h_t = (1-z_t) \circ h_{t-1} + z_t \circ \sigma_h(W_h x_t + U_h(r_t \circ h_{t-1}) + b_h) \ & ext{Additive} & ext{or Non-linear} \end{aligned}$$

• Note: GRUs cannot do things like simply count

- Gated Recurrent Units (GRU; Cho et al 2014)
- Many different types of architectures tested for LSTMs (Greffen et al. 2015)
- NIG: No Input Gate: $i^t = 1$ NFG: No Forget Gate: $f^t = 1$ NOG: No Output Gate: $o^t = 1$ NIAF: No Input Activation Function: g(x) = xNOAF: No Output Activation Function: h(x) = xCIFG: Coupled Input and Forget Gate: $f^t = 1 - i^t$ NP: No Peepholes:

$$\bar{\mathbf{i}}^t = \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_i \bar{\mathbf{f}}^t = \mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_f \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_f \bar{\mathbf{o}}^t = \mathbf{W}_o \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_o \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_o$$

FGR: Full Gate Recurrence:

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathbf{i}}^t &= \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{p}_i \odot \mathbf{c}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_i \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{ii} \mathbf{i}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{fi} \mathbf{f}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{oi} \mathbf{o}^{t-1} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}^t &= \mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_f \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{p}_f \odot \mathbf{c}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_f \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{if} \mathbf{i}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{ff} \mathbf{f}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{of} \mathbf{o}^{t-1} \\ \bar{\mathbf{o}}^t &= \mathbf{W}_o \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_o \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{p}_o \odot \mathbf{c}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_o \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{io} \mathbf{i}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{fo} \mathbf{f}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{oo} \mathbf{o}^{t-1} \end{split}$$

- Gated Recurrent Units (GRU; Cho et al 2014)
- Many different types of architectures tested for LSTMs (Greffen et al. 2015)
- Conclusion: basic LSTM quite good, other variants (e.g. coupled input/forget gates) reasonable

NIG: No Input Gate: $i^t = 1$ NFG: No Forget Gate: $f^t = 1$ NOG: No Output Gate: $o^t = 1$ NIAF: No Input Activation Function: g(x) = xNOAF: No Output Activation Function: h(x) = xCIFG: Coupled Input and Forget Gate: $f^t = 1 - i^t$ NP: No Peepholes:

$$\bar{\mathbf{i}}^t = \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_i \bar{\mathbf{f}}^t = \mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_f \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_f \bar{\mathbf{o}}^t = \mathbf{W}_o \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_o \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_o$$

FGR: Full Gate Recurrence:

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathbf{i}}^t &= \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{p}_i \odot \mathbf{c}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_i \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{ii} \mathbf{i}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{fi} \mathbf{f}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{oi} \mathbf{o}^{t-1} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}^t &= \mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_f \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{p}_f \odot \mathbf{c}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_f \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{if} \mathbf{i}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{ff} \mathbf{f}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{of} \mathbf{o}^{t-1} \\ \bar{\mathbf{o}}^t &= \mathbf{W}_o \mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{R}_o \mathbf{y}^{t-1} + \mathbf{p}_o \odot \mathbf{c}^{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_o \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{io} \mathbf{i}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{fo} \mathbf{f}^{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{oo} \mathbf{o}^{t-1} \end{split}$$

Handling Long Sequences

Handling Long Sequences

- Sometimes we would like to capture long-term dependencies over long sequences
- e.g. words in full documents
- However, this may not fit on (GPU) memory

Truncated BPTT

 Backprop over shorter segments, initialize w/ the state from the previous segment


Questions? (see extra slides)

Simple Implementation of RNNs (in DyNet)

- Based on "*Builder" class (*=SimpleRNN/LSTM)
- Add parameters to model (once):

LSTM (layers=1, input=64, hidden=128, model)
RNN = dy.SimpleRNNBuilder(1, 64, 128, model)

- Add parameters to CG and get initial state (per sentence):
 - s = RNN.initial_state()
- Update state and access (per input word/character):

```
s = s.add_input(x_t)
h_t = s.output()
```

RNNLM Example: Parameter Initialization

Lookup parameters for word embeddings
WORDS_LOOKUP = model.add_lookup_parameters((nwords, 64))

Word-level RNN (layers=1, input=64, hidden=128, model)
RNN = dy.SimpleRNNBuilder(1, 64, 128, model)

Softmax weights/biases on top of RNN outputs
W_sm = model.add_parameters((nwords, 128))
b sm = model.add parameters(nwords)

RNNLM Example: Sentence Initialization

```
# Build the language model graph
def calc_lm_loss(wids):
    dy.renew cg()
```

parameters -> expressions
W_exp = dy.parameter(W_sm)
b_exp = dy.parameter(b_sm)

add parameters to CG and get state
f init = RNN.initial state()

```
# get the word vectors for each word ID
wembs = [WORDS LOOKUP[wid] for wid in wids]
```

```
# Start the rnn by inputting "<s>"
s = f_init.add_input(wembs[-1])
```

. . .

RNNLM Example: Loss Calculation and State Update

```
# process each word ID and embedding
losses = []
for wid, we in zip(wids, wembs):
```

```
# calculate and save the softmax loss
score = W_exp * s.output() + b_exp
loss = dy.pickneglogsoftmax(score, wid)
losses.append(loss)
```

```
# update the RNN state with the input
s = s.add input(we)
```

return the sum of all losses
return dy.esum(losses)

Code Examples sentiment-rnn.py