Learning and Strongly Truthful Multi-Task Peer Prediction

Grant Schoenebeck, University of Michigan Fang-Yi Yu, Harvard University

Motivation Questions

How can we design mechanisms to collect agents' truthful report without verification?

- Continuous signal
- Minimal assumption on agent's prior

Peer Prediction without Verification

People are connected and their signals are dependent



Can we design strongly truthful mechanisms?

- Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- Highest payment

Multi-task setting peer prediction (PP)

Estimate mutual information

Given Alice and Bob reports on *m* tasks, how can we estimate mutual information between their reports?

\hat{x}_1	\hat{x}_2	 \hat{x}_b	 \hat{x}_p	 \hat{x}_q	 \hat{x}_m
\widehat{y}_1	\hat{y}_2	 \widehat{y}_b	 \widehat{y}_p	 \widehat{y}_q	 $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_m$

Plug-in estimation

- $\hat{P}_{X,Y}$ from a pair of reports on a common task.
- $\hat{P}_X \hat{P}_Y$ from a pair of reports on distinct tasks.

Accuracy and error

- No unbiased estimator
- Uniform error upper bound

Variational Statistics to Mechanisms

Convex conjugate

- $\Phi(a) = \sup_{b} ab \Phi^{*}(b)$ with maximum at $b = \Phi'(a)$.
- $MI^{\Phi} = \sup_{K} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{P_{X,Y}}[K(x,y)] \mathbb{E}_{P_{X}P_{Y}}[\Phi^{*}K(x,y)] \right\}$

with maximum at $K^{\star}(x,y) = \Phi'\left(\frac{dP_{XY}}{dP_XP_Y}(x,y)\right)$

signalsstrategyreportspayment
$$x_1, \dots, x_m$$
 $\frac{\theta_A}{\rightarrow}$ $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_m$ $M(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ $P_{X,Y}$ ψ_1, \dots, ψ_m $\frac{\theta_B}{\hat{y}}$ $\hat{y} = \hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_m$

- a prior similar tasks
- task independent strategy

Data processing inequality and PP

Strategy as a noisy channel

- $Y \xrightarrow{P_{X|Y}} X \xrightarrow{\theta_A} \widehat{X}$ Is a Markov chain Mutual information
- Shannan: $MI(X;Y) = \int \log \frac{dP_{XY}}{dP_{XPY}} dP_{XY}$
- Φ -divergence:

$$MI^{\Phi}(X;Y) = \int \Phi\left(\frac{dP_{XY}}{dP_XP_Y}\right) dP_XP_Y$$

Data processing inequality $MI^{\Phi}(Y;X) \ge MI^{\Phi}(Y;\hat{X}) \ge MI^{\Phi}(\hat{Y};\hat{X})$ Truth-telling Non-truthful





Pairing mechanism

- 1. Estimate K^{\star} from learning tasks.
- 2. Sample $P_{X,Y}$ from a pair of reports on a common task.
- 3. Sample $P_X P_Y$ from a pair of reports on distinct tasks.

4. Pay
$$K^{\star}(x_b, y_b) - \Phi^{\star}(K^{\star}(x_p, y_q))$$

Tasks for payment

Tasks for learning

Maximum happens only if both

- Ideal scoring function $\widehat{K} = K^* = \Phi'\left(\frac{dP_{XY}}{dP_X P_Y}\right)$
- Truthful report





Approximated Strongly truthful