# CS583 Lecture 05 Greedy Algorithms Jyh-Ming Lien 

## Intro

- Greedy algorithm is algorithm that makes the locally optimal choice at each stage with the hope of finding the global optimum
- Greedy algorithm never changes the choices that have been made


## Intro

- Advantages
- Simple and Intuitive
- Work for problems such as minimum spanning tree, shortest path problem, and data compression.
- Disadvantages
- Be very careful when use it. May not work for many problems
- But still provide good approximate solution


## Outline

- Problems we are going to look at today
- The Activity Selection Problem
- Huffman coding
- Knapsack problem(s)


## Activity Selection

- Optimization problem
- select a max-size subset of compatible activities

| Activity | $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\mid 10$ | 11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start time | $s_{i}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 12 |
| Finish time | $f_{i}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |

- possible subsets?
- brute force approach?


## Activity Selection

- This problem can be solved using dynamic programming!
- sub-problem:
- a recursive definition:


## Activity Selection

- Converting it to a greedy algorithm


## Activity Selection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Algorithm Greedyactivity }(s, f) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
n \leftarrow|S| \\
A \leftarrow\left\{a_{1}\right\} \\
i \leftarrow 1 \\
\text { for } m \leftarrow 2 \text { to } n \text { do } \\
\quad \text { if } s_{m} \geq f_{i} \text { then } \\
\qquad \quad A \leftarrow A \cup\left\{a_{m}\right\} \\
\quad i \leftarrow m
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { endif } \\
\text { endfor } \\
\text { return } A
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Huffman Coding

- binary cipher

| Letter | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency (in thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed length encoding | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |

- A message consisting of 100 K a-f characters would require:


## Huffman Coding

- fixed length vs. variable length coding

| Letter | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency (in thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length encoding | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| Variable-length encoding | 0 | 101 | 100 | 111 | 1101 | 1100 |

- OOIOIIIOI uniquely converts to:
- this requires how many bits with fixed length coding?


## Huffman Coding

- fixed vs. variable length coding

| Letter | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency (in thousands) | 45 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 5 |
| Fixed-length encoding | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 |
| Variable-length encoding | 0 | 101 | 100 | 111 | 1101 | 1100 |




## Huffman Coding

- problem: minimize this:

$$
B(C)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(a_{i}\right) \cdot L\left(c\left(a_{i}\right)\right)
$$

- Huffman developed a greedy algorithm for producing a minimum-cost prefix code. The code that is produced is called a Huffman Code


## Huffman Coding

- Basic idea
- greedy: low frequency letters should be at the bottom of the tree
- build the encoding tree from bottom up


## Huffman Coding

- greedy algorithm

Algorithm $\operatorname{HUFFMAN}(C)$
$n \leftarrow|C|$
$Q \leftarrow C$
for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $n-1$ do
\{allocate a new node z\}
left $[z] \leftarrow x \leftarrow$ Extract-Min $(Q)$
right $[z] \leftarrow y \leftarrow$ Extract- $\operatorname{Min}(Q)$
$f[z] \leftarrow f[x]+f[y]$
$\operatorname{INSERT}(Q, z)$
endfor
return Extract-Min $(Q)$

## Knapsack

$\square$ Knapsack Problem: Given $n$ objects, each object has weight $w$ and value $v$, and a knapsack of capacity $W$, find most valuable items that fit into the knapsack

$\square$ Brute force approach

- generate a list of all potential solutions
- evaluate potential solutions one by one
- when search ends, announce the solution(s) found
$\square$ What is the time complexity of the brute force algorithm?


## Knapsack

$\square$ Dynamic programming approach

- Assume that we want to compute the optimal solution $S(w, i)$ for capacity $w<W$ with $i$ items
- Assume that we know the optimal solutions $S\left(w^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)$ for all $w^{\prime} \leq w$ and $i^{\prime} \leq i$
- Option 1: Don't add the $k$-th item to the bag, then $S(w, i)=S(w, i-1)$
- Option 2: Add the $k$-the item to the bag, then
$S(w, i)=S\left(w-w_{i}, i-1\right)+v_{i}$

| $w$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 \mathrm{~kg}, \$ 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{~kg}, \$ 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~kg}, \$ 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{~kg}, \$ 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4 \mathrm{~kg}, \$ 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |Time complexity?

## Knapsack

- greedy algorithm \#|
- Put object with smallest weight in knapsack first
- Add objects (according to sorted order of weights) into knapsack as long as there is capacity
- result:
- time complexity:


## Knapack

- greedy algorithm \#2
- focusing on maximizing profit while minimizing weight
- add items with $\max \frac{v_{i}}{w_{i}}$ first, where $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ are the value and weight of item $i$
- result:
- time complexity:


## Fractional Knapack

- You can take fractions of an object
- Problem: Fit objects (taking even fractions of them) that give the maximum total profit
- The optimal solution of this problem can be obtained using a greedy algorithm
- why?

