Accessibility and Software Engineering Processes

A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

Authors:
Débora Maria Barroso Paiva
André Pimenta Freire
Renata Pontin de Mattos Fortes

Year of Publication: 2021

Presented by:
Vinuthna Nekkanti(G01333348)
AGENDA

- Abstract
- Introduction
- Literature Background
- Population Targeted
- Key Aspects of Research Design
- Key Aspects of Research Methods
- Findings
- Implications
- Personal Thoughts
The paper presents a systematic literature review (SLR) about accessibility in the context of software development processes and is an update of two SLRs previously carried out. The study shows that software testing and software design had been the focus of research in the last decade when considering the inclusion of accessibility during software development. The authors suggest that software engineering plays a fundamental role in developing accessible applications since it can promote the integration between methodologies and specific accessibility techniques and activities in a software development process.
INTRODUCTION

- The expansion of the web and new platforms have led to an increased focus on digital accessibility for people with disabilities, impairments, and reduced skills.
- The World Wide Web Consortium's Web Accessibility Initiative (WAI) developed the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) to address software design for accessibility.
- Systematic literature reviews (SLRs) have analyzed the inclusion of accessibility in software engineering processes.
- The SLR methodology aims to be unbiased, auditable, and repeatable.
LITERATURE BACKGROUND

- The Background and Related Literature Review sections discuss the importance of accessibility and previous research on accessibility in software engineering.
- The review found barriers to incorporating accessibility and promising practices, such as involving users with disabilities and providing training for software engineers. The authors call for more research and action in this area.
- The Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) is one of the most widely used sets of accessibility recommendations (World Wide Web Consortium, 2019c).
- The study by Freire et al. (2007a) identified several techniques for different phases of the Web Engineering process, such as architectural design, user interface design, and software testing.
- Dias et al. (2010) updated Freire et al.'s research by analyzing 65 studies selected from an initial set of 301. The results showed an increased interest in research on web accessibility during the period from 2007 to 2010.
The paper targets people with disabilities, who are a diverse and heterogeneous population with different characteristics and needs.

This includes people with blindness and low vision, deafness and hearing loss, limited movement, speech disabilities, photosensitivity, cognitive limitations, and learning disabilities.

The paper acknowledges that people with disabilities form a pluralistic population with different accessibility needs that should be considered during software engineering processes.
KEY ASPECTS OF THE RESEARCH DESIGN

Research design:
The study is a systematic literature review that follows a predefined research protocol. The authors searched for relevant articles in several databases and analyzed them using a qualitative approach.

Sample size:
The authors identified 94 relevant articles through their search process and quality assurance criteria.

Data collection:
The data were collected through a search of several databases, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and ScienceDirect.

Location of study
The articles analyzed in the study were published in various locations, including academic journals and conference proceedings from different countries.
Research questions of SLR include:
(RQ1): “What are the current methods, techniques, processes, and approaches to support the development of accessible software?”

(RQ2): “What are the main assets (methods, techniques, tools, etc.) available to contribute to the development of accessible software?”
RESEARCH METHODS

• The paper uses a systematic literature review (SLR) as the research method.
• The authors conducted a search of academic databases, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Scopus, using specific search strings and inclusion/exclusion criteria.
• The paper provides a detailed description of the SLR methodology, including the search strategy, screening process, data extraction, and analysis.
• Quality assurance checks were conducted and 94 papers were selected for further analysis.

Fig. 1. Search strategy used in this SLR.
The papers were categorized according to the ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207 Standard and evaluated their quality based on specific criteria. Only papers with clear answers to at least three quality assurance questions were included. They used an extraction form and spreadsheet to gather information on each paper.

### Table 1
Information extracted in the form from the selected papers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Paper ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Abstract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Software development phase or activity discussed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Asset presented</td>
<td>Yes (which?)/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Context description</td>
<td>Yes (which?)/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Design and implementation description</td>
<td>Yes (which?)/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Evaluation description</td>
<td>Yes (which?)/no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Local and data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**RQ1- FINDINGS**

- The authors conducted a systematic literature review and identified several methods, techniques, and processes that can be used to ensure accessibility in software development.
- These include user-centered design, accessibility testing, and the use of accessibility guidelines and standards.
- Overall, the findings related to RQ1 provide insights into the current state of research on accessibility in software engineering processes and highlight the importance of considering accessibility in all stages of software development.
Fourteen studies emphasized two activities, which are 7 (R&D) + 3 (D&I) + 2 (R&T) + 1 (D&Ma) + 1 (T&Me), as presented in the Venn Diagram.
### RQ1 - RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processes</th>
<th>Groups of the main topics of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Requirements (R)   | R1. Accessibility requirements definition  
                    R2. Engineering approaches to include accessibility issues  
                    R3. Tool for gathering accessibility requirements |
| Design (D)         | D1. Accessible wearable app design  
                    D2. Adaptive user interfaces  
                    D3. Accessibility for specific contexts  
                    D4. Design for disabilities  
                    D5. Ontology knowledge modeling  
                    D6. New representation (design) of web pages  
                    D7. Architecture for accessible web pages  
                    D8. Design patterns  
                    D9. Model driven engineering |
| Implementation (I) | I1. Techniques to implement accessible products  
                    I2. Adaptive user interfaces implementation  
                    I3. Library of software code  
                    I4. Accessibility and standards  
                    I5. Framework to build accessible software |
| Testing (T)        | T1. Evaluation tools  
                    T2. Automatic detection of accessibility problems  
                    T3. Mobile apps testing  
                    T4. Framework for accessibility evaluation/tests |

The distribution of all the groups of the topics of interest evidenced by the selected papers, related to the nine processes.
## RQ1 - Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance (Ma)</td>
<td>Ma1. Refactoring technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ma2. Reengineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process establishment (Pe)</td>
<td>Pe1. Accessibility and Software Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pe2. Case tool for accessibility and Software Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pe3. Accessible software processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training (Tr)</td>
<td>Tr. Gamification for accessibility training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement (Me)</td>
<td>Me. Measure the fulfillment of the WCAG 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process improvement (Pi)</td>
<td>Pi. Capability maturity of usability and accessibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distribution of all the groups of the topics of interest evidenced by the selected papers, related to the nine processes.
RQ2 - FINDINGS

- The authors identified several assets that can be used to ensure accessibility in software development, including accessibility guidelines and standards, automated accessibility testing tools, and assistive technologies.
- The authors also highlighted the importance of involving people with disabilities in the development process and the need for training and education on accessibility for software developers.
- Overall, the findings related to RQ2 provide valuable resources for software developers and researchers interested in accessibility and software engineering processes.
ASSETS RESULTING FROM RESEARCH

RQ2 - RESULTS
The SLR presented significant findings that delineate how the proposals of methods, techniques, processes, approaches, and assets to support the development of accessible applications have progressed in the last decade.

- Contexts and platforms
- Evolution of research on accessibility and Software Engineering
- Software development phases and activities approached by the studies
CONCLUSION

- The paper presented an updated systematic literature review (SLR) on accessibility in software development processes.
- Software testing and software design were the main areas of focus in the last decade when it comes to including accessibility in software development.
- Additionally, there was a slight increase in the number of publications between 2011 and 2019 compared to previous SLRs.
- The study highlights several open questions for future research, including the incorporation of accessibility into agile methodologies and open-source development.
The methods used in the literature review appear to be valid, as the study followed a systematic approach to identify and analyze relevant articles on the topic of accessibility and software engineering processes.

A meta-analysis could provide a more rigorous statistical analysis of the findings across the included studies.

Incorporating ML and AI into research on accessibility and software engineering processes have the potential to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of accessibility efforts and make software products more accessible for all users.
Thank you!