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Logistics

Today

- OpenMP Wrap-up
- Mini-Exam 3

Reading

- Grama 7.10 (OpenMP)
- OpenMP Tutorial at Laurence Livermore
- Grama 7.1-9 (PThreads)

HW4 Upcoming

- Post over the weekend
- Due in last week of class
- OpenMP Password Cracking
- PThreads Version
- Exploration of alternative programming models
- Maybe a sorting routine...
OpenMP: High-level Shared Memory Parallelism

- OpenMP = Open Multi-Processing
- A standard, implemented by various folks, compiler-makers
- Targeted at shared memory machines: multiple processing elements sharing memory
- Specify parallelism in code with
  - Some function calls: *which thread number am I?*
  - Directives: *do this loop using multiple threads/processors*
- Can orient program to work without need of additional processors - direct serial execution
- The *easiest* parallelism you’ll likely get in C/C++
The ‘#pragma’ directive is the method specified by the C standard for providing additional information to the compiler, beyond what is conveyed in the language itself. – GCC Manual

- Similar in to Java’s annotations (@Override)
- Indicate meta-info about about code

```c
printf("Normal execution\n");
```

```c
#pragma do something special below
normal_code(x,y,z);
```
- Several pragmas supported by gcc including poison and dependency
OpenMP Basics

```c
#pragma omp parallel
single_parallel_line();

#pragma omp parallel
{
    parallel_block();
    with_multiple(statements);
    done_in_parallel();
}
```

- Pragmas indicate a single line or block should be done in parallel.
- Examine openmp_basics.c
Compiler Support for OpenMP

- GCC supports OpenMP with appropriate options
- Compile without Parallelism
  
gcc -o openmp_basics openmp_basics.c
- Compile with Parallelism
  
gcc -o openmp_basics openmp_basics.c -fopenmp
- I’m testing using gcc 5.3.0, zeus has 4.4.7, may be some inconsistencies
- Most other modern compilers have support for OpenMP
  - M$ Visual C++
  - Intel C/C++ compiler
Hints at OpenMP Implementation

- OpenMP $\approx$ high-level parallelism
- PThreads $\approx$ lower-level parallelism

From GOMP Documentation:

```
OMP CODE
#pragma omp parallel
{
    body;
}
BECOMES
void subfunction (void *data){
    use data;
    body;
}
setup data;
GOMP_parallel_start (subfunction, &data, num_threads);
subfunction (&data);
GOMP_parallel_end ();
```

Note exactly a source transformation, but OpenMP can leverage many existing pieces of Posix Threads libraries.
Sample Translation: OpenMP → PThreads

```c
int a, b;
main() {
    // serial segment
    #pragma omp parallel num_threads (8) private (a) shared (b)
    {
        // parallel segment
    }
    // rest of serial segment
    Sample OpenMP program
}
```

```c
int a, b;
main() {
    // serial segment
    Code inserted by the OpenMP compiler
    for (i = 0; i < 8; i++)
        pthread_create (........, internal_thread_fn_name, ...);
    for (i = 0; i < 8; i++)
        pthread_join (........);
    // rest of serial segment
}

void *internal_thread_fn_name (void *packaged_argument) {
    int a;
    // parallel segment
}
```

Corresponding Pthreads translation
OpenMP Thread Identification

- OpenMP divides computation into *threads*
- Threads
  - Parallel execution path in the same process
  - Have some private data but may share some data with threads on both stack and heap
- Like MPI and Unix IPC, OpenMP provides basic identification functions

```c
#pragma omp parallel reduction(+: total_hits)
{
    int thread_id = omp_get_thread_num();
    int num_threads = omp_get_num_threads();
    int work_per_thread = total_work / num_threads;
    ...
}
```
Number of Threads Can be Specified

// Default # threads is based on system
#pragma omp parallel
{
    run_with_max_num_threads();
}

// Set number of threads based on command line
if (argc > 1) {
    omp_set_num_threads( atoi(argv[1]) );
}
#pragma omp parallel
{
    run_with_current_num_threads();
}

// Set number of threads as part of pragma
#pragma omp parallel num_threads(2)
{
    run_with_two_threads();
}

int NT = 4;
#pragma omp parallel num_threads(NT)
{
    run_with_four_threads();
}
Tricky Memory Issues Abound

Program Fragment

```c
int id_shared=-1;
int numThreads=0;

#pragma omp parallel
{
    id_shared = omp_get_thread_num();
    numThreads = omp_get_num_threads();
    printf("A: Hello from thread %d of %d\n", id_shared, numThreads);
}

printf("\n");

#pragma omp parallel
{
    int id_private = omp_get_thread_num();
    numThreads = omp_get_num_threads();
    printf("B: Hello from thread %d of %d\n", id_private, numThreads);
}
```

Possible Output

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A: Hello from thread 2 of 4</td>
<td>B: Hello from thread 1 of 4</td>
<td>A: Hello from thread 3 of 4</td>
<td>B: Hello from thread 3 of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A: Hello from thread 0 of 4</td>
<td>B: Hello from thread 0 of 4</td>
<td>A: Hello from thread 0 of 4</td>
<td>B: Hello from thread 2 of 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lessons

- Threads share heap
- Threads share any stack variables not in parallel blocks
- Thread variables are private if declared inside parallel blocks
- Take care with shared variables
Exercise: Pi Calc

Consider:
https://cs.gmu.edu/~kauffman/cs499/omp_picalc.c

Questions to Answer:

▶ How is the number of threads used to run determined?
▶ What is the business with reduction(+: total_hits)?
▶ Can variables like points_per_thread be moved out of the parallel block?
▶ What is going on with rand_r(&seed)? Should seed be renamed?
▶ Do you expect speedup for this computation?
rand() vs rand_r(long *state)

- rand() generates random integers on each invocation
- How can a function can return a different value on each call?
- rand_r(x) does the same thing but takes a parameter
- What is that parameter?
- What’s the difference between these two?

Explore variant pi_calc_rand_r which exclusively uses rand_r()’s capabilities.
Comparing usage of `rand_r()`

What looks interesting to you about these two results.

omp_picalc.c
```
#pragma omp parallel ...
{
    unsigned int seed =
        123456789 * thread_id;
...

    double x =
        ((double) rand_r(&seed));
```

omp_picalc_rand_r.c:
```
unsigned int seed =
    123456789;
#pragma omp parallel...
{
...

    double x =
        ((double) rand_r(&seed));
```

TIMING
```
> gcc omp_picalc.c -fopenmp
> time -p a.out 100000000
npoints: 100000000
hits: 78541717
pi_est: 3.141669
real 0.52
user 2.00
sys 0.00
```

```
> gcc omp_picalc_rand_r.c -fopenmp
> time -p a.out 100000000
npoints: 100000000
hits: 77951102
pi_est: 3.118044
real 3.05
user 11.77
sys 0.01
```
Note on `rand()`

- Not sure if `rand()` is or is thread-safe
- Conflicting info in manual, likely that this is a system dependent property
- Be careful

The function `rand()` is not reentrant, since it uses hidden state that is modified on each call. This might just be the seed value to be used by the next call, or it might be something more elaborate. In order to get reproducible behavior in a threaded application, this state must be made explicit; this can be done using the reentrant function `rand_r()`.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interface</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>rand()</code>, <code>rand_r()</code>, <code>srand()</code></td>
<td>Thread safety</td>
<td>MT-Safe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reduction

omp_picalc.c used a reduction() clause

#pragma omp parallel reduction(+: total_hits)
{
    ...;
    total_hits++;
}

- Guarantees shared var total_hits is updated properly by all procs,
- As efficient as possible with an increment
- May exploit the fact that addition is transitive - can be done in any order
- Most arithmetic ops available
Alternative: Atomic

```c
#pragma omp parallel
{
    ...
    #pragma omp atomic
    total_hits++;
}
```

- Use atomic hardware instruction available
- Restricted to single operations, usually arithmetic
- No hardware support → compilation problem

```c
#pragma omp atomic
printf("woot"); // compile error
```
Alternative: Critical Block

```c
#pragma omp parallel
{
  ...
  #pragma omp critical
  {
    total_hits++;
  }
}
```

- Not restricted to hardware supported ops
- Uses locks to restrict access to a single thread
Reduction vs. Atomic vs. Critical

- `omp_picalc_alt.c` has commented out versions of for each of reduction, atomic, and critical
- Examine timing differences between the three choices

```
lila [openmp-code]%</gccomp_picalc_alt.c -fopenmp
lila [openmp-code]% timetime -p a.out 100000000 4
npoints: 100000000
hits: 78541717
pi_est: 3.141669
```

real ?? - Elapsed (wall) time
user ?? - Total user cpu time
sys ?? - Total system time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Threads</th>
<th>real</th>
<th>user</th>
<th>sys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exercise: No Reduction for You

```c
int total_hits=0;
#pragma omp parallel reduction(+: total_hits)
{
    int num_threads = omp_get_num_threads();
    int thread_id = omp_get_thread_num();
    int points_per_thread = npoints / num_threads;
    unsigned int seed = 123456789 * thread_id;
    int i;
    for (i = 0; i < points_per_thread; i++) {
        double x = ((double) rand_r(&seed)) / ((double) RAND_MAX);
        double y = ((double) rand_r(&seed)) / ((double) RAND_MAX);
        if (x*x + y*y <= 1.0){
            total_hits++;
        }
    }
}
```

- Reformulate picalc to NOT use reduction clause, use atomic or critical sections instead
- **Constraint:** must have same speed as the original reduction version
- **Hint:** draw on your experience from distributed MPI days
Parallel Loops

```c
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < 16; i++) {
    int id = omp_get_thread_num();
    printf("Thread %d doing iter %d\n",
           id, i);
}

OUTPUT
Thread 0 doing iter 0
Thread 0 doing iter 1
Thread 0 doing iter 2
Thread 0 doing iter 3
Thread 2 doing iter 8
Thread 2 doing iter 9
Thread 2 doing iter 10
Thread 2 doing iter 11
Thread 1 doing iter 4
Thread 1 doing iter 5
...
```

- OpenMP supports parallelism for independent loop iterations
- Note variable i is declared in loop scope
- Iterations automatically divided between threads in a blocked fashion
Exercise: OpenMP Matrix Vector Multiply

- Handout `matvec.c`: serial code to generate a matrix, vector and multiply
- Parallelize this with OpenMP
- Consider which `#pragma` to use
- Annotate with any problem spots

Available at: https://cs.gmu.edu/~kauffman/cs499/matvec.c
Original Timing Differences

// OUTER
#pragma omp parallel for
for(int i=0; i<rows; i++){
    for(int j=0; j<cols; j++){
        res[i] += mat[i][j] * vec[j];
    }
}

// INNER
for(int i=0; i<rows; i++){
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for(int j=0; j<cols; j++){
        res[i] += mat[i][j] * vec[j];
    }
}

// BOTH
#pragma omp parallel for
for(int i=0; i<rows; i++){
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for(int j=0; j<cols; j++){
        res[i] += mat[i][j] * vec[j];
    }
}

# SKINNY
> gcc omp_matvec_timing.c -fopenmp
> a.out 20000 10000
Outer : 0.3143
Inner : 0.8805
Both : 0.4444

# FAT
> a.out 10000 20000
Outer : 0.2481
Inner : 0.8038
Both : 0.3750

Consider the timing differences between each of these three and explain the differences at least between

- OUTER SKINNY vs OUTER FAT
- INNER SKINNY vs INNER FAT
- OUTER vs INNER on both FAT and SKINNY
Updated Timing Differences

// OUTER
#pragma omp parallel for
for(int i=0; i<rows; i++){
    for(int j=0; j<cols; j++){
        res[i] += mat[i][j] * vec[j];
    }
}

// INNER: with reduction
for(int i=0; i<rows; i++){
    double sum = 0.0;
    #pragma omp parallel \\
        reduction(+:sum)
    {
        #pragma omp for
        for(int j=0; j<cols; j++){
            sum += mat[i][j] * vec[j];
        }
    }
    result[i] = sum;
}

# SKINNY
> gcc omp_matvec_timing.c -fopenmp
> a.out 20000 10000
Outer : 0.2851
Inner : 0.2022
Both : 0.2191

# FAT
> a.out 10000 20000
Outer : 0.2486
Inner : 0.1911
Both : 0.2118

> export OMP_NESTED=TRUE
> a.out 20000 10000
Outer : 0.2967
Inner : 0.2027
Both : 1.1783

Reduction was missing in the old version
Why the performance difference for Inner/Both?

Nested parallelism turned off

No Reduction

```
# SKINNY
> gcc omp_matvec_timing.c -fopenmp
> a.out 20000 10000
Outer : 0.3143
Inner : 0.8805
Both : 0.4444

# FAT
> a.out 10000 20000
Outer : 0.2481
Inner : 0.8038
Both : 0.3750
```

With Reduction

```
# SKINNY
> gcc omp_matvec_timing.c -fopenmp
> a.out 20000 10000
Outer : 0.2851
Inner : 0.2022
Both : 0.2191

# FAT
> a.out 10000 20000
Outer : 0.2486
Inner : 0.1911
Both : 0.2118
```
Nested Parallelism is Not the Default

> gcc omp_matvec_printing.c -fopenmp
> a.out 10000 20000

#threads = 4 (outer)
#threads = 4 (inner)
#threads = 4 (both outer)
#threads = 1 (both inner)
Outer : 0.2547
Inner : 0.8186
Both : 0.3735

> export OMP_NESTED=TRUE
> a.out 10000 20000

#threads = 4 (outer)
#threads = 4 (inner)
#threads = 4 (both outer)
#threads = 4 (both inner)
Outer : 0.2904
Inner : 0.8297
Both : 0.8660

- Aspects of OpenMP can be controlled via function calls
  omp_set_nested(1); // ON
  omp_set_nested(0); // OFF

- Can also be specified via environment variables
  export OMP_NESTED=TRUE
  export OMP_NESTED=OFF
  export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4

- Env. Vars are handy for experimentation

- Features such as loop scheduling are controllable via directives, function calls, or environment variables
#pragma omp parallel
{
    #pragma omp for
    for (int i = 0; i < REPS; i++) {
        int id = omp_get_thread_num();
        printf("Thread %d did iter %d\n", id, i);
    }
}
printf("\n");

// ABOVE AND BELOW IDENTICAL

#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < REPS; i++) {
    int id = omp_get_thread_num();
    printf("Thread %d did iter %d\n", id, i);
}
printf("\n");

- Directives for OpenMP can be separate or coalesced
- Code on top and bottom are parallelized the same way
- In top code, removing first #pragma removes parallelism
# Loop Scheduling - 4 Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Static</th>
<th>Guided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ So far only done static</td>
<td>▶ Hybrid between static/dynamic, start with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheduling with fixed size</td>
<td>each thread taking a &quot;big&quot; chunk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chunks</td>
<td>▶ When a thread finishes, requests a &quot;smaller&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chunk, next request is smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Threads get fixed size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chunks in rotating fashion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Great if each iteration has</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>same work load</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dynamic</th>
<th>Runtime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ Threads get fixed chunks</td>
<td>▶ Environment variables used to select one of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>but when done, request another</td>
<td>others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chunk</td>
<td>▶ Flexible but requires user awareness: <em>What’s an</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>environment variable?</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Incurs more overhead but</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>balances uneven load better</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Code for Loop Scheduling

Basic Codes

- **omp_loop_scheduling.c** demonstrates loops of each kind with printing
- **omp_guided_schedule.c** longer loop to demonstrate iteration scheduling during Guided execution

Attempts to Get Dynamic/Guided Scheduling to Shine

- **omp_collatz.c** looping to determine step counts in Collatz sequences
- **omp_spellcheck.c** simulates spell checking with linear search for words
- In both cases Static scheduling appears to work just as well for large inputs
Exercise: Looking Forward To HW 4

- Likely do a password cracking exercise
- Given an securely hashed password file
- **Describe** means to decrypt password(s) in this file
- How might one parallelize the work and why
- Does static or dynamic scheduling seem more appropriate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>username</th>
<th>hashed password</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>admin</td>
<td>645E2A7B0C1F4D45EF859725386B605D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>pumpkin22</td>
<td>614B1F421A1F52727FF72A13CAC74F56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>johndoe</td>
<td>8598500975B68DD9F2616A2B1A471F4E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>alexa45</td>
<td>14BC2B3E56370B1FF4B8EFFC5DA13226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>guy</td>
<td>7BB9FE4E6292A5D7CCD749755BC6B593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>maryjane</td>
<td>8598500975B68DD9F2616A2B1A471F4E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>dudson123</td>
<td>614B1F421A1F52727FF72A13CAC74F56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thread Variable Declarations

Pragmas can specify that variables are either shared or private. See omp_private_variables.c

tid = -1;
#pragma omp parallel
{
    tid = omp_get_thread_num();
    printf("Hello World from thread = %d\n", tid);
}

tid = -1;
#pragma omp parallel private(tid)
{
    tid = omp_get_thread_num();
    printf("Hello World from thread = %d\n", tid);
}

Also available

- shared which is mostly redundant
- firstprivate guarantees initialization with shared value
- All of these are subsumed by lexical scoping in C
Sections: Non-loopy Parallelism

- Independent code can be "sectioned" with threads taking different sections.
- Good to parallelize distinct independent execution paths
- See omp_sections.c

```c
#pragma omp sections
#pragma omp section
{
    printf("Thread %d computing d[]\n", omp_get_thread_num());
    for (i=0; i < N; i++)
        d[i] = a[i] * b[i];
}

#pragma omp section
printf("Thread %d chillin’ out\n", omp_get_thread_num());
```

Locks in OpenMP

- Implicit parallelism/synchronization is awesome but...
- Occasionally need more fine-grained control
- Lock facilities provided to enable mutual exclusion
- Each of these have analogues in PThreads we will discuss later

```c
void omp_init_lock(omp_lock_t *lock); // create
void omp_destroy_lock(omp_lock_t *lock); // destroy
void omp_set_lock(omp_lock_t *lock);   // wait to obtain
void omp_unset_lock(omp_lock_t *lock); // release
int omp_test_lock(omp_lock_t *lock);   // check, don’t wait
```