

- Work must be uploaded to gradescope *per-question*; text boxes and picture/pdf file uploads are ok.

1. [12pts] Consider the following language description: its sentences only contain balanced brackets of various kinds: $()$, $\{\}$, and $[]$ all may be included. The empty string is also in this language. Whether they are one after the other, or nested, they must balance each open with a matching-style close afterwards. Some valid sentences (members of the language):

$()\{\}\{\}\{\}$ $((\{\}))$ $((\{\}))\{\}\{\}\{\}$

Some invalid sentences (not members of the language):

$[$ $(\{\})$ $\{\{\}$ (no)

- Write out the production rules for this language. It must not be ambiguous.
- write a derivation for the sentence: $\{\{\}\{\}\{\}$

2. [12pts] Given the following production rules:

$S \rightarrow S+N \mid N$
 $N \rightarrow 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9$

For each sentence, do two things: draw a parse tree, and write out a leftmost derivation. Don't skip any steps!

- $2+3$
- $5+8+9$

3. [12pts] Given these production rules:

$S \rightarrow S * S \mid N$
 $N \rightarrow 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9 \mid 0$

- Draw two unique valid parse trees of the sentence $3*4*5$
- Is this ambiguous or unambiguous? (Yes or No)

4. [10pts] Given the production rules below, is the plus operator (+) left-associative, right-associative, or neither? You need to provide a sample sentence and a parse tree of it that supports your answer. (hint: very short sentences should suffice).

$S \rightarrow S + T \mid T$
 $T \rightarrow 1 \mid 2 \mid 3$

5. [16pts] Given the set of terminals: $terminals = \{1, o, m, f, g\}$

- a. Write production rules for a language that accepts palindromes of any non-zero length.
 - b. Write a derivation for `lolol`
-

6. [10pts] consider a language where it is chosen that or has higher precedence than and. The terminals are:

`terminals = { true, false, and, or }`

- a. Write out the production rules. Requirement: don't allow any ambiguity.
 - b. Next, draw a parse tree and derivation for `false or true and true or false` . (Hint: remember, there are no parenthesis terminals! They should not show up here.)
-

7. [16pts] The following production rules are ambiguous:

```
Expr → Expr / Expr | Expr * Expr | ( Expr ) | Num
Num  → 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
```

- a. Prove it is ambiguous by providing a specific example sentence that has two different parse trees for the sentence, and draw out both parse trees.
 - (Hint: there are relatively short sentences that do this).
 - Make sure you don't skip over any non-terminals in your parse trees! (No need to write out the derivation for this question).
 - b. Rewrite the production rules so that it:
 - accepts the exact same sentences as before (no more, no less)
 - is unambiguous
 - is always right-associative (an unusual choice for multiplication/division, but our languages can do whatever we choose).
 - doesn't introduce any extra precedence between multiplication and division. (PEMDAS is really P-E-MD-AS. Or, "B-E-DM-AS" in England: "brackets, exponents, [etc.]")
-

8. [12pts] Answer each (yes/no):

- a. in an unambiguous language, can operators with the same precedence have the same associativity?
- b. in an unambiguous language, can operators with different precedence have the same associativity?
- c. can production rules be both ambiguous and unambiguous?
- d. can production rules contain both left-associative and right-associative operators?