## **Text Classification**

### Sanmay Das

Washington University in St. Louis

#### CSE 417T, April 18, 2019

## **Text Classification**

- Lot of work in NLP, but we will simply treat it as a machine learning problem (ignoring parts of speech, etc).
- First question: How do we convert documents into (**x**, y) tuples?
- y depends on what we are trying to predict. Examples:
  - Sentiment (positive or negative)
  - Relevant or irrelevant to a specific product
  - Political leaning: Democrat or Republican?
  - ► ...
- Several different answers for **x**, including
  - Representations in word / phrase space (Bag of Words, TFIDF)
  - Representations in topic space (LDA)
  - Representations in semantic space (sequences of word embeddings)

## Bag of words

- Text 1: We have been put through the ringer.
- Text 2: The ringer of our telephone has been out of order.
- Text 3: A telephone is a necessity.

	we	have	been	put	through	the	ringer	of	our	telephone	has	out	order	а	is	necessity
T1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Т3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1

## Practicalities: A Real Review

This is really a new low in entertainment. Even though there are a lot worse movies out.<br/>br /><br />In the Gangster / Drug scene genre it is hard to have a convincing storyline (this movies does not, i mean Sebastians motives for example couldn't be more far fetched and worn out cliché.) Then you would also need a setting of character relationships that is believable (this movie does not.) <br/><br/>br /><br/>Sure Tristan is drawn away from his family but why was that again? what's the deal with his father again that he has to ask permission to go out at his age? ... Wasn't he already down and out, why does he do it again? <br />so there are some interesting questions brought up here for a solid socially critic drama (but then again, this movie is just not, because of focusing on "cool" production techniques and special effects an not giving the characters a moment to reflect and most of all forcing the story along the path where they want it to be and not paying attention to let the story breath and naturally evolve.) <br /><br />tr />It wants to be a drama to not glorify abuse of substances and violence (would be political incorrect these days, wouldn't it?) but on the other hand it is nothing more then a cheap action movie (like there are so so many out there) with an average set of actors and a Vinnie Jones who is managing to not totally ruin what's left of his reputation by doing what he always does.<br /><br />So all in all i .. just ... can't recommend it.<br /><br />1 for Vinnie and 2 for the editing.

## Tokenization

- What constitutes a word?
- Tokenization is the process of breaking a stream of text up into meaningful "tokens" (words, phrases, symbols)
- "Wasn't" as opposed to "Wasn", "'t"
- "high-flying" to "high", "flying"
- Many ways of doing this, most packages have implementations

## Uninformative and Rare Words



Figure 2.1. A plot of the hyperbolic curve relating  $f_i$  the dieguency of occurrence and  $r_i$  the rank order (Adaped from Schultz<sup>44</sup>page 120)

#### (Credit: Lecture notes of Hongyao Ma)

Sanmay Das (WUSTL)

**CSE 417T** 

## Stemming and Lemmatization

- Goal of both is to reduce forms of a word to a common base form
- am, are, is  $\Rightarrow$  be
- car cars, car's, cars'  $\Rightarrow$  car
- the boy's cars are different colors  $\Rightarrow$  the boy car be differ color
- Stemming is a heuristic, usually quite effective. Porter stemmer is commonly used.
- Lemmatization is more complex and tries to do things properly, with linguistic analysis, etc. (may be better when using word embeddings)

(Credit: https://nlp.stanford.edu/IR-book/html/ htmledition/stemming-and-lemmatization-1.html)

## N-grams and TFIDF

- N-gram representations use N words occurring in a row as the base units in x (blows up vocabulary, but can be more informative)
  - e.g. "personal accounts" versus "private accounts"
- TF: Term Frequency. How often a word/phrase occurs in a document (can normalize by document length in different ways)
- IDF: Document Frequency: In how many documents does this word/phrase occur? Typically use nonlinear scaling (1 + log(N/doc-freq(t)))
  - More informative than total term frequency in the corpus

## Stopword Removal

- Removing extremely common words with little value for the task
- Trend has been to move towards smaller stopword lists
  - ► Can break the original meaning "this is not a good movie" ⇒ "movie"

## Learning Algorithms

- Typically prefer to use linear models
- Linear SVMs and regularized logistic regression (sometimes known as Maximum Entropy in the NLP / text mining literature) are quite popular

## **Topic Models**

- Each document is a mixture of *k topics*
- Generative process: Each document is generated by repeatedly sampling:
  - A topic from its topic distribution
  - A word/phrase from the topic
- The topics are not necessarily semantically well-defined. They are typically based on co-occurrence patterns of words/phrases.
- The most common type of topic modeling is latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA)
  - Sparse Dirichlet prior (multivariate generalization of the Beta): encodes preference for documents coming from a smaller range of topics, and each topic being concentrated in terms of words

LDA



(Credit: By Bkkbrad - Own work, CC BY-SA 4.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=3610403)

## LDA Inference

- Bayesian inference problem: Find the parameters that maximize the probability of the data
  - Set of topics
  - Distribution of words for each topic
  - Topic of each word
  - Topic mixture of each document
- Typically done with Gibbs sampling, but there are other approaches

## Topic Interpretation

Topics can sometimes be cleanly interpreted. For example, from some of our work on the Congressional Record (task – distinguish statements made by Republicans and Democrats):

#### 5 topics with the highest cross validation AUC

Topic 36 Topic 11 Topic 28 (CV AUC: 0.950) (CV AUC: 0.945) (CV AUC: 0.960) pro lif 0.005 28 Politics & the republican parti 0.007 health care 0 021 onlin edit 0 004 social secur 0 006 health insur 0.006 economy richard dawkin 0.003 small busi 0.006 tax cut 0 005 36 Health care. stem cell 0 002 incom tax 0.003 american peopl 0.003 plan parenthood 0.002 tax rate 0.002 wall street 0.003 insurance, and scientif medic 0.001 tax cut 0.002 great depress 0.002 abort time 0.001 taxes insur compani 0.002 liber democrat 0.002 theori evolut 0.001 balanc budget 0.002 econom polici 0.002 cell research 0.001 11 Evolutionary million american 0.002 bill clinton 0.002 unit state 0.001 Topic 29 care system 0.002 aeora bush 0.002 Topic 6 science. (CV AUC: 0.928) (CV AUC: 0.919) medicine global warm 0.006 civil war 0.006 climat chang 0.006 war irag 0.003 29 Climate change unit state 0.003 saddam hussein 0 002 liber bia 0.002 Foreign policy in oil ga 0.002 6 de gaull 0.002 natur ga 0.002 the middle east foreign polici 0.002 oil compani 0.002 carbon dioxid 0.002 hin laden 0 002 war terror 0.002 renew energi 0.002 nuclear nower 0.001 al gaeda 0.001 middl east 0 001 fossil fuel 0.001

**CSE 417T** 

## Topic Interpretation Example (contd.)

#### 5 topics with the lowest cross validation AUC

Topic 8 Topic 2 (CV AUC: 0.737) (CV AUC: 0.734) balanc time 0.004 pro choic 0.004 mr speaker 0.004 first amend 0.003 time limit 0.003 breast cancer 0.003 ura colleagu 0.003 plan parenthood 0.002 democrat nomin 0.002 back balanc 0 002 anti abort 0.002 vield back 0.002 daili show 0.002 support homeopathi 0.002 use describ 0.002 nativ american 0.002 vote bill 0.002 reserv balanc 0.002 amend offer 0.002 Topic 22 sexual assault 0.002 Topic 3 (CV AUC: 0.714) (CV AUC: 0.683) new age 0.004 sarah palin 0.004 american polit 0.003 look like 0.002 talk radio 0.003 new world 0.002 parti candid 0.003 year ago 0.002 unit state 0.001 conserv movement 0.002 world order 0.001 ayn rand 0.002 right activist 0.001 welfar state 0.002 human be 0.001 thoma iefferson 0.002 york time 0.001 southern state 0.002 mani peopl 0.001 governor new 0.001

Topic 37 (CV AUC: 0.728) talk point 0.002 rush limbaugh 0.001 hous press 0.001 hate group 0.001 hate speech 0.001 anti gover 0.001 club growth 0.001 donald trump 0.001 white male 0.001

- 8 Abortion
- 2 Procedural phrases
- 11 Opinions on media
- 29 Political philosophy
- 6 Specific people

## Word Embeddings

- Sparse vocabulary vector encodings of words aren't that helpful for NNs
- Alternative: map each word into a high dimensional vector space
  - Solve the learning problem of predicting a word given context (surrounding window of words)
- Google Word2Vec has been very successful



From https://www.tensorflow.org/versions/master/tutorials/word2vec

Warning: Word embeddings encode human biases

Sanmay Das (WUSTL)

## Sentiment Classification

- IMDB sentiment classification task.
- 25000 reviews each for training and testing.
- No more than 30 reviews are allowed for any given movie.
- Train and test sets contain a disjoint set of movies.
- Negative review: score  $\leq$  4 (out of 10)
- Positive review: score  $\geq$  7.
- Current methods achieve accuracy well above 90%

## A Cautionary Tale: Political Partisanship / Ideology Measurement

- Idea: Measure ideology by building a classifier / regression model of partisanship
- Main datasets:
  - Congressional Record and press releases (politicians)
  - Salon and Townhall (media)
  - Conservapedia, RationalWiki (the crowd)
- Algorithms:
  - Logistic regression on n-grams (Bag-of-bigrams, TFIDF, feature hashing) + domain adaptation when needed (mSDA)
  - ► Recursive autoencoder (RAE).
- Labels:
  - Classification target: "Democrat" vs. "Republican"
  - Regression target: DW-nominate score (measure of ideology based on roll-call votes)

## **ROC Curves**



#### (Credit:

https://stats.

```
stackexchange.com/questions/264477/
```

will-roc-curve-for-a-model-always-be-symmetric-if-we-have-enough-training-data)

#### Useful summary metric: Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC)

- Probability a random positive example is ranked higher than a random negative example
- Insensitive to class imbalance

## Caution 1: Generalizing Across Time

Out-of-time predictions for Salon-Townhall (left) and the Congressional Record (right) when trained on two years of data and tested going forward.



# Caution 2: Extrapolating From Partisanship to Ideology

Scatterplot of ideology predictions based on the Congressional Record vs. DW-Nominate scores (left), and of ideology predictions based on the Congressional Record vs. based on press releases (right) for house members in the 113th Congress (2013-2014).



## Caution 3: Generalizing Across Datasets

Testing and Averaging By Year							
Test	Congressional	Salon &	Conservapedia &				
Training	Record	Townhall	RationalWiki				
Congressional		0.69(mSDA)	0.47(mSDA)				
Doord	0.03(1F-1DFLR)	0.67 (TF-IDFLR)	0.49 (TF-IDFLR)				
necolu	0.01 (NAE)	0.59(RAE)	0.47 (RAE)				
Salan &	0.60(mSDA)		0.52(mSDA)				
Townholl	0.59 (TF-IDFLR)	0.92(11 - 101 LR)	0.51 (TF-IDFLR)				
TOWITTAI	0.54 (RAE)	0.90(NAE)	0.55(RAE)				
Concervenedie 9	0.53(mSDA)	0.58(mSDA)	0.85 (TF-IDFLR) 0.82 (RAE)				
Dotional Wiki	0.50 (TF-IDFLR)	0.53 (TF-IDFLR)					
nalionalivini	0.47 (RAE)	0.57 (RAE)					

## A Silver Lining?

- Predictability may be a function of topic
- Learn a topic model jointly on CR and ST
- Learn individual classifiers for texts "hard classified" to each of 40 topics

## A Silver Lining?

- Predictability may be a function of topic
- Learn a topic model jointly on CR and ST
- Learn individual classifiers for texts "hard classified" to each of 40 topics



## **High AUC Topics**

#### 5 topics with the highest cross validation AUC

Topic 28 Topic 36 (CV AUC: 0.950) (CV AUC: 0.960) health care 0 021 republican parti 0.007 social secur 0.006 health insur 0 006 tax cut 0 005 small busi 0 006 american peopl 0.003 incom tax 0.003 tax rate 0.002 wall street 0 003 tax cut 0.002 great depress 0.002 insur compani 0.002 liber democrat 0.002 balanc budget 0.002 econom polici 0.002 million american 0.002 bill clinton 0.002 Topic 29 care system 0.002 georg bush 0.002 (CV AUC: 0.928) global warm 0.006 climat chang 0.006 unit state 0.003 oil ga 0.002 natur ga 0.002 oil compani 0.002 carbon dioxid 0.002 renew energi 0.002 nuclear power 0.001 fossil fuel 0.001

Topic 11 (CV AUC: 0.945) pro lif 0.005 onlin edit 0 004 richard dawkin 0.003 stem cell 0.002 plan parenthood 0.002 scientif medic 0.001 abort time 0.001 theori evolut 0 001 cell research 0 001 unit state 0.001 Topic 6 (CV AUC: 0.919) civil war 0.006 war irag 0.003 saddam hussein 0.002 liber bia 0.002 de gaull 0.002 foreign polici 0.002 bin laden 0.002 war terror 0.002 al gaeda 0.001

- 28 Politics & the economy
- 36 Health care, insurance, and taxes
- 11 Evolutionary science, medicine
- 29 Climate change
  - 6 Foreign policy in the middle east

middl east 0.001

## Low AUC Topics

#### 5 topics with the lowest cross validation AUC

Topic 8 Topic 2 (CV AUC: 0.737) (CV AUC: 0.734) balanc time 0.004 pro choic 0.004 mr speaker 0.004 first amend 0.003 time limit 0.003 breast cancer 0.003 ura colleagu 0.003 plan parenthood 0.002 democrat nomin 0.002 back balanc 0 002 anti abort 0.002 vield back 0.002 daili show 0.002 support homeopathi 0.002 use describ 0.002 nativ american 0.002 vote bill 0.002 reserv balanc 0.002 amend offer 0.002 Topic 22 sexual assault 0.002 (CV AUC: 0.714) new age 0.004 american polit 0.003 talk radio 0.003 parti candid 0.003 conserv movement 0.002 ayn rand 0.002 welfar state 0.002 thoma iefferson 0.002

southern state 0.002

governor new 0.001

hate group 0.001 hate speech 0.001 anti govern 0.001 club growth 0.001 donald trump 0.001 white male 0 001 Topic 3 (CV AUC: 0.683) sarah palin 0.004 look like 0.002 new world 0.002 year ago 0.002 unit state 0.001 world order 0.001 right activist 0.001 human be 0.001

york time 0.001

mani peopl 0.001

Topic 37

(CV AUC: 0.728)

talk point 0.002

rush limbaugh 0.001

hous press 0.001

liber conserv 0.001

8 Abortion

- 2 Procedural phrases
- 11 Opinions on media
- 29 Political philosophy
- 6 Specific people