CSE 516: Homework 1

Due: February 11, 2016

Note: Please keep in mind the collaboration policy as specified in the course syllabus. If you discuss questions with others you **must** write their names on your submission, and if you use any outside resources you **must** reference them. Please submit your writeup, but not your code. However, we may ask you to email us your code, and if you do not then you will not receive any credit. Finally, keep in mind that homework (in hardcopy) is due **at the beginning of lecture.** Note that several of the questions are quite open-ended. You will be graded in part on the quality of your analysis and in part on the quality of your writeup, so please write your answers up carefully. There are five questions on three pages.

- 1. (60 points) **The Assignment Problem:** In this problem you will explore the properties of the assignment problem and compare two different ways of solving it. We have broken it up into discrete tasks that build on each other.
 - (a) (20 points) **Implementation:** Implement the auction algorithm in the language of your choice, using a representation that you deem appropriate. Also implement a general method for encoding assignment problems as linear programs using the linear programming modeling language of your choice (we recommend GLPK). Test these on small instances to make sure that you get correct answers. Once you're sure that your algorithms are working, solve the following instance of the assignment problem with ten agents and ten objects (agents are rows, objects are columns):

```
02
                03
                     04
                           05
                                06
                                     07
                                           08
                                                09
                                                      010
     01
                           63
     19
          88
                91
                     29
                                33
                                      30
                                            5
                                                  6
                                                      31
Α1
                                           76
A2
      7
          29
                35
                     71
                           93
                                85
                                     95
                                                22
                                                       2
     48
          64
                70
                     50
                           88
                                22
                                           20
                                                34
А3
                                      61
                                                      51
          70
Α4
     80
                48
                     34
                           16
                                88
                                     47
                                           45
                                                82
                                                      82
A5
     80
          97
                25
                     17
                           17
                                49
                                     19
                                           56
                                                44
                                                      95
      1
          46
                19
                     24
                           35
                                62
                                     80
                                           72
                                                 0
                                                      35
A6
Α7
     63
          17
                18
                     51
                           62
                                19
                                     86
                                           50
                                                94
                                                      92
A8
     2.3
          86
                20
                     96
                           17
                                2.0
                                     30
                                           37
                                                55
                                                      15
Α9
     39
          91
                12
                     24
                           15
                                19
                                      91
                                            0
                                                29
                                                      37
A10 95
                36
                     25
                           81
                                19
                                     27
                                           16
                                                29
                                                      97
          87
```

Report the actual assignment and the total value of that assignment.

(b) (20 points) **Random problems and assignment values:** Now implement a way of generating a random assignment problem given two parameters, n and M, where n is the number of agents (there should be an equal number of objects), and the value of each assignment is an integer sampled uniformly at random between 0 and M-1

(or 1 and M if you prefer). You should figure out a way to feed this problem to both the auction algorithm solver and the LP solver (if you are using GLPK, the best way to do this is to generate a separate data file, while keeping the model file to specify the common parts of the model). Now, using either solution technique, compute the per-agent average value of assignments as you increase n, in powers of 2, from 2 to 256, setting M to 100. Average at least 1000 runs for each case of n. Plot the results and include this plot in your writeup. Explain why you see the pattern you see, backing up your claim with any specific evidence that you may want to gather from the instances you generate or the execution of your code.

- (c) (20 points) **Timing:** Now, holding the number of agents constant at 256, change M, this time going up in orders of 10, from 10 to 100 to 1000 and all the way up to 10^7 . Solve each generated instance using both the auction algorithm approach and the linear programming approach, keeping track of how long each solver takes on average to solve an instance as a function of M. You should run the code for at least 100 instances for any particular M to get a stable estimate. Plot the results for each of the two approaches. Why do you see the results you see? Are they what you expected from the worst case bounds on time discussed in class? Again, you should back up your claims with any specific evidence you deem appropriate from the instances you generate or the execution of your code.
- 2. (5 points) **What problem?** Suppose I give you a list of numbers k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n . Consider the following linear program:

Maximize $\sum_{i,j} j \cdot k_i \cdot x_{ij}$ Subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{j} x_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i$$

$$\sum_{i} x_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall j$$

$$x_{ij} \ge 0 \quad \forall i, j$$

where the i, j range from 1 to n. What standard problem is this LP solving? What is the appropriate interpretation of the x_{ij} (you can assume they are all 0 or 1 in thinking about this)?

- 3. (10 points) **Different objectives in stable matching:** Consider a standard stable matching problem with complete preference lists for both men and women. I want to find the stable matching that minimizes the average rank in the preference list of each agent's assigned partner. For example, if there are three men and three women and a stable matching assigns partners ranked 1, 2, and 2 (in their own preference lists) to the three men, and 1, 3, and 3 to the three women, then the average rank is 2. Write down a linear program (using the same conventions as the basic stable matching LP discussed in lecture) for achieving this objective.
- 4. (10 points) **Manipulation through permutation:** Consider a matching market with three men and three women with the following true preferences:

Men					Women			
1	1	2	3	1	2	1	3	
2	2	1	3	2	1	2	3	
3	1	2	3	3	1	2	3	

Suppose the mechanism is Gale-Shapley with men proposing and that all agents must submit complete preference lists. If the agents are all truthful in the preferences they submit, what matching will results? Is there a woman who can misrepresent her preferences and end up with a more preferred partner, assuming others are truthful? If so, which one, what preferences should she submit, and what will the resulting matching be? If not, why not?

5. (15 points) **Uniqueness:** Prove that if the man optimal stable matching and the woman optimal stable matching are the same for a given instance of the stable matching problem, there is only one stable matching. (You may want to start by showing that, in a man optimal matching, each woman ends up with the lowest ranked man she could in any stable matching.)