





















Performan	ce Improv		÷		
		Performance Improvement			
ating m Mic	ddleware	Application	Avg. Performance Improvement per Layer		
1.18	1.23	1.10	1.17		
1.25	1.19	1.25	1.23		
1.20	1.12	1.20	1.17		
1.21	1.18	1.12	1.17		
1.30	1.23	1.15	1.23		
1.24	1.17	1.21	1.21		
1.22	1.18	1.14	1.18		
1.29	1.19	1.13	1.20		
1.30	1 21	1 1 1 5	1 22		
	ating m Mic 1.25 1.20 1.21 1.30 1.24 1.22 1.29 1.20	ating m         Middleware           1.18         1.23           1.25         1.19           1.20         1.12           1.21         1.18           1.30         1.23           1.24         1.17           1.29         1.18           1.20         1.23	ating mMiddlewareApplication1.181.231.101.251.191.251.201.121.201.211.181.121.301.231.151.241.171.211.291.181.141.291.191.131.201.211.14		

## Harmonic Mean

The harmonic mean of a sample  $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  is defined as

$$x = \frac{1}{1/x_1 + 1/x_2 + \dots + 1/x_n}$$
Weighted harmonic mean

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{1}{w_1 / x_1 + w_2 / x_2 + \dots + w_n / x_n}$$

where wi's are weights that add up to 1

 A harmonic mean or weighted harmonic mean should be used whenever an arithmetic mean can be justified for 1/x<sub>i</sub> (or w<sub>i</sub>/x<sub>i</sub>)

13

## <u>Selecting between arithmetic,</u> geometric and harmonic means

- Controversy (in late 1980s) over which mean to use to characterize the results of benchmarks consisting of a suite of programs
  - > See link to article on class home page
- Basic idea: should be guided by physical interpretation of number produced by benchmark
  - Can be confusing if benchmark reports a ratio of two numbers, e.g. floating pt operations and execution time

14



- If number produced by individual programs in the benchmark is proportional to execution time, then arithmetic mean makes sense to characterize the benchmark suite
- If the inverse of the number produced by individual benchmarks has a physical interpretation, then harmonic mean is appropriate for characterizing the performance of the benchmark suite
  - E.g. if benchmark reports MFLOPs rating of a program, i.e. number of floating pt ops divided by execution time























































## <section-header><text><text>



Data for Qu	antile	-Quar	ntile F	Plot	
	anne	qua			
	qi	yi	Xİ		
	0.100	0.22	0.21		
	0.200	0.49	0.45		
	0.300	0.74	0.71		
	0.400	1.03	1.02		
	0.500	1.41	1.39		
	0.600	1.84	1.83		
	0.700	2.49	2.41		
	0.800	3.26	3.22		
	0.900	4.31	4.61		
	0.930	4.98	5.32		
	0.950	5.49	5.99		
	0.970	6.53	7.01		
	0.980	7.84	7.82		
	0.985	8.12	8.40		
	0.990	8.82	9.21		
	1.000	17.91	18.42		
	L				











