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## Types of Data

$\square$ Qualitative (also called categorical)

- Data has states, categories, or levels that are mutually exclusive and exhaustive
- E.g. computers can be classified as laptops, handheld (PDAs), desktops, servers
> Categories can be ordered or unordered
- Quantitative (also called numerical)
- Discrete variables
> Continuous variables


## Major Properties of Numerical Data

- Central Tendency: arithmetic mean, geometric mean, harmonic mean, median, mode.
$\square$ Variability: range, inter-quartile range, variance, standard deviation, coefficient of variation, mean absolute deviation
$\square$ Distribution: type of distribution


## Measures of Central Tendency

- Arithmetic Mean

$$
\bar{X}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{n}
$$

$\square$ Based on all observations $\square$ greatly affected by extreme values.

## Effect of Outliers on Average



## Median

Middle Value in an Ordered Set of Data.
If there are no ties, $50 \%$ of the values are smaller than the median and $50 \%$ are larger.

|  | 1.1 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 1.8 | 1.4 |
| 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 1.9 | 2.3 |
| 2.3 | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | 2.8 |
| 2.8 | 2.1 |
| 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 3.4 | 3.4 |
| 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Median | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ |

## Median

$\square$ The median is unaffected by extreme values.
$\square$ Obtaining the median:
> Odd-sized samples: $X_{(n+1) / 2}$

- Even-sized samples: $\frac{X_{n / 2}+X_{(n / 2)+1}}{2}$


## Mode

Most frequently occurring value.
$\square$ Mode may not exist.
$\square$ Single mode distributions: unimodal.

- Distributions with two modes: bimodal.

unimodal

bimodal

Selecting between the mean, mode, and median

- Categorical data
> Use mode
$\square$ Numerical data
> If the total of all observations is meaningful, use mean
- E.g. total execution time for five different queries
> If total not of interest, select median if distribution is skewed, o/w select mean


## Geometric Mean

- Geometric Mean:

$$
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

- Used when the product of the observations is of interest.
- Important when multiplicative effects are at play:
> Cache hit ratios at several levels of cache
> Percentage performance improvements between successive versions.
> Performance improvements across protocol layers.


## Example of Geometric Mean

|  | Performance Improvement |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Number | Operating <br> System | Middleware | Application | Avg. <br> Performance Improvement per Layer |
| 1 | 1.18 | 1.23 | 1.10 | 1.17 |
| 2 | 1.25 | 1.19 | 1.25 | 1.23 |
| 3 | 1.20 | 1.12 | 1.20 | 1.17 |
| 4 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 1.12 | 1.17 |
| 5 | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.15 | 1.23 |
| 6 | 1.24 | 1.17 | 1.21 | 1.21 |
| 7 | 1.22 | 1.18 | 1.14 | 1.18 |
| 8 | 1.29 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 1.20 |
| 9 | 1.30 | 1.21 | 1.15 | 1.22 |
| 10 | 1.22 | 1.15 | 1.18 | 1.18 |
| Averag | Pe Performance | Improvemen | t per Layer | 1.20 |

## Harmonic Mean

- The harmonic mean of a sample $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is defined as

$$
\ddot{x}=\frac{n}{1 / x_{1}+1 / x_{2}+\cdots+1 / x_{n}}
$$

- Weighted harmonic mean

$$
\ddot{x}=\frac{1}{w_{1} / x_{1}+w_{2} / x_{2}+\cdots+w_{n} / x_{n}}
$$

where $w_{i}^{\prime}$ s are weights that add up to 1

- A harmonic mean or weighted harmonic mean should be used whenever an arithmetic mean can be justified for $1 / x_{i}\left(\right.$ or $\left.w_{i} / x_{i}\right)$


## Selecting between arithmetic,

 geometric and harmonic means- Controversy (in late 1980s) over which mean to use to characterize the results of benchmarks consisting of a suite of programs
> See link to article on class home page
- Basic idea: should be guided by physical interpretation of number produced by benchmark
> Can be confusing if benchmark reports a ratio of two numbers, e.g. floating pt operations and execution time


## Selecting between means (cont'd)

- If number produced by individual programs in the benchmark is proportional to execution time, then arithmetic mean makes sense to characterize the benchmark suite
$\square$ If the inverse of the number produced by individual benchmarks has a physical interpretation, then harmonic mean is appropriate for characterizing the performance of the benchmark suite
- E.g. if benchmark reports MFLOPs rating of a program, i.e. number of floating pt ops divided by execution time


## Summarizing variability

$\square$ Indices of dispersion
> Range
> Variance or standard deviation
> 10- and 90-percentiles
> Semi-interquartile range
> Mean absolute deviation

## Range, Variance, and Standard Deviation

$\square$ Range: $X_{\text {max }}-X_{\text {min }}$
$\square$ Variance:

$$
s^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{n-1} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { In Excel: } \\
& \mathrm{s}^{2}=\operatorname{VAR}(<\operatorname{array}>)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Standard Deviation:

In Excel:

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}
$$

s=STDEV (<array>)

## Meanings of the Variance and Standard Deviation

$\square$ The larger the spread of the data around the mean, the larger the variance and standard deviation.

- If all observations are the same, the variance and standard deviation are zero.
- The variance and standard deviation cannot be negative.
$\square$ Variance is measured in the square of the units of the data.
- Standard deviation is measured in the same units as the data.


## Coefficient of Variation

$\square$ Coefficient of variation (COV) : s/ $\bar{X}$
> no units

| S | 29.50 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Average | 9.51 |
| COV | 3.10 |

COV not very meaningful if the random variable has a negative or zero mean

## Quantiles (quartiles, percentiles) and midhinge

- Quartiles: split the data into quarters.
- First quartile (Q1): value of Xi such that $25 \%$ of the observations are smaller than Xi .
> Second quartile (Q2): value of Xi such that 50\% of the observations are smaller than Xi .
> Third quartile (Q3): value of Xi such that $75 \%$ of the observations are smaller than Xi .
- Percentiles: split the data into hundredths.
$\square$ Midhinge:

$$
\text { Midhinge }=\frac{Q_{3}+Q_{1}}{2}
$$

## Example of Quartiles

| 1.05 |
| ---: |
| 1.06 |
| 1.09 |
| 1.19 |
| 1.21 |
| 1.28 |
| 1.34 |
| 1.34 |
| 1.77 |
| 1.80 |
| 1.83 |
| 2.15 |
| 2.21 |
| 2.27 |
| 2.61 |
| 2.67 |
| 2.77 |
| 2.83 |
| 3.51 |
| 3.77 |
| 5.76 |
| 5.78 |
| 32.07 |
| 144.91 |


| Q1 | 1.32 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Q2 | 2.18 |
| Q3 | 3.00 |
| Midhinge | 2.16 |

In Excel:
Q1=PERCENTILE(<array>,0.25)
Q2=PERCENTILE(<array>,0.5)
Q3=PERCENTILE(<array>,0.75)

## Example of Percentile

| 1.05 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.06 |
| 1.09 |
| 1.19 |
| 1.21 |
| 1.28 |
| 1.34 |
| 1.34 |
| 1.77 |
| 1.80 |
| 1.83 |
| 2.15 |
| 2.21 |
| 2.27 |
| 2.61 |
| 2.67 |
| 2.77 |
| 2.83 |
| 3.51 |
| 3.77 |
| 5.76 |
| 5.78 |
| 32.07 |
| 144.91 |


\section*{| $80-$ percentile | 3.613002 |
| :--- | :--- |}

In Excel:
p-th percentile=PERCENTILE (<array>,p)
$(0 \leq \mathrm{p} \leq 1)$

## Interquartile Range

Interquartile Range: $\quad Q_{3}-Q_{1}$
> not affected by extreme values.
$\square$ Semi-Interquartile Range (SIQR)
$S I Q R=\left(Q_{3}-Q_{1}\right) / 2$
$\square$ If the distribution is highly skewed, SIQR is preferred to the standard deviation for the same reason that median is preferred to mean

## Coefficient of Skewness

$\square$ Coefficient of skewness: $\frac{1}{n s^{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{3}$

| $(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Xi})^{\wedge} 3$ |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.05 | -606.1 |
| 1.06 | -602.9 |
| 1.09 | -596.1 |
| 1.19 | -575.2 |
| 1.21 | -571.8 |
| 1.28 | -557.9 |
| 1.34 | -546.4 |
| 1.34 | -544.8 |
| 1.77 | -464.5 |
| 1.80 | -458.1 |
| 1.83 | -453.1 |
| 2.15 | -398.9 |
| 2.21 | -388.8 |
| 2.27 | -379.0 |
| 2.61 | -328.5 |
| 2.67 | -320.5 |
| 2.77 | -306.6 |
| 2.83 | -298.7 |
| 3.51 | -215.9 |
| 3.77 | -189.6 |
| 5.76 | -52.9 |
| 5.78 | -52.1 |
| 32.07 | 11476.6 |
| 144.91 | 2482007.1 |

## Mean Absolute Deviation

 - Mean absolute deviation: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|X_{i}-\bar{X}\right|$|  | abs(Xi-Xbar) |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.05 | 8.46 |
| 1.06 | 8.45 |
| 1.09 | 8.42 |
| 1.19 | 8.32 |
| 1.21 | 8.30 |
| 1.28 | 8.23 |
| 1.34 | 8.18 |
| 1.34 | 8.17 |
| 1.77 | 7.74 |
| 1.80 | 7.71 |
| 1.83 | 7.68 |
| 2.15 | 7.36 |
| 2.21 | 7.30 |
| 2.27 | 7.24 |
| 2.61 | 6.90 |
| 2.67 | 6.84 |
| 2.77 | 6.74 |
| 2.83 | 6.68 |
| 3.51 | 6.00 |
| 3.77 | 5.74 |
| 5.76 | 3.75 |
| 5.78 | 3.73 |
| 32.07 | 22.56 |
| 144.91 | 135.39 |


| Average | 9.51 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mean absolute deviation | 13.16 |

## Shapes of Distributions



Right-skewed distribution


Symmetric distribution


Left-skewed distribution

## Selecting the index of dispersion

- Numerical data
> If the distribution is bounded, use the range
> For unbounded distributions that are unimodal and symmetric, use C.O.V.
> O/w use percentiles or SIQR


## Box-and-Whisker Plot

$\square$ Graphical representation of data through a five-number summary.

| I/O Time <br> (msec) |
| ---: |
| 8.04 |
| 9.96 |
| 5.68 |
| 6.95 |
| 8.81 |
| 10.84 |
| 4.26 |
| 4.82 |
| 8.33 |
| 7.58 |
| 7.24 |
| 7.46 |
| 8.84 |
| 5.73 |
| 6.77 |
| 7.11 |
| 8.15 |
| 5.39 |
| 6.42 |
| 7.81 |
| 12.74 |
| 6.08 |


| Five-number Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimum | 4.26 |
| First Quartile | 6.08 |
| Median | 7.35 |
| Third Quartile | 8.33 |
| Maximum | 12.74 |



## Determining the Distributions of a Data Set

- A measured data set can be summarized by stating its average and variability
- If we can say something about the distribution of the data, that would provide all the information about the data
> Distribution information is required if the summarized mean and variability have to be used in simulations or analytical models
a To determine the distribution of a data set, we compare the data set to a theoretical distribution
> Heuristic techniques Graphical/Visual): Histograms, Q-Q plots
> Statistical goodness-of-fit tests: Chi-square test, Kolmogrov-Smirnov test


## Comparing Data Sets

- Problem: given two data sets D1 and D2 determine if the data points come from the same distribution.
- Simple approach: draw a histogram for each data set and visually compare them.
- To study relationships between two variables use a scatter plot.
- To compare two distributions use a quantilequantile (Q-Q) plot.


## Histogram

- Divide the range (max value - min value) into equalsized cells or bins.
- Count the number of data points that fall in each cell.
$\square$ Plot on the $y$-axis the relative frequency, i.e., number of point in each cell divided by the total number of points and the cells on the $x$-axis.
- Cell size is critical!
> Sturge's rule of thumb
Given $n$ data points, number of bins $k=\left\lfloor 1+\log _{2} n\right\rfloor$


## Histogram

| Data |
| :---: |
| -3.0 |
| 0.8 |
| 1.2 |
| 1.5 |
| 2.0 |
| 2.3 |
| 2.4 |
| 3.3 |
| 3.5 |
| 4.0 |
| 4.5 |
| 5.5 |


| Bin | Frequency | Relative <br> Frequency |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $<=0$ | 1 | $8.3 \%$ |
| $0<x<=1$ | 1 | $8.3 \%$ |
| $1<x<=2$ | 3 | $25.0 \%$ |
| $2<x<=3$ | 2 | $16.7 \%$ |
| $3<x<=4$ | 3 | $25.0 \%$ |
| $4<x<=5$ | 1 | $8.3 \%$ |
| $>5$ | 1 | $8.3 \%$ |

In Excel:
Tools -> Data Analysis -> Histogram



## Scatter Plot

$\square$ Plot a data set against each other to visualize potential relationships between the data sets.

- Example: CPU time vs. I/O Time
- In Excel: XY (Scatter) Chart Type.



## Plots Based on Quantiles

- Consider an ordered data set with $n$ values $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.
- If $p=(i-0.5) / n$ for $i \leq n$, then the $p$ quantile $Q(p)$ of the data set is defined as

$$
Q(p)=Q([i-0.5] / n)=x_{i}
$$

$\square Q(p)$ for other values of $p$ is computed by linear interpolation.
$\square$ A quantile plot is a plot of $Q(p)$ vs. $p$.


## Quantile-Quantile (Q-Q plots)

$\square$ Used to compare distributions.
$\square$ "Equal shape" is equivalent to "linearly related quantile functions."
$\square A$ Q-Q plot is a plot of the type $\left(Q_{1}(p), Q_{2}(p)\right)$ where $Q_{1}(p)$ is the quantile function of data set 1 and $Q_{2}(p)$ is the quantile function of data set 2 . The values of $p$ are $(i-0.5) / n$ where $n$ is the size of the smaller data set.

## Q-Q Plot Example

| $i$ | $\mathrm{p}=(\mathrm{i}-0.5) / \mathrm{n}$ | Data 1 | Data 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.033 | 0.2861 | 0.5640 |
| 2 | 0.100 | 0.3056 | 0.8657 |
| 3 | 0.167 | 0.5315 | 0.9120 |
| 4 | 0.233 | 0.5465 | 1.0539 |
| 5 | 0.300 | 0.5584 | 1.1729 |
| 6 | 0.367 | 0.7613 | 1.2753 |
| 7 | 0.433 | 0.8251 | 1.3033 |
| 8 | 0.500 | 0.9014 | 1.3102 |
| 9 | 0.567 | 0.9740 | 1.6678 |
| 10 | 0.633 | 1.0436 | 1.7126 |
| 11 | 0.700 | 1.1250 | 1.9289 |
| 12 | 0.767 | 1.1437 | 1.9495 |
| 13 | 0.833 | 1.4778 | 2.1845 |
| 14 | 0.900 | 1.8377 | 2.3623 |
| 15 | 0.967 | 2.1074 | 2.6104 |



A Q-Q plot that is reasonably linear indicates that the two data sets have distributions with similar shapes.

## Theoretical Q-Q Plot

- Compare one empirical data set with a theoretical distribution.
$\square$ Plot $\left(x_{i}, \mathrm{Q}_{2}([i-0.5] / n)\right)$ where $x_{i}$ is the [ $i-0.5] / n$ quantile of a theoretical distribution ( $\mathrm{F}^{-1}([i-0.5] / n)$ ) and $\mathrm{Q}_{2}([i-$ $0.5] / n$ ) is the $i$-th ordered data point.
$\square$ If the $Q-Q$ plot is reasonably linear the data set is distributed as the theoretical distribution.


## Examples of CDFs and Their Inverse

Functions
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Exponential } & F(x)=1-e^{-x / a} & -a \operatorname{Ln}(1-u) \\ \text { Pareto } & F(x)=1-x^{-a} & \frac{1}{(1-u)^{1 / a}} \\ \text { Geometric } & F(x)=1-(1-p)^{x} & \left\lceil\frac{\operatorname{Ln}(u)}{\operatorname{Ln}(1-p)}\right.\end{array}$

## Example of a Quantile-Quantile

 Plot- One thousand values are suspected of coming from an exponential distribution (see histogram in the next slide). The quantile-quantile plot is pretty much linear, which confirms the conjecture.



## Data for Quantile-Quantile Plot

| $\boldsymbol{q} \mathbf{q}$ | $\mathbf{y i}$ | $\mathbf{x i}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.100 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| 0.200 | 0.49 | 0.45 |
| 0.300 | 0.74 | 0.71 |
| 0.400 | 1.03 | 1.02 |
| 0.500 | 1.41 | 1.39 |
| 0.600 | 1.84 | 1.83 |
| 0.700 | 2.49 | 2.41 |
| 0.800 | 3.26 | 3.22 |
| 0.900 | 4.31 | 4.61 |
| 0.930 | 4.98 | 5.32 |
| 0.950 | 5.49 | 5.99 |
| 0.970 | 6.53 | 7.01 |
| 0.980 | 7.84 | 7.82 |
| 0.985 | 8.12 | 8.40 |
| 0.990 | 8.82 | 9.21 |
| 1.000 | 17.91 | 18.42 |



## What if the Inverse of the CDF Cannot be Found?

$\square$ Use approximations or use statistical tables

- Quantile tables have been computed and published for many important distributions
$\square$ For example, approximation for $N(0,1)$ :

$$
x_{i}=4.91\left[q_{i}^{0.14}-\left(1-q_{i}\right)^{0.14}\right]
$$

$\square$ For $N(\mu, \sigma)$ the $x_{i}$ values are scaled as $\mu+\sigma x_{i}$ before plotting.




