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The nature of work is changing dramatically through trends such as the commodification of expertise and democratization of participation. For example, professional stock photographers used to be able to charge hundreds of dollars for a picture. Today, sites such as www.istockphoto.com offer professional-quality images for as low as one dollar. Any amateur photographer can offer images through this platform. Another example is Foldit, a game in which thousands of players work to solve puzzles; the aggregated output helps solve protein-folding problems. Using results from Foldit, researchers solved in just 10 days a complex problem that had stumped them for 15 years.

These are just two instances of work being crowdsourced, disrupting existing business models and work practices. Tapping into the “wisdom of crowds” has become common, offering numerous opportunities to benefit software engineering practice. Today, companies can use a range of crowdsourcing platforms to have software developed or tested. A recent survey by Ke Mao and his colleagues reported the many ways in which developers can use crowds throughout the development life cycle.
maintains an online index of publications on crowdsourcing in software engineering at crowddev.kemao.uk/cse_repository.)

Because crowdsourcing approaches could have a far-reaching impact on future software development, this theme issue explores various ways developers and managers can benefit from these new opportunities.

What Is Crowdsourcing?
Crowdsourcing isn’t new (see the sidebar “Crowdsourcing through the Ages”), but the term “crowdsourcing” was only coined in 2005 by Jeff Howe and Mark Robinson. Howe defined it as

the act of a company or institution taking a function once performed by employees and outsourcing it to an undefined (and generally large) network of people in the form of an open call.7

Following this definition, you could think of crowdsourcing as outsourcing to an alternative workforce.8,9 Basically, crowdsourcing leverages the intelligence and contributions of a large group of people to achieve a specific goal, whether it’s software development, software testing, or simply sharing knowledge. Crowdsourcing typically involves three parties: a customer who posts a task or a question, a crowd of people who respond by performing the task or answering the question, and a platform that facilitates these interactions. Contributions are often explicitly requested through an open call, mechanisms such as issue trackers in open source projects, or requests for content or editing on Wikipedia. In other contexts, the open call might be implicit because the contributors themselves determine what to contribute.

Crowdsourcing is part of a wider phenomenon in software engineering, characterized by the increasing use of social networks. Developers employ a variety of social networks, including microblogging platforms such as Twitter,10 code-sharing repositories that facilitate social networks such as GitHub,11 and question-and-answer (Q&A) platforms such as Stack Overflow.12

Ways to Use Crowdsourcing
Crowdsourcing can be used in various ways. Amazon Mechanical Turk facilitates crowdsourcing through microtasks—for example, labeling an image. Unsurprisingly, such simple, quick tasks typically compensate contributors only a few cents or perhaps dollars. On the other end of the spectrum are challenges such as the Netflix Prize contest.13 That contest offered $1M to anyone who could improve Netflix’s movie recommendation system by 10 percent; it attracted more than 5,000 submissions.

To better understand how crowdsourcing can be used, David Geiger developed a 2D taxonomy (see Figure 1).14 The first dimension is whether the crowd’s output is emergent or nonemergent. Emergent
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CROWDSOURCING THROUGH THE AGES

Even though the term “crowdsourcing” was coined only about a decade ago, numerous examples exist of crowdsourcing throughout history. Here are three.

THE LONGITUDE ACT
In 1714, the British Parliament passed the Longitude Act, which offered a series of rewards to anyone who invented a practical method to determine longitude at sea.1

NAPOLEON’S CANS
When Napoleon was expanding his empire in Europe, he employed large armies of soldiers, who needed to be fed. As the armies moved away from the proximity of French farms, he needed a way to preserve food. The French government offered 12,000 francs to anyone who invented a practical method to store food without it going to waste—a prize that was awarded in 1810 for canned food.

SYDNEY’S OPERA HOUSE
In 1955, the Prime Minister of New South Wales, Australia offered £5,000 for the winning design of a building for Sydney’s harbor. The winner was one of 233 submissions. Sydney’s Opera House is one of many examples of crowdsourced architectural designs.

Reference
value results from the combination of contributions; nonemergent value is derived from the individual contributions themselves. The second dimension is whether contributions are homogeneous or heterogeneous.

The two dimensions lead to four types of crowdsourcing tasks: rating, processing, creation, and problem solving.

- **Rating**: Contributions are valued equally and are aggregated from the individual contributions. Examples include user ratings of mobile apps in Google Play.
- **Processing**: Contributions represent actions the crowd can perform. Examples include bug reporting on Stack Overflow.
- **Creation**: Contributions are qualitative and judged differently, leading to a single outcome. Examples include open source software development.
- **Problem solving**: Contributions are heterogeneous, each judged independently, leading to multiple solutions. Examples include the Net/fix Prize contest.

Some crowdsourcing platforms feature all four types. One example is Topcoder, the largest crowdsourcing platform for software development, with over one million members. Creation happens when a customer crowdsources a complete solution through a large number of crowdsourcing competitions. Rating happens when participants evaluate the submissions. An example of processing is Topcoder’s bug hunt competitions, which pay participants for each new bug they find. Finally, Topcoder’s design challenges represent problem solving.

These differences in crowdsourcing approaches affect the size of the crowd that’s involved. Rating tasks involve many individuals; the result is an aggregation of the individual contributions (for example, a mobile app’s average score). Creation tasks’ outputs are also meant to be combined into a single outcome. Problem-solving tasks might involve many individuals or teams, but typically only one solution is selected. Consequently, only the winning team receives a reward, as was the case with the Net/fix Prize. Thomas LaToza and his colleagues suggested a hybrid approach, proposing recombinations of intermediate results in software design tasks so that crowd members can “borrow from the crowd.”

Other dimensions are important in shaping crowdsourcing platforms. Such dimensions include the locus of control in soliciting contributions, the nature of incentives offered to contributors, and the amount of context required for someone to contribute.
be considered an alternative to outsourcing, similar to open-sourcing and inner-sourcing.6,16 Companies simply might not have sufficient internal resources or expertise to get a certain job done and thus might seek help from the crowd. Or, companies might want early releases of their software evaluated on a range of heterogeneous systems.17

A second reason for crowdsourcing is to reduce the time to market by splitting a large task into smaller tasks that an equal number of workers perform in parallel. A third reason is to generate a range of solutions—effectively drawing on ideas from a range of people. A fourth reason is to employ specific experts to find the best solution to a given problem.

**In This Issue**

We received 18 submissions for this theme issue. On the basis of a thorough review process, we selected six articles that demonstrate how software development can benefit from crowdsourcing as either a source of knowledge needed to develop new software or a source for ideas and feedback on existing software. Interestingly, each chosen article deals with a different development phase (see Table 1). Furthermore, the authors are from research groups across the globe, including North America, South America, Europe, and the Middle East.

In “Barriers Faced by Newcomers to Software-Crowdsourcing Projects,” Alexandre Zanatta and his colleagues examine how new developers join software crowdsourcing projects and, as the title suggests, the variety of barriers they face. By discovering what the barriers are in a project, its owners and other involved developers can take appropriate action to remove those barriers, which in turn can help to involve more people. Ultimately, crowdsourcing aims to involve a large number of developers—that’s what makes it crowdsourcing. If sufficient people are involved, success will be more likely.

In “The Crowd in Requirements Engineering: The Landscape and Challenges,” Eduard Groen and his colleagues discuss how to engage the crowd in requirements elicitation. Requirements elicitation is a key activity in software development—after all, getting system requirements right early during a project can prevent much rework. Groen and his colleagues distinguish between pull feedback, which is initiated by a software supplier, and push feedback, which is initiated by a crowd of customers. Engaging users—the crowd—to elicit feedback that leads to new system requirements emphasizes the need for the continuous evolution of systems. However, this approach has its challenges, as the authors discuss.

In “What Do Developers Use the Crowd For? A Study Using Stack
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**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Related research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onboarding</td>
<td>How can developers overcome the difficulties of joining new projects?</td>
<td>Alexandre Zanatta and his colleagues discuss the barriers to joining new projects and define strategies to overcome them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements elicitation</td>
<td>How can developers identify and validate software requirements, and how can they effectively involve users in this process?</td>
<td>Eduard Groen and his colleagues present a vision of requirements elicitation with the crowd. They discuss factors such as the crowd’s motivation to participate, feedback elicitation, and feedback analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>How can developers leverage the wisdom of the crowd to learn about technologies needed for software development?</td>
<td>Rabe Abdalkareem, Emad Shihab, and Juergen Rilling study why and how developers use the Stack Overflow question-and-answer platform.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>What’s an effective way to test software that should run on a variety of hardware platforms?</td>
<td>Niklas Leicht, Ivo Blohm, and Jan Marco Leimeister present three approaches to leveraging different types of crowds in software testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>How can developers quickly develop an understanding of a code base during software maintenance?</td>
<td>Sahar Badihi and Abbas Heydarnoori present CrowdSummarizer, an approach that leverages crowdsourced input to help developers understand code bases during software maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolution</td>
<td>How can developers incorporate feedback from a large number of users to determine the future evolution of software?</td>
<td>María Gómez and her colleagues present the architecture for App Store 2.0, a mobile-software ecosystem that incorporates crowdsourced feedback to support mobile-app evolution.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Many forms of crowdsourcing have the potential to further disrupt software development practice.

Some examples of crowdsourcing such as Stack Overflow, bug bounties, and open source development are already firmly established. But we believe that many additional forms of crowdsourcing have the potential to further disrupt software development practice. Such emerging topics might seem irrelevant to the daily practice of software engineering, in which project deadlines are common and developers have little time to experiment with new approaches. One goal of this theme issue is to help overcome that mind-set by showcasing a variety of visions and practical use cases of crowdsourcing.

The practice of software engineering is continually changing. New best practices emerge at companies that are willing to experiment, and researchers are proposing new techniques and models that could help software companies achieve their goals. The future of software engineering will involve a variety of collaborations beyond traditional organizational boundaries, including crowd communities. The articles in this theme issue offer a taste of what this future might look like. We hope they inspire software professionals to consider how tomorrow’s software systems can benefit from crowdsourcing.

For a list of useful crowdsourcing resources, see the related sidebar.
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CROWDSOURCING RESOURCES

A variety of resources about crowdsourcing are available; here’s a small selection.

ARTICLES AND BOOKS
Much has been written on crowdsourcing. The following publications are excellent introductions to the topic:

- J. Howe, “The Rise of Crowdsourcing,” Wired, 1 June 2006; www.wired.com/2006/06/crowds. This seminal article discusses several examples of crowdsourcing.
- D.C. Brabham, Crowdsourcing, MIT Press, 2013. This is one of the first academic books on the topic.
- W. Li et al., eds., Crowdsourcing: Cloud-Based Software Development, Springer, 2015. This is a collection of chapters by a variety of researchers.
- T.W. Malone and M.S. Bernstein, eds., Handbook of Collective Intelligence, MIT Press, 2015. This is a thorough academic treatment of the many crowdsourcing-related models.

EVENTS
A wide range of scientific events publish research on crowdsourcing in a computing context; here are just a few.

Dedicated Conferences and Workshops
- International Symposium on Software Crowdsourcing (ISSC). ISSC has had two editions (2015 and 2016).

General Conferences
- International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE). ICSE is the primary conference on software engineering research and covers a range of software development topics. The 39th edition of ICSE will occur in May 2017. See www.icse-conferences.org.
- International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS). ICIS is the main conference on information systems research, which is concerned with the development of information systems and their impact on users and society. The 38th edition of ICIS will be in December 2017. See aisnet.org/?ICISPage.
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