

User-Centered Design

SWE 632

Fall 2023



Administrivia

- HW1 due next Tuesday before class
- Anyone still looking for a Tech Talk group?

What We Learned & Looking Ahead

- Examined human cognition
- Have 2 ways to identify usability issues (Heuristics & Principles)
- But... is HCI just identifying usability issues?
- What does design mean?
- How do we learn about user needs?
- How do we build designs?
- How do we evaluate designs?

Overview of User-Centered Design

In Class Discussion

- *Today's question:*
 - What does user-centered design mean to you?

User-centered design



User-centered design

Who are the users?

What are the user's needs?

What are the user's tasks and goals?



How does the product fit into the broader context of their lives?

What problems may users encounter w/ current ways of doing things?

What extreme cases may exist?

Technology-Centered Design

What can this
technology
do?




How might
users use it?

What features
does it have?

Double Diamond Model of Design

- Question problem, expand scope, discover fundamental issues
- Converge on problem
- Expand possible solutions
- Converge on solution



Iterative Model of Design

Observation

(Re)Define the Problem
Understand User Needs

Test

Evaluate what
you have built



Idea Generation

Brainstorm
what to build

Prototype

Build

Iteration, Iteration, Iteration

- Repeated study and testing
- Use tests to determine what is working or not working
- Determine what the problem might be, redefining the problem
- Collect more data
- Generate new alternatives

Observation

Needfinding (a.k.a. design research)

- Goal: understand user's needs
- Use of methods to gather qualitative data
 - behaviors, attitudes, aptitudes of potential and existing users
 - technical, business, and environmental contexts - domain
 - vocabulary and social aspects of domain
 - how existing products used
- Empowers team w/ credibility and authority, helping inform decisions

Needfinding vs. market research

Needfinding

- What users really need
- How they will really use product
- Qualitative methods to study in depth
- Small numbers of participants

Market research

- Who might purchase item
- What factors influence purchasing
- Quantitative studies w/ focus groups, surveys
- Large numbers of participants

Example

- Cooper conducted a user study for entry-level video editing product
- Company built professional software, looking to move into consumer software
 - Help connect those w/ computers and video cameras
- Found strongest desire for video editing was parents
- Found 1/12 had successfully connected camera, using work IT guy

Solving the correct problem

- Practices may sometimes mask deeper problems
- Goal: uncover layers of practices to understand how problems emerge

Interviews

- May include both current users and potential users w/ related needs
- Questions
 - context of how product fits into lives or work
 - when, why, how is or will product be used
 - what do users need to know to do jobs?
 - current tasks and activities, including those not currently supported
 - goals and motivations of using product
 - problems and frustrations with current products or systems

Observations

- Most incapable of accurately assessing own behaviors
- May avoid talking about problems to avoid feeling dumb
- Observing yields more accurate data
- Capture behaviors: notes, pictures, video (if possible)

Contextual inquiry

- Method that includes both interviews and observations
- Next week's lecture

Idea Generation

Creativity

- What's the most creative software app you've seen?
- What made it creative?

Ideation

- Process of generating, developing, communicating new **ideas**
- Guidelines and best practices
 - Generate *numerous* ideas
 - Number ideas
 - Avoid premature dismissal of ideas
 - Sharpen the **focus** - pose the right problem
 - Build and jump - build to keep momentum on ideas, jump when theme tapers out

Prototyping

Prototyping - Building Quickly

- Build quick prototype or mock-up of each potential solution
- “Wizard of Oz” Studies
- Mainly performed to ensure the problem is well understood

Testing


Testing - User Centered Evaluation

- Test with population similar to target population
- Have them use prototypes as close as possible to intended
- If possible, have two people use a prototype, one guiding the other's use.
- More on this in a future lecture...


User-Centered Design Considerations

Fail Fast

- “*Fail frequently, fail fast*” David Kelley, founder of Ideo
- Failure is learning experience
- Crucial to understand correct problem to solve & ensure solution is appropriate
- Abstract requirements are invariably wrong
- Requirements produced by asking people what they want are wrong



Flexibility-usability tradeoff




Flexibility-Usability Tradeoff

- Jack of all trades, master of none
- Better understanding needs enables specialization and *optimization* for common cases
- System evolution over time:
 - flexibility —> specialization

Examples of flexibility / usability tradeoff?

Navigating Design Space



- What are key decisions in interaction design?
- What alternatives are possible?
- What are tradeoffs between these alternatives?

Hierarchy of Design Decisions

- What are you (*re*)designing?
 - The width of the text input
 - The maximum length of a valid username
 - When in the signup process users enter their username
 - If the user must create a username when signing up
 - Whether users are anonymous or have a login
 - If users can interact with other users in your application

Picking the Right Level of Redesign

- Where are the user's pain points
- What are the underlying causes
- What would be the value to the user of addressing issue
- What do you have time to build (or change)

Example - iPod

- Supports entire activity of listening to music
 - discovering music
 - purchasing music
 - getting it into music player
 - developing playlists
 - sharing playlists
 - listening to music
 - ecosystem of external speakers and accessories



Example of a Design Process

- How do you get from let's make listening to music better to designing an iPod??
- Iterative design...
 - But what does that actually look like more concretely?
 - What insights into activity help inspire design?
 - How does watching users help lead to these insights?
 - How do insights translate into an actual real design?
 - How do know the new design is actually better?

In-Class Activity

- Redesign PatriotWeb
- Consider: at what level are you redesigning it? What's the problem (at this level)? How are you making it better?

Example

Domain: Debugging

- *Design goal:* how do we better support activity of debugging in large, complex codebases?
- Build a better debugging tool (?)
 - What should it do? How would it help?
 - Design a better watch window? Support new types of breakpoints?
 - What's really the key steps in debugging that lead users to struggle the most?

Domain: Debugging

Developers Ask Reachability Questions

Thomas D. LaToza
Institute for Software Research
School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University
tlatoya@cs.cmu.edu

ABSTRACT

A reachability question is a search across feasible paths through a program for target statements matching search criteria. In three separate studies, we found that reachability questions are common and often time consuming to answer. In the first study, we observed 13 developers in the lab and found that half of the bugs developers inserted were associated with reachability questions. In the second study, 460 professional software developers reported asking questions that may be answered using reachability questions more than 9 times a day, and 82% rated one or more as at least somewhat hard to answer. In the third study, we observed 17 developers in the field and found that 9 of the 10 longest activities were associated with reachability questions. These findings suggest that answering reachability questions is an important area of difficulty understanding large, complex programs.

Categories and Subject


D.2.6 [Software Engineering] Software Testing and Debugging

Brad A. Myers
Human Computer Interaction
School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University
bram@cs.cmu.edu

which in turn caused half of the reported bugs. To better understand how developers coordinate dependencies among effort modules can be very challenging [5].

Visualizing Call Graphs


Thomas D. LaToza
School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA USA
{tlatoya, bam}@cs.cmu.edu



1. REACHER's call graph visualization supports reasoning about control flow. For example, this visualization illustrates that `-delete(..)` on the far left may call `JEditBuffer.removeAllSitesWithinALoop` which then calls `JEditBuffer.removeAllSitesWithinALoop` along a control flow path as they ask whether certain conditions are met. Dijkstra's algorithm has been used to find the shortest path from a source node to a target node.

Observing Developers

Participants



17 professional developers

Tasks

~90 minutes

picked one of *their* own coding tasks involving unfamiliar code

Interesting. This looks like, this looks like the code is approximately the same but it's refactored. But the other code is.

Changed what flags it's ???

He added a new flag that I don't care about. He just renamed a couple things.

Well.

So the change seemed to have changed some of the way these things are registered,

but I didn't see anything that talked at all about whether the app is running or whether the app is booted. So it seems like, this was useless to me.

Transcripts


(annotated with observer notes about goals and actions)

(386 pages)

Activities

OBSERVATION	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42												
1				C	C	C	C	C	R	R	R	R	I	I	U	U	U	U	R	R	R	R	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I									
2							E	E	E	E	B	E	T	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E							
4																			R	R	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D					
5															H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H				
6							D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D			
7										R	R	R	R	R	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D			
9																																																							
10		H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H				
11																																																							
12																																																							
13																																																							
14																																																							
15																																																							
16																																																							
18																																																							
19																																																							
20																																																							

Coding Activities



Circle size: % of time

Edge thickness: % of transitions observed

Longest Activities: Control Flow

4 out of the 5 longest investigation activities

Primary question	Time (m)	Related control flow question
How is this data structure being mutated in this code?	83	Search downstream for writes to data structure
“Where [is] the code assuming that the tables are already there?”	53	Compare behaviors when tables are or are not loaded
How [does] application state change when <i>m</i> is called denoting startup completion?	50	Find field writes caused by <i>m</i>
“Is [there] another reason why <i>status</i> could be non-zero?”	11	Find statements through which values flow into status

5 out of the 5 longest debugging activities

Where is method <i>m</i> generating an error?	66	Search downstream from <i>m</i> for error text
What resources are being acquired to cause this deadlock?	51	Search downstream for acquire method calls
“When they have this attribute, they must use it somewhere to generate the content, so where is it?”	35	Search downstream for reads of attribute
“What [is] the test doing which is different from what my app is doing?”	30	Compare test traces to app traces
How are these thread pools interacting?	19	Search downstream for calls into thread pools

Longest Debugging Activities

Where is method *m* generating an error?

Rapidly found method *m* implementing command
Unsure **where** it generated error

Static call traversal

Statically traversed calls looking for something that would generate error

Debugger

Tried debugger

Grep

Did string **search** for error, found it, but many callers

Debugger

Stepped in debugger to find something relevant


Static Call Traversal

Statically **traversed** calls to explore

Debugger


Went back to **stepping** debugger to inspect values
Found the answer

(66 minutes)



Why was this Hard to Answer?

Hard to pick the *control flow path* that leads from starting point to target
Guess and check: which path leads to the target?



Why are Control Flow Questions Common?

Helps answer questions about:

Causality

What does this do? What causes this to happen?

Ordering

Does A happen before B?

Choice

Does x always occur? In which situations does x occur?

When scattered across a codebase, finding statements to answer these questions can be hard.

lab observations

Defect-related false assumptions
& incorrectly answered questions
related to **control flow**

field observations

Primary questions from longest
investigation & debugging
activities related to **control flow**



Reachability Questions
(common characteristics of evidence sought)

lab observations

Defect-related false assumptions
& incorrectly answered questions
related to **control flow**

field observations

Primary questions from longest
investigation & debugging
activities related to **control flow**



Reachability Questions


(common characteristics of evidence sought)

A search along **feasible paths** **downstream** or **upstream** from a statement for **target statements** matching **search criteria**


feasible paths

filter compare

downstream



upstream




search criteria

identifier
statement type (field
write/read, library call)

feasible paths \cap statements matching search criteria

Reachability Question Example

A search along **feasible paths downstream** or upstream from a statement (*m*) for **target statements** matching search criteria (calls to method *e*)



feasible paths



statements matching search criteria

Longest Activities: Control Flow

4 out of the 5 longest investigation activities


Primary question	Time (m)	Related control flow question
How is this data structure being mutated in this code?	83	Search downstream for writes to data structure
“Where [is] the code assuming that the tables are already there?”	53	Compare behaviors when tables are or are not loaded
How [does] application state change when <i>m</i> is called denoting startup completion?	50	Find field writes caused by <i>m</i>
“Is [there] another reason why <i>status</i> could be non-zero?”	11	Find statements through which values flow into status

5 out of the 5 longest debugging activities

Where is method <i>m</i> generating an error?	66	Search downstream from <i>m</i> for error text
What resources are being acquired to cause this deadlock?	51	Search downstream for acquire method calls
“When they have this attribute, they must use it somewhere to generate the content, so where is it?”	35	Search downstream for reads of attribute
“What [is] the test doing which is different from what my app is doing?”	30	Compare test traces to app traces
How are these thread pools interacting?	19	Search downstream for calls into thread pools

Insights

- Developers can construct incorrect mental models of control flow, leading them to insert **defects**
- The longest investigation & debugging activities involved a single primary question about control flow
- Found evidence for an underlying cause of these difficulties
Challenges answering reachability questions



1 downstream from *JEditBuffer.getFoldLevel*
 search for external calls

```

public int getFoldLevel(int line) : 1463 - 1475
{
    if (line < 0 || line >= lineMgr.getLineCount())
        throw new ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException(line);

    if (foldHandler instanceof DummyFoldHandler)
        return 0;

    int firstInvalidFoldLevel = lineMgr.getFirstInvalidFoldLevel();
    if (firstInvalidFoldLevel == -1 || line < firstInvalidFoldLevel) {
        return lineMgr.getFoldLevel(line);
    } else {
        if (Debug.FOLD_DEBUG)
            Log.log(Log.DEBUG, this, "Invalid fold levels from "
                + firstInvalidFoldLevel + " to " + line);
    }
}
  
```


Paper Prototype Study

- Built mockups of interface for task from lab study
- Asked 1 participant to complete lab study task with Eclipse & mockup of *Reacher*
 - Paper overlay of *Reacher* commands on monitor
 - Experimenter opened appropriate view
- Asked to think aloud, screen capture + audio recording

Study results

- Used *Reacher* to explore code, unable to complete task
- Barriers discovered
 - Wanted to see methods before or after, not on path to origin or destination
 - Switching between downstream and upstream confusing, particularly search cursor
 - Found horizontal orientation confusing, as unlike debugger call stacks
 - Wanted to know when a path might execute

Find Statements Matching Search Criteria



Examples of observed reachability questions Reacher supports	Steps to use Reacher
What resources are being acquired to cause this deadlock?	Search downstream for each method which might acquire a resource, pinning results to keep them visible
When they have this attribute, they must use it somewhere to generate the content, so where is it?	Search downstream for a field read of the attribute
How are these thread pools interacting?	Search downstream for the thread pool class
How is data structure <i>struct</i> being mutated in this code (between <i>o</i> and <i>d</i>)?	Search downstream for <i>struct</i> class, scoping search to matching type names and searching for field writes.
How [does] application state change when <i>m</i> is called denoting startup completion?	Search downstream from <i>m</i> for all field writes


Help Developers Understand Paths

Goal: help developers reason about control flow by summarizing statements along paths in **compact** visualization

Challenges:

control flow paths can be

Approach:



complex

long

repetitive

visually encode properties of path


hide paths by default

coalesce similar paths

developers get lost and disoriented navigating code

use visualization to support navigation

Example



Evaluation

Does REACHER enable developers to answer reachability questions faster or more successfully?

Method

12 developers

15 minutes to answer **reachability** question x 6

Eclipse only on 3 tasks

Eclipse w/ REACHER on 3 tasks

Tasks


Based on developer questions in lab study.

Example:

When a new view is created in `jEdit.newView(View)`, what messages, in what order, may be sent on the `EditBus` (`EditBus.send()`)?

Results

Developers with REACHER were **5.6** times more **successful** than those working with Eclipse only.




Task time includes only participants that succeeded.

More Results

Participants with REACHER used it to jump between methods.

“It seems pretty cool if you can navigate your way around a complex graph.”




When **not** using REACHER, participants often reported being lost and confused.

“Where am I? I’m so lost.”

“These call stacks are horrible.”

“There was a call to it here somewhere, but I don’t remember the path.”

“I’m just too lost.”



Participants reported that they liked working with REACHER.

“I like it a lot. It seems like an easy way to navigate the code. And the view maps to more of how I think of the call hierarchy.”

“Reacher was my hero. ... It’s a lot more fun to use and look at.”

“You don’t have to think as much.”

Reflection on Design Process

- Started with a goal: make debugging in large, complex codebases better
- Observed users to build *insight* into what key challenge was
- Rather than address usability challenges of existing debugging tools, designed new way to debug
- Gathered evidence that it worked better

10 Minute Break

In-Class Activity

- Form groups of 2 or 3
- A venture capitalist just gave your group \$5M to build a new consumer software product (mobile, web, desktop, etc.)
- Brainstorm an idea: what's the product? how will it help?
- Deliverables. Answer the following questions:
 - What do you know now
 - Who are the users? What are their tasks and goals? What problems do they encounter? How will your tool help?
 - What would like to learn through needfinding that you don't already know?
 - How would you use an interview / survey / observations / or other method to answer these questions?