CS 471 Operating Systems

Yue Cheng

George Mason University Spring 2019

CPU Scheduling

Outline

- Basic Concepts
- Scheduling Criteria
- Scheduling Algorithms
 - First-In-First-Out
 - Shortest-Job-First, Shortest-Remaining-Time-First
 - Priority Scheduling
 - Round Robin
 - Multi-level Queue
 - Multi-level Feedback Queue

Basic Concepts

- During its lifetime, a process goes through a sequence of CPU and I/O bursts
- The CPU scheduler (a.k.a. short-term scheduler) will select one of the processes in the ready queue for execution
- The CPU scheduler algorithm may have tremendous effects on the system performance
 - Interactive systems: Responsiveness
 - Real-time systems: Not missing the deadlines

Alternating Sequence of CPU and I/O Bursts



When to Schedule?

- Under the simple process state transition model, CPU scheduler can be potentially invoked at five different points:
 - 1. When a process switches from the new state to the ready state
 - 2. When a process switches from the running state to the waiting (or blocked) state
 - 3. When a process switches from the running state to the ready state
 - 4. When a process switches from the waiting state to the ready state
 - 5. When a process terminates

Process State Transitions



Process State Transitions



Non-preemptive vs. Preemptive Scheduling

- Under non-preemptive scheduling, each running process keeps the CPU until it completes or it switches to the waiting (blocked) state
- Under preemptive scheduling, a running process may be forced to release the CPU even though it is neither completed nor blocked
 - In time-sharing systems, when the running process reaches the end of its time quantum (slice)
 - In general, whenever there is a change in the ready queue

Non-preemptive vs. Preemptive Scheduling

- Non-preemptive kernels do not allow preemption of a process running in kernel mode
 - Serious drawback for real-time applications
- Preemptive kernels allow preemption even in kernel mode
 - Insert safe preemption points in long-duration system calls
 - Or, use synchronization mechanisms (e.g., "mutex locks") to protect the kernel data structures against race conditions

Dispatcher

- Dispatcher module gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the short-term scheduler; this involves:
 - switching context
 - switching to user mode
 - jumping to the proper location in the user program to restart that program
- \circ Scheduler \rightarrow Policy: When and how to schedule
- Dispatcher → Mechanism: Actuator following the commands of the scheduler

Scheduling Metrics

- To compare the performance of scheduling algorithms
 - CPU utilization percentage of time CPU is busy executing jobs
 - Throughput # of processes that complete their execution per time unit
 - Turnaround time amount of time to execute a particular process
 - Waiting time amount of time a process has been waiting in the ready queue
 - Response time amount of time it takes from when a request was submitted until the first response is produced, not the complete output
 - Meeting the deadlines (real-time systems)

Optimization Goals

• To **maximize**:

- Maximize the CPU utilization
- Maximize the throughput

• To **minimize**:

- $_{\odot}$ Minimize the (average) turnaround time
- $_{\odot}$ Minimize the (average) waiting time
- $_{\odot}$ Minimize the (average) response time

Waiting Time

Waiting time definition

 $T_{waiting} = T_{start} - T_{arrival}$ • Average waiting time = Sum($T_{waiting}$)/ #processes

$\,\circ\,$ For now, we assume

- -Average waiting time is the performance measure
- –Only one CPU burst (e.g., in milliseconds or ms) per process
- –Only CPU, No I/O
- -All processes arrive at the same time
- -Once started, each process runs to completion

<u>Process</u>	Burst Time
P_1	24



Process	Burst Time
P_1	24
P_2	3





P ₁		P ₂	P ₃
0	2	4 2	7 30





- Waiting time for $P_1 = 0$; $P_2 = 24$; $P_3 = 27$
- Average waiting time: 17

- Suppose that the processes arrive in order P_2 , P_3 , P_1
- The Gantt chart for the schedule:



- Suppose that the processes arrive in order P_2 , P_3 , P_1
- The Gantt chart for the schedule:



- Suppose that the processes arrive in order P_2 , P_3 , P_1
- The Gantt chart for the schedule:

	P ₂	P ₃		P ₁	
0		3	6		30

- \circ Suppose that the processes arrive in order P_2 , P_3 , P_1
- The Gantt chart for the schedule:

	P ₂	P ₃		P ₁	
0		3	6		30

- Waiting time for $P_1 = 6$; $P_2 = 0$; $P_3 = 3$
- Average waiting time: (6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3

- Suppose that the processes arrive in order P_2 , P_3 , P_1
- The Gantt chart for the schedule:



- Waiting time for $P_1 = 6$; $P_2 = 0$; $P_3 = 3$
- Average waiting time: (6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3
- o Problems:
 - Convoy effect (short processes behind long processes)
 - Non-preemptive: Not suitable for time-sharing systems

Shortest-Job-First (SJF)

Shortest-Job-First (SJF)

- Associate with each process the length of its next CPU burst
- The CPU is assigned to the process with the smallest (next) CPU burst (run_time)
- Two schemes:
 - Non-preemptive
 - Preemptive: Also known as the Shortest-Remaining-Time-First (SRTF)

Process	<u>Arrival Time</u>	Burst Time
P_1	0.0	7
P_2	2.0	4
P_3	4.0	1
P_4	5.0	4



<u>Process</u>	<u>Arrival Time</u>	Burst Time
P_1	0.0	7
P_2	2.0	4
P_3	4.0	1
P_4	5.0	4



<u>Process</u>	<u>Arrival Time</u>	Burst Time
P_1	0.0	7
P_2	2.0	4
P_3	4.0	1
P_4	5.0	4

P ₁	P ₃	P ₂
0	7 8	12

Process	<u>Arrival Time</u>	Burst Time
P_1	0.0	7
P_2	2.0	4
P_3	4.0	1
P_4	5.0	4

P ₁	P ₃	P ₂	P ₄
0	78	3 1	2 16

	Process	<u>A</u>	<u>rrival Tin</u>	ne Burst	<u>Time</u>
	P_1	().0	7	
	P_2		2.0	4	
	P_3	Z	1.0	1	
	P_4	ξ	5.0	4	
S	JF (non-preen	npti	ve)		
	P ₁	P ₃	P ₂	P ₄	

0	7	8	12	16

 \bigcirc

• Average waiting time = (0 + 6 + 3 + 7)/4 = 4

Example for Preemptive SJF (SRTF) $\frac{Process}{P_1} \quad \frac{Arrival Time}{0.0} \quad \frac{Burst Time}{7}$

Example for Preemptive SJF(SRTF)ProcessArrival Time Burst TimeLeft Time P_1 0.075 P_2 2.04



Example for Preemptive SJF (SRTF) $\frac{Process}{P_1} \quad \frac{Arrival Time}{0.0} \quad \frac{Burst Time}{7} \quad \frac{Left Time}{5}$

 P_2 2.0 4 4



Process	<u>Arrival T</u>	ime Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	5
P_2	2.0	4	4
P_3	4.0	1	1



Process	<u>Arrival</u>	Time Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	5
P_2	2.0	4	2
P_3	4.0	1	1



Process	<u>Arrival</u>	Time Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	5
P_2	2.0	4	2
P_3	4.0	1	1



Process	<u>Arrival T</u>	<u>Time</u> Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	5
P_2	2.0	4	2
P_3	4.0	1	0
P_4	5.0	4	4



Process	<u>Arrival T</u>	<u>Time</u> Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	5
P_2	2.0	4	2
P_3	4.0	1	0
P_4	5.0	4	4



Process	Arrival 7	<u>Fime</u> Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	5
P_2	2.0	4	0
P_3	4.0	1	0
P_4	5.0	4	4



Process	<u>Arrival T</u>	<u>ime</u> Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	5
P_2	2.0	4	0
P_3	4.0	1	0
P_4	5.0	4	0



Process	<u>Arrival T</u>	<u>ime</u> Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	0
P_2	2.0	4	0
P_3	4.0	1	0
P_4	5.0	4	0



Process	<u>Arrival T</u>	<u>Time</u> Burst Time	Left Time
P_1	0.0	7	0
P_2	2.0	4	0
P_3	4.0	1	0
P_4	5.0	4	0

SJF (preemptive)



• Average waiting time = (9 + 1 + 0 + 2)/4 = 3